

Press Releases: Interview With Scott Thuman of Sinclair Broadcast Group

Interview
Michael R. Pompeo

Secretary of State

Washington, DC
March 2, 2019

QUESTION: Secretary, thank you for the time.

SECRETARY POMPEO: You betcha. Good morning.

QUESTION: Let's start with what the President just said on this. He said: I've asked China to immediately remove all tariffs on our agricultural products. This is very important to me and our great farmers. How critical is it that this end?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So the agricultural community is incredibly important to America and incredibly important to American national security. Every country I travel to I talk to them about how we can sell more American goods there, how we can export our crops, our food products. They're the safest in the world. They're the highest quality in the world. And so when the President talks about getting rid of tariffs and getting rid of barriers, it is certainly deeply in line with what we're trying to do from a national security perspective. A growing farm economy in the United States benefits American national security as well.

QUESTION: We're seeing Chapter 12 bankruptcies as high as we've seen in more than a decade – in the circuit that oversees your state Kansas, some 59 percent over the last 10 years. What's your message to the farmers who are feeling this crunch because of this fight between the administration and China?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah, that's not the reason. Remember there's a long history of China not treating our farmers well – frankly, many countries in the world, Europe, too, who put in place barriers that made no sense, right? They used food safety as an excuse not to take our products to protect their own domestic agriculture industry. The State Department, for frankly the first time in a long time, has taken a serious effort at cracking these markets open, and sometimes it's tough. Sometimes you got to go break a little glass to get to the right outcome, and that's what President Trump

intends to do. We're going to reduce these barriers. We're going to let our farmers compete all across the world. And when these competitions take place against the backdrop of free markets and technology and quality, the things that American farmers do really well, I'm very confident that the future for American farmers will be great, but we've got – we've got to go through this. We have to get access to these markets for our – for our farmers.

QUESTION: Well, I know there are many factors involved, but I'm sure you have to hear it from farmers. They say they feel they're caught in the middle between the U.S. and China and that they're suffering as a result.

SECRETARY POMPEO: It's not a conflict with China. We want China to succeed too, but this has to be fair. You hear – you hear discussions about intellectual property and forced technology transfer, the Chinese are stealing our stuff, and often people think of that in the high-tech world, things that would affect Silicon Valley or maybe New York, but the truth is they're stealing our farm tech too. They're stealing our high-end technology the farmers are using. I'm from Kansas. I know that people think of farmers sometimes, they think of a simple production farm. These are scientists. These are engineers. These are technologists delivering this high-yield product, safe and affordable and high quality, and we can't let the Chinese steal that. If they do, these farmers who care so much about the next generation and the generation after that on their family farm – there's a farm in my family that's a century farm, over 100 years old, in Winfield, Kansas. We have to protect this industry for decades to come, and the Trump administration is determined to achieve that.

QUESTION: Will the President walk away from a China deal if it's not a perfect deal?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes. You saw us this past weekend in Hanoi. The President's determined to make sure that he protects his first client, his first obligation to the American people – in this case, the American farmer. This has to work for America. If it doesn't work, we'll keep banging away at it. We're going to get to the right outcome. I'm confident that we will. And I've been around these trade talks with China, things are looking good. I think things are in a good place, but it's got to be right, and we may have to make sure it truly provides a lasting benefit to American farmers.

QUESTION: Some have said that the relationship is near rock bottom with China. Is that fair?

SECRETARY POMPEO: I don't think it's fair. It is the case that for the first time in a long time you have an administration that's standing up for America, that's not letting China walk in and take our stuff, not letting China walk in and put technology in place that will steal the privacy of American citizens. We are serious at protecting the American people, and sometimes that creates tension. And I think the Chinese have seen that, I think they actually respect it, and I think that's what you see in the trade negotiations, a newfound respect for America standing up for itself.

(Break.)

QUESTION: Regarding the State Department Foreign Service, I know you're also on a bit of a recruiting mission right now to some degree. We've seen applications at the lowest levels since 2008. Why is this happening? Some people believe it may be a morale issue, it may be apprehension about this administration. Why are you seeing these low levels?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah, I think they're coming back. I think the applications are going to start coming back in droves. We've – we've really reenergized the place, and I'm excited about that. And I want to go tell this story – I'm going to head to Iowa. I want to tell this story in places other than in the salons of Washington and in New York and on the West Coast. We need a diverse workforce, so we need people that – from Drake and from the University of Northern Iowa and from Kansas University, places that don't often consider careers as professional Foreign Service officers. We want them to be part of the team. Representing America requires a diverse set of people working on behalf of America, and I hope to go out and open up some eyes about what a great life it is to be a diplomat for the United States of America. I think we'll be fine, and I think we'll have the workforce that America so richly deserves.

QUESTION: Secretary Pompeo, thank you very much.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Thank you very much.

The Office of Website Management, Bureau of Public Affairs, manages this site as a portal for information from the U.S. State Department. External links to other Internet sites should not be construed as an endorsement of the views or privacy policies contained therein.

[Press Releases: Interview With Peter Zampa of Gray Television](#)

Interview
Michael R. Pompeo

Secretary of State

Washington, DC
March 2, 2019

QUESTION: Mr. Secretary, you could have picked many places for this agriculture discussion. Why Iowa?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Peter, we decided on Iowa because, first of all, it's the heartland of American agriculture. I'm from Kansas. That is too. But we chose Iowa because it has such an important element of what we're trying to do. We can talk about the importance of American technology and agriculture, the importance of American innovation in agriculture, and then I'm going to have Ambassador Branstad, the ambassador – United States ambassador to China, who, of course, was the governor of Iowa. We want to go to Iowa and talk to them about U.S. trade policy and how it impacts security and how we know the first client for the United States State Department is the people of the – are the people of the United States of America, including those in the heartland. So we wanted to get out there and talk to them about what it is the State Department does for them.

QUESTION: Yeah. No, farmers across the country, including those in Iowa, are waiting on a new trade deal with China. Some folks have been losing business because of these trade disputes. As our nation's chief diplomat, what is your message to these farmers?

SECRETARY POMPEO: We've got to get through this. I'm very confident that we'll get a trade agreement put together. And when we do, it'll turn the lights on. It will create a historic change, a historic set of opportunities for farmers, farmers in Iowa and all across the United States of America.

Peter, for an awfully long time, American farmers have been at an enormous disadvantage. Countries around the world, China included, have just denied access to our farm products – the highest quality, the highest yield, the most affordable products in the world – and these countries have just said no to protect their domestic industries. And so our companies didn't have a chance. Our agriculture companies didn't have a chance to sell their products around the world. The Trump administration is going to change that.

QUESTION: Part of the reason for this Iowa trip is recruiting. You're trying to get folks of all ages interested in working at the State Department. Why should Iowans get involved?

SECRETARY POMPEO: There's no job as great as being able to represent America as a diplomat in every corner of the world. You get a chance to see the world, but most importantly you get a true opportunity to represent the greatest nation in the history of civilization. And, Peter, it's often the case that diplomats come from the east coast. We recruit a lot of people out of Washington, D.C., and Boston and New York. I want a diverse workforce at the State Department, and so I want to make sure that the tremendous opportunity, the incredible privilege of representing America as a diplomat working at the Department of State is something that people in the heartland know about as well.

QUESTION: You're speaking to some Future Farmers of America. What's your message going to be to them to get involved?

SECRETARY POMPEO: It's going to be a great day out in Iowa. We're going to be in Des Moines. We'll have a chance to spend a little bit of time with the governor. We'll talk to some farmers. We're going to talk to Corteva, a business out there.

My message is this: The Trump administration is dedicated to making sure that their kids – and these will be young people; they won't be thinking so much about this – but their kids, the next generation, will have all the same opportunities that their father and their mother and their grandfather and their grandmother all had in agriculture. We're determined to protect these businesses and make sure they can sell to growing populations all around the world.

QUESTION: Now, at the same time you're in Iowa, the attorney for the family of Hoda Muthana, the woman who left Birmingham, Alabama to join ISIS, then she eventually fled the terror group, will be meeting with your counsel in District Court in Washington. Her family is suing you after you decided she's not allowed back in the U.S. What's the case your team is going to make?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So this woman who worked so hard to put American lives at risk – U.S. soldiers, U.S. service members, frankly civilians as well by becoming a terrorist – isn't coming back to the United States of America. She has no right to come back to the United States of America. She is not a U.S. citizen. She has no claim for U.S. citizenship. That's what we'll be telling the court because that's the truth.

QUESTION: Folks who want to see her go through the legal process here in the States, what's your response to them, rather than letting her live at large elsewhere?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Well, this woman should not come back to the United States. It presents an enormous risk to the United States of America if she returns and those like her return – and President Trump has made very, very clear. If we've got to prosecute terrorists here in the United States, we're completely prepared to do that, but in this instance it makes no sense.

QUESTION: Now, you've only had a few hours to rest before jet-setting off to Des Moines again, just returning from the important trip to Vietnam, the second summit between President Donald Trump and North Korean leader Kim Jong-un. The President said he takes Kim Jong-un at his word that he didn't know about the treatment of the University of Virginia student Otto Warmbier that led to his death. Do you believe Kim Jong-un?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So you know I've had a chance to spend a fair amount of time with the Warmbier family. They are great people, truly wonderful people who suffered a horrific loss, and the President said that again yesterday too. The President also said that he understands who is responsible for his death. It's the regime in North Korea. He holds them responsible.

Most folks who are asking this question really are trying to get at why would we engage, why did we have those discussions in Hanoi, why have I been negotiating with a country that has horrible human rights abuses. And the answer is those were going on for an awfully long time. The previous administration took an approach of strategic patience. You can see, you can see, that that didn't work. We're trying to fix it. We're trying to correct. We're trying to get North Korea to course correct so there'll never be another suffering like the Warmbier family has gone through.

We also had the good fortune – I personally had the good fortune – of bringing three Americans home. I wish we could have brought Otto home in a condition that was better and this tragedy had not taken place. We are determined to get Americans back. We got another American back from Yemen just this past week. The Trump administration is serious about American safety abroad, and what happened in North Korea was absolutely outrageous, and President Trump knows that.

QUESTION: Do you believe Kim Jong-un when he says he didn't know about the treatment that led to his death?

SECRETARY POMPEO: We take very seriously the North Korean human rights violations, and we know exactly who's responsible for the death of Otto Warmbier. The North Korean regime is responsible for the death of Otto Warmbier.

QUESTION: So Kim –

SECRETARY POMPEO: There's no doubt about that.

QUESTION: So Kim Jong-un?

SECRETARY POMPEO: The North Korean regime is responsible for the death of Otto Warmbier, end of story.

QUESTION: Mr. Secretary, thank you so much for taking this time.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

The Office of Website Management, Bureau of Public Affairs, manages this site as a portal for information from the U.S. State Department. External links to other Internet sites should not be construed as an endorsement of the views or privacy policies contained therein.

Press Releases: Remarks to the Iowa Farm Bureau

Remarks

Michael R. Pompeo

Secretary of State

World Food Prize Hall of Laureates

Des Moines, Iowa

March 4, 2019

AMBASSADOR BRANSTAD: Good afternoon. It is my honor to be back in Iowa today to introduce Secretary of State Mike Pompeo. As U.S. ambassador, I have the great privilege of representing the American people in China. (Applause.)

The U.S.-China relationship is the most important bilateral relationship in the world. As President Trump has said, the United States seeks a constructive, results-oriented relationship with China, and of course, the Secretary of State has a critical role to play in that relationship. Secretary Pompeo is well qualified for this very important position. He graduated first in his class at West Point and served in the United States Army. A fellow Midwesterner, he was a business leader and served three terms

in the Congress from the state of Kansas before President Trump appointed him as director of the CIA and then as Secretary of State.

During the Secretary's most recent visit to China, I was impressed with his ability to connect and motivate our embassy staff and effectively articulate American interests to the Chinese leadership. We are honored to have Secretary Pompeo at the helm of the State Department and speaking here in Iowa today. Ladies and gentlemen, please join me in welcoming our Secretary of State, Mike Pompeo. (Applause.)

SECRETARY POMPEO: Good afternoon. Please. Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you. Thank you. I'm often reminded – I often get introduced as the 70th Secretary of State, which is pretty cool, but I'm reminded it's – the President is 45, so the turnover in my gig is a lot higher. (Laughter.) I'll be mindful of that as I speak.

Thanks for joining me. I'm looking forward – I've got some remarks I'll make today, and then I'm happy to take questions on things that are on your mind. Thanks so much, too, for welcoming me to Iowa. I've had a great day here today. My wife Susan, who's sitting over to my left, was born in Iowa City. Her mother is a proud graduate of the University of Iowa. So our son Nick and I have watched a lot of Hawkeye football games with Grandma. (Laughter and applause.) And yeah – I know, I got about half the applause here, yeah. (Laughter.) Same deal in Kansas. (Laughter.) Susan grew up, of course, in Kansas but spent a lot of time Coralville where her grandparents live. And so I will be happy to give you all the credit for the lady that she is, good and bad. (Laughter.)

There's another special person who's here who is an Iowan that just introduced me, and he has been an enormously powerful force for good in China on behalf of the United States of America. Please, one more time, thank Ambassador Branstad for all he's doing for the country. (Applause.)

And I got to spend 15 minutes with Governor Reynolds a few minutes ago. It was delightful and wonderful. The things you're doing here in Iowa are fantastic. Thank you. Bless you. Good luck to you and thank you for being here with me today as well. Thanks so much. (Applause.)

And thank you for inviting me to this enormously beautiful place, and for the World Food Prize for hosting me today. I know that Ambassador Quinn wanted to be here, but I understand he's on to bigger and better things in London today, receiving his own prize for countering genocide in Cambodia. What a wonderful place for him to be. It's a pretty good excuse for him not being here. (Laughter.)

The State Department is proud to work alongside as a partner with an organization like this. It has done so much to help feed the world. You every day burnish America's reputation, and I am the beneficiary of that as I travel this world. And I am excited too to share with you that after two years of hiatus, the World Food Prize laureate announcement ceremony is returning to the State Department. I'll host it; I'll preside over the ceremony. I'm really looking forward to it. (Applause.)

I'm so thrilled to be here in Iowa. It was great. We flew in last night, and believe me, it was a breath of fresh air to be out of Washington, D.C. (Laughter.) And I know firsthand too how farmers are the backbone of America, how food security matters so much, and what you all do, the people of Iowa do, to help deliver that every place in the world.

I spent a good part of my summer from my early years in my life in a place called Winfield, Kansas at a family farm owned by my Uncle Jim. They were some of the most special times in my life. I remember the farm next door had a sign that said, "One Kansas Farmer Feeds 120 Plus You." (Laughter.) I am confident that if we drive down the highways here in Iowa, we'd see a similar sign, and I know it's true.

George Washington has the famous quote that says, "I would rather be on my farm than be emperor of the world." I think he mean that, too.

I want to talk about agriculture and innovation and our relationship with China. The two, as you know, are deeply intertwined.

In 1980, a now very famous man, the then-governor of Guangdong Province, led the first-ever Chinese governors' delegation here to the United States, and they traveled straight here, straight to Iowa.

A few years later, his son followed in his father's footsteps with a visit here and struck up a friendship with the governor. Today, President Trump and President Xi deal with each other, trying to make sure that we get this important relationship right.

Indeed, you all know Iowa's bounty has attracted many Chinese leaders, wanting to know the state's secret for prosperity. But they haven't fully embraced the principal ingredient for Iowa's success, which is free enterprise and hard work and the central idea of allowing individuals to have their own autonomy and their own dignity and to lead and take chances and to take risk and to build their own businesses.

Indeed, when the heavy hand of government dictates economic policy, we all know that productivity plummets. Innovation necessarily grinds to a halt. And people are much worse off. Only the free market makes life better in the long run.

These market principles, the ideas of fair competition, have made American companies the global standard for success and quality.

Earlier today, I had the chance to visit the facilities of Corteva, an agricultural science company. It was remarkable. Companies like Corteva are an example of how economic freedom, innovation, risk-taking, a light regulatory touch can make American agriculture the envy of the world and dynamic in ways that no other country can potentially match.

Think about what our businesses do. I ran a small business in south central Kansas for – before I lost my mind and ran for Congress – (laughter) – now almost a decade ago. Gene editing has paved the way to eliminate diseases like corn blight. Companies like Quantified Ag have developed ear tags that

use biometric technology to relay information about an animal's health in real time. Others, like Agribotix, are using data from drones to help farmers make more precise fertilizer applications to boost yield.

These things seem simple to us. They seem like common sense. But they arose out of the creativity and freedom that we have here in America. It has taken decades of ingenuity, the decades of ingenuity which have enabled our farmers to produce harvests at levels the world would have been astounded by just a few years ago. This idea, this spirit of innovation makes America what it is in the agriculture world – renowned for its integrity, known for its safety, and knowing too that we deliver reliable products each and every time.

Indeed, when consumers eat an American steak, they know exactly what they are getting. Worldwide trust in the American brand is unmatched from farm to table, as we might say.

We also have the highest quality because of our free-market system. Companies value their brand in a market-based economy and work to protect that reputation. Competition and choice cause people to play by the rules.

Indeed, we have an entire ecosystem – some of them are standing in the back – an entire ecosystem of reporters, investors, food safety experts, consumer advocates, and nongovernment organizations that keep an eye on our companies and our markets. And our fair-minded justice system punishes law-breakers.

The vibrancy of our agricultural sector shows that America's economic dynamism is not confined to Manhattan or to Silicon Valley or to Cambridge, Massachusetts. The American heartland is vibrant with economic potency.

And good things are happening here too in Des Moines, no less than they are in Palo Alto. There are even wineries in the Hawkeye State, or so I'm told. (Laughter.) So look, you're more similar to California than you want to believe. (Laughter.)

And President Trump's low-tax, regulation-cutting agenda is ensuring that our ag industry, along with all the other sectors of our economy, have room to continue to grow and thrive, that our countries continue to innovate without undue burden, that our farmers will be able to bequeath their rich piece of earth to their children.

Unfortunately, China has taken a different approach. It has a state-led set of economic practices that threaten the health of the American agriculture industry that you've all worked so hard to develop.

When we hear the stories of China stealing sensitive technologies, we often think of the technology powers – that powers our fighter jets, our smartphones, and our medical devices. But Americans should know that China has targeted intellectual property and technology essential to farming, too.

A few years ago, an Iowa farm security guard saw something suspicious in a field and stopped to investigate. He caught a Chinese national digging in the dirt, trying to steal genetically engineered corn seed that took years of research and development to create and which had cost a lot of money to

build. That individual later pled guilty to stealing seed from Monsanto, DuPont Pioneer, and LG Seeds.

There's another story, a different Chinese national, who stole hundreds of genetically engineered rice seeds produced by his employer. He stored them in his residence. He then passed the seeds to representatives of a Chinese crop research institute when they came to town, and it wasn't until the Customs and Border Patrol, our CBP officers, searched their bags before a flight that he was caught.

And then, of course, there's the theft that takes place in the robotics and industrial technologies that form the backbone of our agriculture industry as well. Every time there's a theft of this kind, it eats away at the history, it eats away at the seed corn of the industries upon which you and your children and grandchildren depend.

And you should know too it's not just big companies that suffer. As one farmer said, quote, "What no one seems to understand is that they're stealing from people like me. They're stealing the research that farmers pay [for] each time they buy a Monsanto seed."

IP theft too isn't the only problem that China presents. It's an economic model that has for years survived on protectionism, rule-breaking, and state subsidies. China denies American companies access to its market through tariff barriers and non-tariff barriers, and they deny us the ability to compete on a fair and reciprocal basis.

I've been around enough businesses here in the heartland to know we don't fear competition. But to compete successfully, you have to have a real shot. You have to have a relatively level playing field. And China's protectionism has for decades tilted it, tilted the field against our farmers and agricultural companies.

You should know too that American agriculture exporters aren't the only folks that are the victims of China's state-dominated economy. As Ambassador Branstad reminds me, it is the Chinese people who suffer as well. Think back to 2008, when tens of thousand of Chinese children got sick from contaminated milk and baby formula, with several of them dying. Even today, many Chinese travel abroad to buy baby formula, and Chinese expatriates make big bucks shipping it back home for profit.

A few years ago, *Time Magazine* investigated fake Chinese eggs and came up with this list of scrumptious ingredients: resin, starch, coagulants, pigments, sodium alginate. I'm going to stop there.

Today in China, counterfeit goods, counterfeit products, the overuse of pesticides, reprocessed cooking waste all remain persistent problems.

And just as China has guarantees of human rights written into its constitution, China boasts plenty of food safety laws. It's not about writing down one more regulation or one more rule. But enforcement is weak or nonexistent, and as we see so often in socialist countries, the prevalence of

corruption in China's state-commanded economy frequently allows this kind of fraud to go undetected and almost always unpunished. And even when it's uncovered, the incentive to cheat remains unchanged. The Chinese people deserve better.

The good news is this: Help is on the way. American producers and Chinese consumers will both be better off. The outcome of President Trump's trade negotiations currently underway will pay dividends for people in each of our two countries.

The President is taking a very hard line on stopping the theft of intellectual property. For our ag producers, President Trump is fighting to level the playing field to which I earlier referred so there will be greater market access for each of them.

This is great news. But opening up markets for new businesses isn't just a pet project related to China. It's one of the core missions of the State Department which I lead, and it has been for more than 200 years, but we're doing so today with a renewed focus.

Many Americans look at the State Department and wonder what it does for them. They see the Secretary travel to far-off places and they ask the question, "Is America, are Americans, the first client of the State Department?" You should know that you are. For one thing, we have 1,700 economic officers serving all across the globe. A huge part of their work on behalf of families in Iowa or Kansas or any other state is to create opportunities for American businesses to sell their products abroad. And when it comes to international commerce, I guess you could say that the art of diplomacy goes hand-in-hand with getting deals done.

This brings me to a quick detour. I would be remiss if I didn't spend a few minutes. Economic diplomacy is certainly a central mission of what it is that I do. It's one of the State Department's many missions. But if you're an American looking for one of the most rewarding, challenging careers possible, consider the U.S. State Department. There are opportunities to represent the American flag in everything from counterterrorism to ensuring food security to fighting human trafficking. My colleagues are incredibly proud patriots serving all across the globe. They're smart and they're mission-driven.

The State Department has spent a lot of money and energy over the years to make sure we have a diversity, a diverse workforce. I want to make sure that we have people from the heartland serving in the Foreign Service as well. We need that geographic diversity. Our mission is, of course, to represent all of the American people in all corners of the globe, so the makeup of our workforce matters. And if you want to keep America safe and strong and advance our values, we've got great opportunities. You can literally change the world. Okay, infomercial over, but please, you or your kids, come check it out. It is a great place to work. It is a noble calling. The people who work with Ambassador Branstad and I are true patriots serving our great nation.

I'll close here. Under President Trump, our diplomats have been especially

busy opening up markets around the world for all kinds of American agriculture. And along with our colleagues at USDA and the Trade Representative's office and the Department of Commerce, this administration has opened up a path for dairy and poultry to Canada, lamb and goat meat to Japan, beef and pork to Argentina, poultry to India, lamb to El Salvador, beef and poultry to Morocco, eggs to South Africa, dairy to Turkey, and handfuls of others. We hope that the EU too will soon lower its trade barriers and grant expanded access for American goods.

Where China is concerned, new market access must come, and we must do so in a way that creates systems that are enforceable. It doesn't do any good to sign one more agreement, one more document, if a mechanism to enforce those commitments that the Chinese make to us doesn't have the capacity to create a set of rules and processes that are enforceable.

One final point of importance related to keeping the American ag sector prosperous. Our abundance has always been an incredible blessing to the world. We have to extend that legacy. The promise of land and the rich soil stretching into the horizon in a better life drew innumerable settlers to our shores. After World War I, Herbert Hoover helped quarterback our efforts to feed tens of millions of Europeans, a project that depended substantially on American foodstuffs. And in the years immediately following World War II, American farm output again helped feed a continent ravaged by war and continuing to suffer from the threat of famine.

There are many programs today – you all are part of them – that help satisfy and provide food for the world's neediest. That need has only grown and will only continue to grow. Today, the United States is the world's number one exporter of food and ag products, and our generosity is legion. Our USAID bought 1.4 ^[1] metric tons of food from American farmers in 2017 and fed 70 million people across 53 countries.

But frankly, the greatest blessing – the greatest blessing from American agriculture to the world isn't due to any government program. It was the work of Nobel Prize winner Norman Borlaug, the founder of this great institute. He devoted his life to feeding the world's population and bringing it out of starvation and malnourishment. And by breeding new species of wheat that yielded once unthinkable harvests, it's estimated that he helped save billions of lives.

The explosion of agriculture productivity he triggered became known as the Green Revolution, and you should know that it was an American revolution and an American innovator who did that. I am confident that the next billion, and the billion after that of people who will be fed around the world, will also be fed by American innovation, creativity, and hard work.

And we too know that to do that, we have to make sure that American businesses and people prosper. Earlier today I met with some young people in the FFA. It's an impressive group. I remember my time representing south central Kansas. These are great young leaders of the future. They have firsthand knowledge of agriculture that is unparalleled anywhere in the world by young people.

I mentioned earlier the farm in Winfield, Kansas. It's where I spent my summers. It's now where we go for family holidays to just hang out and get away. There is in that farm on the fence on the southwest corner a century farm sign, a hundred years in the family. It's proudly staked right there. It took hard work by my Uncle Jim to keep this family farm in the family and to continue to make it prosperous and successful. I get that. America's State Department gets that and President Trump gets that as well. I know this: Farm life is the best of what America has to offer. We're committed in the administration to making sure that those young people that I met with today can pass on that noble way of life to their children. I hope that God will bless them. I hope that God will bless each of you and Iowa and the United States of America. Thank you, and I am happy to take a handful of questions. (Applause.) Thanks.

QUESTION: I have a question.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes, sir.

QUESTION: First I would like to thank you and the ambassador for coming to visit us, and we'd like to thank you for all your hard work on our behalf. And we know its hard work out there, and I'd like to thank you for men of integrity working on our behalf. Thank you for that.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Thank you.

QUESTION: My question is this: What are some of the major obstacles left in the trade negotiations with China?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So I won't – so I can't give away the details of where they're still working or the exact details of what's going on, but it won't surprise you what's really difficult. The issue of opening up markets and access is a hard one for sure but not the hardest of the issues. I think we'll actually get to a place where the Chinese will put this in a place where they'll buy more soybeans, buy more American products. I think we'll be successful on that.

The more complicated issues are around the structural challenges, structural challenges that not only impact agriculture but manufacturing services, frankly all sellers of goods and services inside of China. This risk of IP stealing, forced technology transfer, about which I spoke in my remarks, is real and difficult, and not something the Chinese are going to give up easily. And you attach on the back side of that that you need more than just a promise to undo those structural things. You need a mechanism by which those commitments can be enforced, and that's the focus. It's where Ambassador Lighthizer, Secretary Mnuchin, and the trade team are fully engaged. They have made progress on every one of those components of the agreement. They truly have. I've seen that and I know Ambassador Branstad has seen that as well. So real progress on every one of those elements. But if you ask what the hurdles are that remain, it's those last couple items that will inevitably prove tricky but which I'm very, very hopeful we'll be able to wrap up and get a truly successful outcome for the United States and for American ag.

Yes, sir. Go ahead, I'm sorry. Yes, sir.

QUESTION: Secretary Pompeo, I also want to thank you for coming here to Iowa and visiting. My grandfather in 1932 left Clearwater, Kansas to come to Iowa because it would just get too dry down there for him. (Laughter.) Life was better in Iowa. And I'm a lifelong –

SECRETARY POMPEO: I'm going to tell the people of Clearwater you said that. (Laughter.)

QUESTION: Well, I've still got relatives down there. I have my great-great aunt still living in Wichita as well. I'm a big farmer here in central Iowa. Our family has been involved in pork production, corn, soybeans as well. We're still dealing with retaliatory tariffs, not only from China but also from Mexico. The pork industry is very important to the state of Iowa and really the whole Midwest, and we've really taken a double whammy. And so could you address maybe the Mexican issue as well, please?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So this risk of retaliatory tariffs – you've seen it in pork, we've seen it in other places as well – is real. The President is deeply aware of this. The trade teams are all aware. We're working – when we get a comprehensive agreement – we now have a relatively comprehensive agreement in the USMCA. We are working to clean up all of these issues alongside of that.

And I hesitate to get too far out over my skis, but I'm optimistic that we'll get that, that we'll get resolution and get pulled back some of these risks from retaliatory tariffs. But I do want to emphasize those commitments are as good as the paper they're written on if there's not a mechanism that permits the United States to respond in a way that doesn't require us going into court someplace in a country that doesn't have the rule of law, that doesn't have an advanced justice system. We have to make sure that when we sign up for those things and we pick them, that we really have.

There's a long history – you would probably know this history better than I do – a long history of us having celebrations, having signing ceremonies, everyone thinking, boy, we've put this problem to rest, only to find that in relatively short order we didn't really solve much. And we are trying not to – we're trying to make original mistakes, not repeat the past ones. We're trying to make sure we don't fall the same trap that American trade negotiators have done so many times. We're deeply aware of these retaliatory tariff issues. We know how they much impact you and companies – businesses like yours. Know that they're in the front of our mind and we're working our way to put America in a place where our businesses don't suffer them.

QUESTION: Yeah, Mr. Secretary, thanks for being here. We appreciate it. As soybean farmers, you know, have been hit particularly hard with the tariffs. We – the market facilitation provided much-needed relief. And my question would be: We've heard comments that maybe tariffs could be dropped on certain products or commodities. I – and I know in the negotiating process you can't show your cards, but is there a chance that tariffs on soybeans could be dropped in exchange for something else, make some concessions, and then some

of these more tricky issues like intellectual property that take a lot of time and a lot of work could be put off or worked on – continued to be worked on while there's some relief for some of the ag products?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah. It's a good question. You saw the President make the request that the Chinese do that. I think it was on Friday of last week he asked the Chinese, given the status of the negotiations and the fact that he made the decision on the 1st of March not to increase tariffs – something that he had previously said that he would do – he picked a date certain – he'd asked them to reduce some piece of their tariffs as well. The best I know, we haven't received a formal response to that.

Beyond that, I don't really want to comment too much, for rest that Ambassador Lighthizer and Secretary Mnuchin will kill me. (Laughter.) So it is a good question. It's something I know they're giving due thought to.

Yes, sir.

QUESTION: Ambassador, thank you – or Mr. Secretary, thank you for being here today, and Ambassador Branstad. And the work you're doing on our IP, protecting that, you've heard it from everyone else around the room. And I'm a corn farmer in northeast Iowa. You've heard from hog producers, cattle producer, perhaps, and some soybean farmers. And we all invest money in our checkoffs, commodity checkoffs that help build these markets, and we rely heavily on investing in these markets and building them over time. They – we build great relationships. They are important for sending our products. You know all of this, I'm certain.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah.

QUESTION: Mexico right next door is important to all of us, Canada also. We dearly need to see USMCA signed and done. One of the hurdles for that is the steel, aluminum tariffs, and – from getting that signed. What are the thoughts on getting those removed and helping this – that agreement move forward?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Fair question. Let me say this: I am very confident that there are enough votes to pass – to get the USMCA moved through our government, through the United States Government. And without getting in the business of other sovereign nations' decision-making processes, I am confident that those countries too will conclude that this deal is their best outcome and they'll move forward. I truly believe that. How we'll handle these 232 tariffs as part of that, I think, remains to be seen, but as I've engaged with my – with Foreign Minister Freeland and the new foreign minister, Marcelo Ebrard, in Mexico, I think we're in pretty good place getting each of those two countries to move forward with this agreement. You never want to count your chickens or pigs or anything before they hatch or before they grow up, but I feel pretty good about that. Unless it becomes a real political football in Washington, I think we'll, come the end of this year, have an agreement.

Yes, sir.

QUESTION: John Maxwell (ph), eastern Iowa dairyman. Would you comment on any kind of timeframe? Because every day that marches on, it's getting tougher and tougher.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah – no. (Laughter.) You remember how I said I'd answer almost anything? It's just – I'm not trying to be short or – I – or not take your question seriously. I do. I am – the President is too – is enormously sympathetic to what you all are going through, but I wouldn't want to predict a date or a week or how this is going to fall. I've been part of too many of these negotiations that truly – they look they're home, they look like you see a path forward, only to find that there was something buried somewhere. And that – there's risk that that happens here as well.

QUESTION: Thank you.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah.

Yes. Get the microphone, yes. Yes, sir.

QUESTION: Secretary Pompeo, thank you for being here today. I would like to ask a question – yet another question on trade. With the loss of the TPP, do you see a bilateral trade agreement – I know there's been news on some work with Japan. Do you see that coming soon?

SECRETARY POMPEO: I do. (Laughter.)

QUESTION: (Off-mike.)

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah. Look, there's been some real progress made. There are – we – there's an enormous amount of focus on China and the trade negotiation with China. I completely get why that dominates the news, but we have efforts ongoing in China, there's work that's being done with the EU. Our task, the U.S. Government's task, the State Department's task, the trade representative's task isn't confined solely to the markets in China. We need to get market access – good, rules-based trade – with each of those countries.

And I will – I had an ambassador – our ambassador to the United Kingdom said the other day – he just – he said, look, they've been – in the EU, they've been smearing the quality of our food. They've been saying things about the quality of our food that just simply aren't true. We have an obligation too to make sure that facts are out there. We deliver consistent, stable, affordable, high-yield, high-quality food products all around the world, and boy, they – the folks use these myths to try and knock down our capacity to sell into their markets so that these countries can protect their farmers. The State Department has an obligation to fix that too, and that goes every place in the world.

QUESTION: (Inaudible) Vietnam?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Vietnam – we talked about trade on our trip to Hanoi. People forget we actually met with the Vietnamese as well in addition to the reason we departed there. I think Vietnam is going to make big steps forward

as well. They made a number of offers while there. I'm not at liberty to disclose them yet, but things that you all would be very happy with. It's a reasonable market, right – about 97, 100 million people in Vietnam. Not quite as wealthy as you see in other places, but certainly making real strides. A larger middle class there is inevitable and will be a very important market for you all here in Iowa.

QUESTION: Bilateral?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes, almost certainly a bilateral agreement with the Vietnamese. It may not even be a formal trade agreement. It may be just elements of what you would see in a normal trade agreement, full-on – full free trade agreement. And to your point on doing – it would – we could do this piecemeal and get a really good outcome, a really good quick hit, which I think would be great given the conditions we're in today.

QUESTION: Mr. Secretary, I just wanted to congratulate you and thank you for reducing the tension between India and Pakistan. I understand after their airstrikes, both foreign ministers spoke to you, and you spoke and the tension was reduced then. I want to congratulate you for your first diplomatic –

SECRETARY POMPEO: Thank you. I appreciate that. It's a place with great tension. I hope that the efforts that I made and others in the United States Government made did reduce the risk that we get escalation. It would be a bad thing for everyone. As with lots of these things, I think everyone knew that, but in times of high tension, it is often difficult, and so it requires sometimes someone else to come in and try and make sure that good reason and logic prevail in the midst of really challenging conflict that's been going on, as you well know, for an awfully long time.

And it's not certain. We certainly have reduced tension through the weekend and today. My team on the ground in each of those two countries is working diligently to help ensure that it stays there and that these – this conflict is resolved in a way at the negotiating table and through peace, rather than through armed conflict and the loss of lives. So thank you. Thanks for that.

Yes, sir.

QUESTION: Mr. Secretary, thank you so much for coming here. I really do appreciate it. It's always nice to see high-ranking members of U.S. Government coming and letting Iowans know that you are really working for us.

My question was actually just secondary based off of the last question. Does China use those third-party influences or auxiliary countries in the negotiating table? Specifically saying Pakistan is very important for U.S. militarily, but it's important for China economically. Does that ever come up regarding those trade negotiations, or do you guys just review it as one large, dynamic problem or isolated incidents?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah. I will tell you that the – that's a good question because it is absolutely the case that every one of these trade negotiations

that I've referred to today takes place in the context of much broader set of relationships – security relationships, military relationships, diplomatic relationships, and broader set of economic relationships as well.

When it has come to the trade negotiations with China, it has been pretty compartmentalized. The focus has been on this. Neither of us has raised the specter of bringing outside things into this. It has been about trying to find a set of rules and incentives that will provide a lasting outcome that gives American businesses real opportunity to compete in China in a way that's fair and reciprocal, without the risk of losing the property that they've so heavily invested in. So it's been pretty squared off, which we thought at the beginning made sense, and the Chinese have honored that in good measure as well.

QUESTION: Thank you.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes, sir. Gordon, good to see you.

QUESTION: (Off-mike.)

SECRETARY POMPEO: I'm good, good. You never know where you're going to see an old friend.

QUESTION: Yeah, I had to come to Iowa to see you. And by the way, we'd take you back. (Laughter.) Believe me, we would take you back.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Don't – do not start that rumor. (Laughter.) You've got media in the back.

QUESTION: No, I know. I know.

SECRETARY POMPEO: There is no upside to that.

QUESTION: And hello to your better half, by the way. Mike did marry up, believe me. (Laughter.) She's a wonderful lady.

Mike, my question is kind of simple: What's it like working for Donald Trump?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah. (Laughter.) It is really very simple. It's an enormous privilege. I mean, to stand here in front of you as America's most senior diplomat, trying to deliver on the promises that President Trump made during his campaign, right – 60-plus million voters wanted him to do things different, to fundamentally change some of the courses that America had been on. And to get a chance to serve for him is truly an enormous privilege. I've now done it twice. I'm on my second gig. I saw him almost every day when I was the director of the Central Intelligence Agency. I provided him with his daily brief. And now I talk to him almost every day, sometimes more than once.

He is the kind of leader that sets a direction, charts the course, gives guidance, says, Mike, this is the – these are the outcomes that I need you to deliver, and then allows me and my team to go implement and execute. I appreciate that. He then in turn holds us each accountable to achieve the

mission that he set out for us, and it's a wonderful thing to have this opportunity. And then you all get to read his Twitter account too, so. (Laughter.)

QUESTION: Thank you, Secretary, and thank you, Ambassador.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes.

QUESTION: Secretary, my name is Ben Renche (ph). I farm in northeast Iowa – Jesup, Iowa – and I just wondered if you could shed some light on when we might see our colleague, Indiana farmer Kip Tom, reach final confirmation on his post to UN ambassador for food and ag.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Oh my goodness, I should have you all sign letters on his behalf. I have at the State Department several dozen people pending confirmation, all of whom are highly qualified, capable people who have agreed to go serve America, and they're not on the battlefield. They're not on the playing field. They're not on the diplomatic field. That's most unfortunate.

If there are – if either of your senators are sitting in the back of the room, they're probably going to throw something at me here in a minute, but moving these confirmations forward for qualified candidates for which there is no objection seems like a basic underpinning of what the American people are owed. So it has proven enormously frustrating for me at the State Department and I know for my colleagues in the Cabinet who have people in various positions in Department of Agriculture, Department of Treasury, you name it, to not be able to get them out actively doing the task which they have so graciously agreed to accept.

I couldn't tell you. I don't know what these timelines are going to look like. I hope – at the State Department – I'm following that much more closely – we've got some 40-plus. I hope we get most of them done by the next couple months. There are qualified candidates for which no senator objects who have been sitting on the list pending confirmation for over a year.

Now I'm going to have to go testify in front of the Senate, so here we go. (Laughter.) I promise you I'll hear that sentence back.

Yes, ma'am.

QUESTION: Secretary, thank you so much for being here. As a Midwest farmer, you understand the power of a handshake deal. Being a former director of the Central Intelligence Agency and now in your current position, I'm sure you understand that facts really matter. And with the Chinese we see that there's some flexibility with facts.

I work for Iowa Select Farms. We're the largest pork producer here in the state of Iowa. African swine fever is a huge problem for the Chinese right now and across the world actually, and we're not getting good facts from them. So a twofold question: In your time there, did you see or hear anything about African swine fever you could share with us from a firsthand experience? And secondly, how does this flexibility with facts play into

trade negotiations with the Chinese Government?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So when I was there on the recent trip, it was raised. We talked about it some. Ambassador Branstad has been very engaged on this issue to try and understand the scope, the challenge, all of the various characteristics of the risk that is presented to that, and I'm – I think I'll just leave it at that.

With respect to being fact-challenged, you should know that there are many countries around the world that present fact challenge situations to the United States. Indeed, some of our friends from time to time. Our task is to separate the wheat from the chaff. How's that for a good analogy here in Iowa?

It's a real challenge, and one of the things that we have I think an absolute duty to do is provide the moral clarity around that. When countries are engaged in activities, sometimes so far as information campaigns – disinformation campaigns – we have an obligation to call it out from whatever voice that information is emanating. When we do that, I think we achieve some level of deterrence, but most importantly I think we protect America – that is, in the sense we remain a country that does act in ways that are very fact-based.

You should know I'm so proud to represent the 75,000 people of the United States Department of State. When I travel the world, I'll hear from our counterparts all over that, Mike, we learn a lot from the United States. You all help us. You provide foreign aid, whatever it is the assistance might be, but one of the things that you bring to my country is people of integrity. We see how your diplomats work. We see how hard they work. We see how they're honest. We see how they don't show up with paper bags full of cash to get a deal done. We see how it is that they interact with their colleagues, that they treat every human being with the dignity and respect that they deserve.

They see that. They see the representation of these core understandings of the United States of America, which are part of what you're talking about with respect to fact-based presentations. It's literally the case that when I enter a room to try and wrap up a negotiation, whether it's us trying to complete a trade deal or a arms weapon sale or getting permission for our embassy to have more people – whatever it is, our counterparts know that whatever it is I tell them will be truthful, that we won't be playing games, that we won't be engaged in a series of deceptions. It's not that we don't make mistakes, it's not that we don't get things wrong, but we're showing up with a facts-based – a fact-based presentation on behalf of the United States of America, something you all should be incredibly proud of. And I wish it was the case that there were fewer – there were more countries that engaged in international activity in the same way that we do. (Applause.)

Looks like I've got time for one more. Anybody, or did I wear you all out? Yes, sir.

QUESTION: I'm a fifth-generation farmer from northwest Iowa, and I was kind of ready, positioned myself for these trade negotiations, but I grew a really

good crop, so I haven't – I'm not all priced ahead. And I just – we're going to have big carryouts; at least, they're all predicting that we will. Do you have a plan B or whatever to peddle these things someplace other than China that – more reliable for us? I mean, I'm going to encourage you to sell all you want, we'll grow more. (Laughter.)

SECRETARY POMPEO: So there's been lots of ideas floated. I don't know that there's a concrete solution to the question that you raise. I wish I could tell you, yes, we've got them – markets identified, we know the price at which we can clear. I can't tell you that. But there are lots of ideas about how we might do that, ways that we might assist. I know there was some assistance, interim assistance that was provided, but I also know I heard from farmers in Kansas. They appreciated that, they thought it was great, but they'd rather just sell their stuff and run their business and pass it on to the sixth generation.

Yeah, one more. Yes, sir. I saw you had one. Yes.

QUESTION: Mr. Secretary, thanks for coming to Iowa. Ambassador Branstad, thanks for coming back. (Applause.) I wondered if you could touch on North Korea situation and maybe opening up a trade agreement with them, if something (inaudible).

SECRETARY POMPEO: I live in hope. (Laughter.) So a little bit of color on the President's trip to Hanoi perhaps would be of some interest. So I've been at this since the beginning. I took the first trip on behalf of the administration when I was the CIA director. I told a story that's not for repeating to your governor a little bit ago from the first trip. We've been engaged in the fundamental proposition of trying to convince Chairman Kim, who is 35 years old, that the historic strategy which said that absent nuclear weapons North Korea will fall, that the government will fall, that it was their only way of achieving security for the country – and they trust that. They're confident that that will protect them.

We've been trying to convince them with a fact-based presentation that says, actually, your best way – if your goal is tomorrow, you may well be right. But if your goal is two, five, ten, twenty-five years, then, in fact, those nuclear weapons will actually present risk to your country, that running a nation in the way that North Korea has been run is not a sustainable model for the next ten, twenty, thirty years. But it's going to require Chairman Kim to make that strategic decision.

We didn't get there this past trip. In spite of lots of hard work that was done by State Department team, DOD team, all the folks at the Department of Energy over the past weeks working with the North Koreans to try and outline what a real big deal would look like, we didn't get there. So I am hopeful, although I have no commitment yet, that we will be back at it, that I'll have a team in Pyongyang in the next couple weeks continuing to work to find those places where there is shared interest.

A team will also be out working with our partners, right. We've built an enormous coalition, right, all the members of the UN Security Council. People

think of these sanctions as being ours. They're not. They're the world's sanctions. Everyone understands the threat from North Korea. We think we still have everyone on board. We think the whole world still understands the threat, even after the deal that was proffered, which the President didn't think rose to the level of something that he ought to accept, and we're still working at it.

A big component of what we have presented the President refers to as a brighter future for the people of North Korea. A significant component of that brighter future is the economic opportunity that sits in North Korea. For any of you who have studied this, there are enormous structural challenges – infrastructure challenges, electricity challenges. There are many, but it's a pretty fertile place. It's a place with 25 million people, an economy that has enormous potential for growth, and we believe that there are resources and willing partners who will come if we can make it across the Rubicon on the nuclear weapons to build a brighter future for the people of North Korea. And there would almost certainly be an enormous opportunity for American business to serve that 25-million-person market as well. It'll take a while to build them out to a place where they have a significant, scalable middle-class economy, but we've seen other Asian countries do this in time periods that no one believed that it could be pulled off.

MODERATOR: Sir, we have time for one more.

SECRETARY POMPEO: All right, great. Thank you.

Yes, sir.

QUESTION: Do you think China's Belt and Road policy could eventually remove Africa and Europe and Southeast Asia from the American sphere of influence for markets?

SECRETARY POMPEO: No way. So the question is do you think China's Belt and Road is going to take down the United States of America – I summarize. No chance. As I travel the world, people are on to it. They get the shtick. These deals are, in fact, often too good to be true, and many countries have already begun to see that. They show up with products that aren't world-class, with Chinese labor and an enormous debt package which is almost certainly designed for foreclosure. And I think the world is beginning to see that.

And I think too America sat still for too long. We didn't respond to this economic activity, and we're determined to do it. And so you're now seeing American businesses, American diplomats showing up in these conversations, making sure that there's a fact-based discussion about what's really taking place and how it is the case that there are better alternatives than doing some of these deals with China.

I want to be clear: We're perfectly prepared and we welcome China moving around the world when competing. On a fair and level playing field, they have every right to go out and have Chinese companies go compete their brains out, work their tails off, and go compete with us. And if they show up with a

project that is an economically viable project and it is better than what the Europeans show up with or the Americans show up with or what the Japanese or the Australians show up, so be it. We want the Chinese Government to grow its economy and be successful. We see no problem with that.

Where we have a real problem is when they show up with deals that just not a soul in this room would do, that none of you would. How many bankers do we have in the room? Any financing folks? None of you would loan into these deals, and none of you would see the economic outcome that got you to the right place in that transaction. That means almost certainly that there's a political component to the investment.

And I'm very convinced that the world is waking up to this risk. I'm very convinced that America is responding to this risk. And I always believe that over a substantial period of time that markets always beat centralized government every place you find them. And I think that'll be what happens here with the China Belt and Road Initiative as well.

Well, look, thank you all. Thank you so much for your time today. Good luck and God bless you all. Thanks. (Applause.)

[1]. million

The Office of Website Management, Bureau of Public Affairs, manages this site as a portal for information from the U.S. State Department. External links to other Internet sites should not be construed as an endorsement of the views or privacy policies contained therein.

Press Releases: Remarks to the Future Farmers of America

Remarks

Michael R. Pompeo

Secretary of State

Johnston High School

Johnston, Iowa

March 4, 2019

SECRETARY POMPEO: Well, good afternoon, everyone.

AUDIENCE: Good afternoon.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Are you ready to have some fun?

AUDIENCE: Yes.

SECRETARY POMPEO: I have a rule, no boring speeches, so you let me know if I get it or if I don't, all right?

Chase, Grace, thank you so much for those great introductions. I get a chance to give three other sets of remarks today while I'm here in Iowa, but I have been looking forward to this one the entire time. So tell your parents this was the one I was really looking forward to. (Laughter.)

Some of you may not have heard of me before, frankly may not know much about what the State Department does. And I want to spend a little bit of time talking about that. I'm America's most senior diplomat. I spend my days talking to foreign leaders around the world to make sure they know what America stands for, the things that we are looking for, and how they can partner alongside of us.

I also get the job every day of talking with President Trump and doing my best to provide him with my wisdom, my advice, the advice from my team. You see your former governor, Ambassador Branstad, here with us today. (Applause.) He serves in America's embassy, one of 190 around the world. He serves in our embassy in Beijing representing us in China, telling our American story.

I spend a lot of time on airplanes. I flew out here last night. Most of the time you would see me, if you saw me on TV, I'm traveling to a foreign country. I know Iowa is not a foreign country – (laughter) – in case some of you thought maybe I was a little confused. But I want to talk to you about why I'm here, why I'm at this beautiful, gorgeous high school today talking to young people from around Iowa, FFA members.

First, I know I have a lot of friends in FFA. I represented south central Kansas, Wichita and 17 counties around there, a pretty rural place. My family had a farm in a little place called Winfield. My uncle was – it was my Uncle Jim's farm. I spent a lot of summers there. I know it very well. I know how hard that work is. I know how glorious it is. I know that there's good years and bad years. But I also know that these are places that have the value set that represents the best of America, that values family, that keeps their faith and works really, really hard. And so I wanted to come out and get a chance to talk with you, and I'll talk about why in just a little bit, very selfish.

I just came back from Vietnam, from Hanoi, and I also traveled to the

Philippines, to Manila, on that trip. Did anybody watch the President in Hanoi working to try and get the nuclear weapons out of North Korea? Did you all see on TV? We made some progress. We didn't get to where we had hoped to be, and I think there's a lesson in that. I think there's a lot more work to do there. But the threat, the threat that's posed to the United States, to the next generation of Americans from North Korea's nuclear weapons is a serious threat, and my ambition as America's top diplomat is to try and convince them that they don't need their nuclear weapons, that they ought to change strategic course, they ought to begin to give up those weapons systems in a way that will allow the North Korean people to flourish and would reduce the risk here in America.

Last month I was in the Middle East, actually next week I'll travel back out. I'll travel to Beirut, Lebanon and to Israel and then to Kuwait.

You see all of this. This is American diplomacy at work. When I go, all the people from the embassy will have already begun to do the work to convince these countries to work alongside America.

But what some of you may not know is that we also work really hard supporting communities just like yours. In fact, it's you. It's America, American citizens, that are the first client of the United States Department of State. We work hard to support American agriculture as well. We have diplomats in every corner of the world who are working to make sure that markets – so that you all can sell pork and beef, and Kansas can sell their wheat, and corn from Iowa, soybeans from here, and manufacturing, all the things that America makes so wonderfully – that we have access to those markets.

We are very focused on making sure that the opportunity for you all when you leave here and after you're finished with school – the opportunity for you to be successful in the way that you choose is out there and that America is well represented.

I want to also talk a little bit about the State Department. And how many of you have ever considered working for the State Department? A few of you. That's good. That's why I'm here. I want every hand to go up by the time I'm done. We have an amazing workforce. Foreign Service officers – they speak languages, they travel the world, they represent the best of America telling the American story.

And if you haven't taken a look, go to state.gov, check it out. You can study anything you want when you go on to school, when you go on to college. You can study language. You can study science. We have engineers. We have agricultural specialists. We have political officers who have studied international relations. It's a broad range of skill sets that we bring to bear wherever it is that we travel and wherever America has an embassy.

We do this for a reason. American success around the world, our vision of freedom and the respect for every human being, and the capacity for Americans to continue to live their lives the way we want to, depends on our effective diplomacy.

I'm sure some of you have considered entering the military, a noble profession. I was a soldier once a few years back myself. If America's State Department does its job well, then fewer of our young men and women will have to travel into harm's way.

I hope many of you will consider it. We have patriotic, smart leaders who are very mission-driven who come and work for us. And then you'll see – I've got a team around here. If you like – if you like firearms, we've got a big security team. (Laughter.) Being someone who grew up in a place where I loved the right that Americans have under the Second Amendment, we have a great team that keeps all of us safe as we travel the world.

Lastly, I'm going to open it up and take questions. You should know I'll answer almost any question. I used to say any, but now I say almost any question. I've grown wiser as I've gotten older. So there's the infomercial for the State Department. There's a little bit about what we do. Who's got some questions? Who's got something they'd like to ask me?

QUESTION: Hi, I'm Caitlyn Winkler and I'm a JHS student. Teddy Roosevelt was a staunch conservationist, a champion of the environment, and also a Republican. In contrast, our current administration's stance on climate change is oppositional to the general scientific consensus. Why do you feel the modern Republican Party has given up its historical commitment to the environment?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Thank you. Thanks for the question. I don't think we remotely have. I was a congressman some time ago. I now serve in a Republican administration. We have a deep commitment to the environment. Whether you talk to the Secretary of Interior or the Secretary of Homeland Security or me as America's diplomat, we're working all across the world on important environmental issues. I was in Iceland, now three weeks ago, talking about how we can have Iceland succeed while still protecting whales and the environment on the coast of Iceland.

When I travel to countries around the world who have much less advanced systems to keep our air and water safe, we work on how America might provide technology and assistance, sometimes in the form of grants, sometimes in the form of people to come teach them how they can do their mission and get the energy that they need while putting less carbon into the air, operate their commercial systems in ways that don't pollute waterways. Our administration is deeply committed to that.

But I want to address your point, too. The President did make the decision to pull out of the Paris climate agreement, that's absolutely true. I was not directly involved in that decision, but I think it was the right one, too. One of the things I think we all need to remember is it's one thing to write your name on a piece of paper, it's another thing to actually make a difference. And the Paris Agreement itself was going to cost you all, people here in Iowa, an awful lot of money, and, in our judgment, not do hardly any good for the environment. If you take a look at the biggest polluters in the world – and they're part of the Paris climate agreement, but have done almost nothing to actually reduce their greenhouse blueprint – what you need to see

are real actions. America has done that. We have it with our fuel standards, we have it with all kinds of different tools we use. Growing economies get better and better over time at reducing their environmental harm, right. We have cleaner water waste today in the United States than we've had in an awfully long time.

I grew up in Los Angeles in a time that you all wouldn't remember. We couldn't go outside because there were smog alerts a number of times a week. We've really done a good job here in America over the past years, and that comes with successful economies. If you look at the economies that pollute the least on a per-person basis, it's almost always those countries that have enough material wealth to actually perform their economic activity in a way that's less harmful to the environment. It's very important. It's an important part of my job as a Secretary of State. It's important for our government to get this right. And I think the Republican Party and the Democratic Party both take this very, very seriously.

Did that answer your question at least – (Applause.)

QUESTION: My name is Emma Hay, and I'm from the Southeast Polk FFA chapter. In 10 days, a group of our students from our chapter will be going on an international learning opportunity to China. What advice do you have for us in learning about international agriculture on our trip, and what ways do you see agriculture playing a role on international relations?

SECRETARY POMPEO: That sounds like a lot of fun. Good luck to you. Safe travels. I hope you all have a fantastic trip.

Boy, where to begin? So when I travel and meet with foreign leaders, there's often talks about security issues and weapons systems and all the things that we think of as traditional security issues. I don't know that I've traveled through very many countries during my time as Secretary of State and haven't talked about food and agriculture. It's an – and energy that's related to that production of that food and agriculture product.

I think when you go to China, and I think the ambassador would share my view, you'll get a chance to see a country that has hundreds of millions of consumers, and people who are going to move up the income curve over the next 5, 10, 25 years. So a huge opportunity for American companies to sell their products. And you all know this. We have the most innovative, committed, high-tech food production industry anywhere in the world. It's truly unrivaled. Our agrobusinesses, our farmers, our ranchers are truly scientists working on the cutting edge of high-yield, low-resource-demand crops.

Ask these hard questions of the folks – of the people in China. If you go look at Chinese agriculture, it is very different. It is not as advanced as we are. You ought to ask them about that. You should ask them why it's the case that we can't sell our products there, and why it is that they've made the decision to not allow U.S. products to be sold there without enormous barriers, taxes and tariffs that they put on the products. I think you'll have a lively discussion. You'll find an amazing people there in China who want to develop and move further along their production lines as well. I

think you'll have a fantastic trip. It is a central component of what the State Department does, is to both ensure that American companies, food companies, agriculture producers have opportunity to sell their products, but also to help these countries grow their own domestic capabilities so that between those two they can feed all of their people.

The food insecurity in pockets around the world is of staggering proportions, and something the State Department works very hard to reduce every place that we work. Thanks for your question. (Applause.)

QUESTION: Hi. My name's Cory Tracy. I'm from JHS. About one month ago, the United States filed a lawsuit against Chinese tech giant Huawei, including, among other things, the theft of technology. With the corporation now firing back at the government with its own lawsuit, what will the government and the State Department be doing about theft of technology, and patent theft, and foreign powers such as China that may not respect U.S. or international patent laws?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So for an awfully long time, a number of countries – China perhaps the foremost amongst them – has stolen our intellectual property, amounting to hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars of your money, your parents' money, our nation's money that had been invested in technology and innovation. We'd obtained patents or had proprietary product, and the Chinese just flat-out stole it. So did other countries. That's just not right. It's not fair. It's one of the things President Trump has taken on very, very seriously, trying to find a mechanism to convince the Chinese and other countries as well to enforce these basic property rights. It's certainly part of what the State Department does. We talk to countries all the time about this. We impose costs on them in terms of – we make decisions about foreign aid based on how well they enforce U.S. rights.

You talk about Huawei. That's a piece of it, it's the high profile, it's in the news these days. Huawei has at least two things that threaten the United States. One is that they – there's a risk that they'll steal American technology, and frankly, use those systems to invade your privacy. That is, they do telecommunications equipment that provide backbone services for networks, handsets all throughout the IT infrastructure and soon will be moving across the entire world with their new 5G rollout of their equipment.

But second, Huawei also presents a more traditional national security threat. It's very different from in America. If you're working with AT&T or a U.S. telecom provider, a Microsoft or an IBM who's providing IT services or products, it's a private company doing its own thing, trying to make money, trying to grow its business. Huawei is owned by the state of China and has deep connections to their intelligence service. That should send off flares for everybody who understands what the Chinese military and Chinese intelligence services do. We have to take that threat seriously.

I've traveled the world now. I brought it up in Manila. I brought it up in Warsaw. Every place that I go, countries that are considering putting Huawei technology into their government infrastructure, acknowledging that those countries have every right to make their own decision about how to proceed

but making sure they understand the risks of putting that technology inside of their government's IT structure. There's a real risk, though, that the Chinese will use this for purposes that aren't commercial, that aren't for private gain, but rather for the state's benefit. And it's a risk I think these countries ought to very, very carefully consider before they move forward. (Applause.)

All right. We've got a bunch of questions. I'll try and talk less. Here we go.

QUESTION: Hi, my name is Neil Longblade. I attend JHS. My question is: What is your plan to help Iowa farmers with the Beijing retaliation tariffs?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah, well, it's the President's plan, not mine.

QUESTION: Yeah.

SECRETARY POMPEO: (Laughter.) But I'm certainly part of it. Look, these are hard fights, but it's not fair. I ran a small business in Kansas for a decade-plus. When I wanted to invest in a company in China, I frankly couldn't. If they wanted to invest in my company in Wichita, Kansas, they could have. That's not right the same way these tariffs aren't fair, right? If we – if they're selling something here to the United States, to consumers something that you won't purchase and we don't charge them any tariff, probably the way it should be. When we try to sell a product to a consumer in China, there shouldn't be a tariff either. To get rid of those is not easy. China had a true protectionist bent for a very long time.

In previous administrations – this isn't political, it spans Democratic and Republican both – they just didn't want to take it on because it's a hard fight, and there's challenges when you engage in that, right? Other countries respond. They respond by increasing their tariffs or by taking some other action against the United States of America.

But the President concluded, I think rightly so, that it's time, that we need to be serious about that, that we ought to do this in a professional way, engage in deep communications and negotiations with the Chinese about this so that we can get to a place where Americans can sell their goods on a fair, simple, reciprocal ideal that says if you have no tariffs, no barriers, we'll have none either, we'll all go compete. But I'm convinced – you should know I'm convinced if – I am convinced that if Americans get a chance to compete on a level playing field, we'll crush it every time. Thanks. (Applause.)

QUESTION: My name is Grace Long and I'm from the Ballard High School in Huxley. What are the obstacles to the USMCA, essentially the NAFTA reboot, getting approved here in the U.S.? And are there any obstacles in Mexico with the new administration there?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah. So the – I think the USMCA is going to make it. So you can write this down: The Secretary of State made a prediction today. I could be wrong, but I don't see that many obstacles. I've worked closely with the new Mexican Government. My counterpart is a fellow named Marcelo Ebrard,

the foreign minister of Mexico. I think they're going to push through and follow through on what the Pena Nieto government had done. They think it makes sense for Mexico as well. Inside the United States, we've still got to get this through our Congress, so tell your congressman they should support it if you think that they should. I think both parties – I don't think this'll become a political struggle. I remain very optimistic that there's a large consensus that this makes sense for American workers and we'll get it passed. I hope I'm right.

QUESTION: Hello. My name is Osef Ajeba and I'm a student at Johnston High School. So the U.S. prides itself on being a leader for other countries. We provide useful humanitarian aid to destabilized countries and often military technology to ensure the safety of our allies, yet last year, the U.S. announced its withdrawal from the UN Human Rights Council. As a moral authority, how does the U.S. still maintain its part in supporting human rights globally without being on this council?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah, so it's a fantastic question, too. This kind of gets to the same question about the Paris climate agreement. Being part of something called a human rights council doesn't necessarily mean that you're doing everything you can for human rights. If you look at the other members of the Human Rights Council, none of you – not a single person in this room – would believe that those countries actually all cared about human rights. You have countries like Iran, you have countries that are deeply engaged in flawed – of the worst kind of human rights condition sitting on the Human Rights Council. And then you stare at the actions of the Human Rights Council. They spend 60 percent of their time passing resolutions about a single country, Israel. Surely Israel isn't 60 percent of the human rights problem in the world.

And so the United States made a decision. We said we no longer can participate in this organization that is not truly focused on human rights in the same way I think every American values human rights. As Ambassador Haley said when she and I announced this decision, when the Human Rights Council comes back to being actually about human rights, we are happy to rejoin it.

But in the meantime – in the meantime, the United States stands at the forefront of working for human rights all around the world. I was in the Philippines, talking about human rights in the Philippines. When I travel to the Middle East, I make sure and let every country – and these are friendly countries, but we let them know if we don't think they're making the standard, we tell them. We say this is the way we think about it, this is how we think you ought to. And we often make decisions about how we interact with countries based on their human rights activity.

Indeed, next week I will issue a report called the Annual Human Rights Report from the United States Department of State. It's a really long treatise. If you're interested in human rights, you ought to read it. It is a fascinating annual account of the human rights status of nearly every country in the world. And we call them out, friend and foe alike. We talk about where they're getting it right, we talk about where they've improved, we talk about countries that have gone backwards, and it is a factual assessment of what we

observe in the world in terms of human rights and forms the basis for what a lot of human rights organizations – nongovernmental organizations – do around the world.

Having spent a lot of time with President Trump, it is at the center of how we think about how we interact in the world. We make it a priority. We don't always get it right either. We're humble enough to acknowledge that too, but it's a real focus for me and my team at the State Department. (Applause.)

QUESTION: My name's Amanda MacIntosh with the Bondurant-Farrar FFA chapter. The first line of the FFA creed states, "I believe in the future of agriculture." In your opinion, what does the future of agriculture look like in terms of international agriculture, and what career should we preparing ourselves for us to keep up with that future?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Good question. I answered this a little bit already. So in Winfield, Kansas, there's a sign that says it's a – know what a century farm is? So there's a – it's a family farm, my – Jim – my uncle's, a hundred years in the family. And if you watch the history of that farm, I think it answers your question. If you look at how they farmed 60 years ago or 80 years ago, it is radically different than what they do today. The food is safer, for sure. It causes less damage to the environment than it did, and the crop yields are orders of magnitude larger than they were 20, 40, 60 years ago.

Why is that? I think there's three reasons. One, my Uncle Jim worked his tail off, just like everybody who works in the industry does. Second, he was operating in a place where there's innovation and creativity, and where profit can drive people to make good decisions for themselves and in turn for the products that they produce. And finally, he was blessed to be working in the United States of America, a place with infinite capacity for the next generation to reinvent itself and continue to grow.

I watch when I go to these farms – these are high-tech places. I mean, you look at combines, you look at planting cycles, you look at decisions about which fertilizer to use and which seed to use, you will notice better than I do this is science at its finest. People think when they think of tech – if you went to Washington, D.C. and talked to somebody about high tech, they'd think of Silicon Valley, you'd think of the Boston Corridor. I think of Winfield, Kansas. I see the technology that goes into successful, profitable agribusinesses.

So if I were to give you some wisdom, I'd say study hard, work hard, make sure that you and those around you are developing that technology in a way that's going to serve your particular piece of the agriculture community well. And when you do that, State Department will be out making sure you've got a place to sell your products around the world, and together, we'll give you the real opportunity to knock it out of the park.

QUESTION: Hi, my name is Maddie Stout, and I'm with Des Moines Central Campus and the Des Moines FFA. How do you try to find a common ground, negotiate on behalf of the United States?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So the question's how do I find a – try to find a common ground? I don't. I want to win every argument. (Laughter.) That's actually true. So if you take a look at the most complex problems – what's a good example? The debate to try to figure out how to get Middle East peace between the Israelis and the Palestinians, or in the news recently, the longstanding battle in Kashmir between the Indians and the Pakistanis. The work that we do as diplomats is to try and find places where there's common ground. There are places where there's – you all do this too in your everyday life. There's clearly things that are different. In some cases, you see value sets that are different. In some cases, there's territorial disputes. In some case, the arguments arise out of faith. Sometimes they're fighting over resources, right, not just land, but wealth, whether that's oil underground or natural gas or water, whatever it may be.

So those are what drive the conflict and the fight. Our effort is to figure out the places where there's real overlap, and then it is to convince those – sometimes we're in the middle of it, sometimes we're just trying to help bring resolution to a problem or to reduce violence in a particular conflict – it's to make the case that nobody's going to get everything. This is – was my joke at the beginning – in that indeed each party's going to have to accept something less than perfection, something that frankly they think they deserve and just not going to end up with, but convince them that over some extended period of time, that the outcome that everyone's looking for – if they can find a way to work together to achieve it – will deliver better outcomes for each of them. May not be perfection, but it will be better.

It's interesting, I was in the car on the way over here and saw a quick note. I have a team on the ground right now trying to negotiate with the Taliban terrorists in Afghanistan, trying to find a way to achieve an Afghanistan that's not at war, that's not engaged in violence, that doesn't present a threat to the United States of America, that will respect fundamental basic rights for every Afghan citizen – women, children – across the full spectrum. That is a complicated problem, and if you add in the regional players – Afghanistan, Pakistan, India, China, Russia, all who have an interest in Afghanistan – it's an incredibly complicated negotiation.

But Ambassador Khalilzad, the leader of our effort, is there today on the ground trying to do exactly what I just described, to find pockets where there's sufficient agreement that everyone can begin to move forward, take all the various complex pieces and bring them together to hopefully get an agreement. And what's important to keep in mind – and I've talked to you about this twice already, but I think it's really important – not just a piece of paper – that there'll be a big ceremony or a ribbon cutting or we'll announce victory that falls apart, but one – but an agreement that's based on fundamental understandings about different interests and incentives that the parties have so that this agreement will hold and it will stay.

In this case, if we could do this, if we could pull off a resolution in Afghanistan, boy, the good that we could do for the world. I hope Ambassador Khalilzad makes progress. I'm hoping he makes enough progress I can travel there in a couple weeks and help move it along a little bit myself. So I appreciate that question. It's at the center of what we do, and if any of you

think that sounds fun to you, come join the team. We need good negotiators, people who are willing to help others find good, positive outcomes, who can compromise. Thanks. (Applause.)

QUESTION: Hi. My name is Logan Mayhan. I go to Johnston High School. This is more of a state of politics question, but the midterm election excitement and now having the most women in Congress ever, do you think there's room for a young conservative women movement not only in Washington but nationally, and how would we go about educating that younger generation of women?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes. Do I think there's room? Absolutely. Come on. Boy, how do you go about educating – I'll give you my experience from my time. I was running a small business in Wichita, Kansas, and was watching the political scene, and I didn't like what was happening. And I just decided I was going to go for it. I did what you do when you start a small business. I did what you do all along the way, right? You go find three friends that are willing to help you, and you ask those three friends to find three friends that are willing to help them, and you build out an organization. You build out a team. You do that all the while holding on to your values, whatever those values may be. You described it as conservative women. Wherever it is you are on the political spectrum, politics matters. To get it right – our founders talked about this. They talked about the Federalist Papers, and we've read little pieces of the Federalist Papers in high school.

They talked about the fundamental freedoms that we have here, and it takes Americans who are willing to go engage, willing to participate in the political process, sometimes at great risk to themselves, but to do so in a way that is in the best and the finest traditions of our freedom and our liberty here.

So if it's something you have an interest in, my wisdom to folks who are thinking about entering politics is really straightforward. The first thing you do is don't enter politics. First, go out and make sure you get a really good education. Make sure you're ready, that you understand what it is you want to do.

Second, I always think coming at it from a set of experiences where you might have been in business and had a chance to take risk with your own money is very valuable for political leaders from wherever they sit in the political spectrum.

And then, when you've done those two things (inaudible) come after. There's lots of room for people all across the political spectrum. It was an exciting election two years ago, and you and I would know this better than just about anybody. I'm sure 2020 will be all of that as well. (Applause.)

QUESTION: Hi. I'm Earlisha Nussbaum. I go to Johnston High School. And I was just wondering, how do you justify sending weapons to Saudi Arabia when you know the effect on Yemeni citizens who are being harmed by the bombing of Yemen?

SECRETARY POMPEO: So a complicated question. The reason we sell weapons to

Saudi Arabia is very straightforward: They're an important strategic partner of the United States of America. That helps keep everyone in this room safe and secure. That strategic relationship is absolutely vital to the United States of America.

As for Yemen, it's a complicated place. The Iranians have provided weapon systems to the Houthis there, where they have now built missiles that are landing in Saudi Arabia. No, no, no, America wouldn't tolerate this for a second. If the Iranians had provided missiles to a group that was launching weapon systems into Des Moines, I am confident you would demand that your government stand up and push back against that, in the same way the Saudi Government is pushing back against that.

And so it's pretty straightforward. I've been involved with the UN and Martin Griffiths, who's the – he's the special envoy charged with the negotiations that the UN is running there in Yemen to try and take down the civil war. There's an agreement that was put in place in Stockholm now 90 days ago, I guess. It's mostly holding at this point. The United States has been fully supportive of that along with Britain to take down the violence so that they can resolve the conflicts, the civil war in Yemen.

And you should know too, your parents and some of you – how many of you work? How many of you have a job? So you've paid taxes. You all have used – we have used your taxpayer money to provide more humanitarian assistance in Yemen than any other country – America – in the world, save for Saudi Arabia, who has also provided money. There's a real risk of starvation in Yemen today, and there's a real humanitarian crisis there, in addition to the conflict, in addition to the war. And the Emiratis, the Saudis, the British, and us – and the Americans – have provided a substantial amount of resources to try to make sure that those people have the medical care that they need and the foodstuffs that they need as well. (Applause.)

QUESTION: I'm Joseph Jordan with the Ballard FFA chapter. So you've explained to us that you advocate for the U.S. abroad, outside of our borders, but also within our borders. So how would you go around to help bridge the gap between conventional agriculture and nonconventional agriculture? How can we find a common ground between the two?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Tell me what you mean by that. When you talk about the conflict between the two, tell me what you mean.

QUESTION: There's markets where some people are, yes, let's go conventional agriculture, let's use our technologies, our pesticides, and all of that. Or – and there's also a big battle that we shouldn't use any of this, GMOs are not good for the community, they cause health restrictions and – or health problems. And there's arguments across the sides, and how would you go about it, or how, if you were put in that position, how would you go about to bridge the gap?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah. So the good news is that's – I've got lots of problems in the world today. That's not one of mine that's on my agenda most days. (Laughter.) But let me give you a framework for how I think one ought

to approach a problem like that.

We should make sure that we have rules. We should make sure that we have facts – real facts about risk, health risks, risk to the environment, all the things that you all know so well. And then we should let a thousand flowers bloom. We should let these companies go and compete. But we shouldn't let people tell smears about different things. We shouldn't let people put false information into the marketplace when real data, real facts, are out there. Some farmers will choose to farm one way. You see this within conventional and nonconventional. Others will choose another.

This is a great thing about America. Different people will make different decisions and their business will succeed or fail based on their own ingenuity, creativity, and willingness to work their tail off. And so I'm not sure the government ought to have any role of getting in the middle of that. Instead, I think we ought to make sure that everybody gets access to markets, everybody gets opportunity, that we're dealing with the same information, and then consumers will choose, right? Consumers will ultimately make decisions about the food that they want to eat. They should do so based on sound science and good information. When they do, game on, let everyone compete. (Applause.)

QUESTION: My name is Ashley McGovern and I go to Johnston High School. On April 12th, 2018, in the nomination hearing to become the Secretary of State, you stated that you believe climate change is likely human-caused. If that is true, then why do you oppose regulations of greenhouse gas emissions that attempt to reverse or slow the damage we have done and prevent environmental degradation that future generations such as the students gathered here will have to face?

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah, I remember that quote well. I still believe it. I think that's right. When you impose a regulation, you are by necessity imposing a cost, right? My view has always been if the costs exceed the benefit, one ought not do that. And so I opposed a number of regulations that I thought had enormous cost and almost no benefit, and I think that made sense. That was usually from my time in Congress. I spend less time on that now.

But this administration's been very clear we're happy to work on all things that improve safe drinking water, clean air, all of those things. It just doesn't make any sense to put rules in place that don't actually achieve those outcomes. If the rule simply makes us feel good, right, or simply we get to make a political statement that says I'm for the environment but we impose an enormous cost on you all, that wouldn't be right. That's my view as well. (Applause.)

MODERATOR: Secretary Pompeo, as you can see, so many of them came with very thoughtful questions.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yes.

MODERATOR: And we appreciate you taking the time to listen. To keep you on

schedule, we have time for one more question –

SECRETARY POMPEO: All right.

MODERATOR: – and then at the conclusion of that question, we understand that you'd like an opportunity to mingle with the students on the stage.

SECRETARY POMPEO: I will, would be great.

MODERATOR: We also have a photographer up in the balcony, so we're going to get a large group shot.

SECRETARY POMPEO: That's excellent. That would be great.

MODERATOR: So let's do that final question for the Secretary.

QUESTION: Hi. My name is Renee Piekema. I'm with the Waukee FFA chapter. I was part of the Iowa-Kosovo exchange last November. And I was wondering how the Department of State is encouraging students to go abroad to exchange ideas and solutions in agriculture.

SECRETARY POMPEO: Yeah, that's a fantastic question. You all should know that we provide – the opportunity that you described is an example. The State Department's often involved in lots of opportunities for people to travel, not just students but – not just high school students, but college students and others too to travel to make the case for American agriculture across the world. They're great programs.

We also have scholarships, great scholarships that come through the State Department. A lot of people actually go study there, sometimes for their entire time in school, but often for a semester or a year. And then we have fantastic exchange programs that are run through State Department affiliates. I'd encourage any of you who have an interest, pick a country that you love or you're interested in, go check it out, and then see what's available to help underwrite, to help pay for an opportunity to create a real chance for you to go see and visit one of those places.

It is remarkable. As you all get a chance to travel around the world to see other human beings, how they rose to their place in life, how their countries interact, I think you'll see lots of wonderful places. I have met more good friends around the world in this role than you can possibly imagine, but I have also come to see what an enormous blessing I was given to be born here and be a United States citizen. We live in an incredibly unique nation, in my view the greatest in the history of civilization, that gives us so much enormous opportunity. And when I travel and see these people from these other countries, sometimes they like us more than other times, sometimes they get frustrated with us. We have a big economy and we're a very powerful country.

But I will say this: Nearly to a person, they have enormous respect for all of us because we – we're out there as a force for good, trying to make the world just a little bit better in everything that we do, treating every human being with dignity and respect. Those are the hallmarks of the great nation of the United States. So I think your chance to work with the State

Department to travel and see and go experience that, I hope you'll come back and realize that you visited a great place, but you got a chance to come home to an even greater one.

So thanks for that question and thanks for your very thoughtful questions today. I really appreciate that. I've been in front of a lot of groups and you all clearly have it going on. So thank you very much for that.
(Applause.)

The Office of Website Management, Bureau of Public Affairs, manages this site as a portal for information from the U.S. State Department. External links to other Internet sites should not be construed as an endorsement of the views or privacy policies contained therein.

Press Releases: Assistant Secretary for Energy Resources Francis R. Fannon Travel to Canada

Media Note
Office of the Spokesperson

Washington, DC
March 4, 2019

Assistant Secretary of State for Energy Resources Francis R. Fannon will travel to Toronto March 4-5.

During his trip, Assistant Secretary Fannon will meet with senior Canadian officials and international energy and mining ministers as well as private sector representatives to discuss good governance in the extractive sector and regional cooperation on energy issues. He will participate in the International Mines Ministers Summit, which focuses on resources in the energy sector.

For further information, contact Vincent Campos, Spokesperson for the Bureau of Energy Resources, at CamposVM@state.gov or visit www.state.gov/e/enr.

Additional information is available on Twitter at @EnergyAtState.

The Office of Website Management, Bureau of Public Affairs, manages this site as a portal for information from the U.S. State Department. External links to other Internet sites should not be construed as an endorsement of the views or privacy policies contained therein.