

# Facts at a Glance- Punjab Assembly Elections -2017

Facts at a Glance- Punjab Assembly Elections -2017

---

## Green Party warns Government cuts to policing are putting lives at risk



Green Party

2 March 2017

The Green Party is warning of the danger of underinvestment in public services following the revelation policing in England and Wales is in a “perilous state” due to Government cuts [1].

Jonathan Bartley, Green Party co-leader, said:

“The devastating impact of Government underinvestment is clear. Severe budget cuts mean some police officers can’t properly respond to emergencies, track down offenders or protect domestic violence victims. This is now clearly putting lives at risk.

“Underinvestment in the NHS, social care and prisons has already created even greater need, and put even greater strain on public services. Rather than being dealt with appropriately and sensibly, problems are being passed from one agency to another, including the police service, and greater cost being incurred in the long run.

“Despite the hard work of those on the frontline, the people who deserve help, support and protection are being left without it. These cuts are short-sighted and no solution. The Government must recognise this urgently before the budget next week, and change course, otherwise even more lives will be put at risk.”

**Notes:**

1. <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2017/mar/02/inspectorate-police-engaging-dangerous-practices-austerity-cuts-diane-abbott>

[Tweet](#)

[Back to main news page](#)

[Let's block ads! \(Why?\)](#)

---

## [Press release: Change of Her Majesty's Ambassador to Peru](#)

2015 – present Beijing, Political Counsellor 2013 – 2015 Beijing, Outreach and Bilateral Counsellor 2010 – 2013 Hanoi, Deputy Head of Mission Oct 2009 – April 2010 Maternity Leave Apr 2008 – Oct 2009 Roles in Policy Planning, Strategy, Resources and Finance May 2007 – Apr 2008 Maternity Leave 2006 – 2007 Head India, Nepal, Bhutan Team 2005 – 2006 Head Strategy, International and Media, Afghan Drugs Interdepartmental Unit 2001 – 2005 Hong Kong, Vice Consul Economic 2000 – 2001 Desk Officer Conflict Prevention, Refugee Policy & Humanitarian, United Nations Department 2000 Joined FC0

---

## [News story: New low carbon heating for Gateshead unveiled](#)

The newly-completed Gateshead District Energy Centre is the first of its kind and scale in the North East and will generate and supply low-carbon, low cost energy for up to 350 local homes and businesses in the area.

On a tour of the new centre Minister for Industry and Energy, Jesse Norman said:

This investment in local energy supplies is intended to deliver low carbon energy at competitive prices for local customers. It is a great example of the kind of local initiatives our new Industrial Strategy is looking to support.

Through our ambitious Industrial Strategy Green Paper, the Government is working hard to promote growth across the North East and the rest of the UK, and to ensure the supply of secure, affordable and low-carbon energy for businesses and households.

Unlike conventional power stations, Gateshead's District Energy Centre is capable of capturing waste heat created during the energy generation process and recycling it to keep buildings nearby warm. In addition the network has been designed to ensure the Energy Centre will be able to meet all the energy needs of future developments planned for Gateshead town centre, underpinning the redevelopment of the Baltic Business Quarter, plus the Gateshead Quays area and major housing developments.

The energy centre uses a pair of 2MW gas-powered combined heat and power (CHP) plants to generate enough electricity to power 5,000 homes, with the waste heat from the engines being recovered to provide hot water for heating.

Affordable energy and clean growth is a key pillar of the government's Industrial Strategy green paper, with a clear commitment to ensure the supply of secure, affordable and clean energy for businesses and households across the UK.

---

## [John McDonnell MP pre-Budget speech](#)

**John**

**McDonnell MP, Labour's Shadow Chancellor**, speaking at the South Bank Centre ahead of next week's Budget, said:

**\*\*\*CHECK  
AGAINST DELIVERY\*\*\***

Next week, the Chancellor will stand up in Parliament to deliver his first – and last – spring Budget.

He will no doubt want to paint a rosy picture of progress since the Autumn Statement, just a few months ago.

But if progress has been so significant, and all is going so well – why is the government continuing to pursue spending cuts?

From the NHS to social care, from prisons to education, our public services are in crisis.

Brexit will present challenges to this whole country.

Labour

is prepared to meet them.

Yet

instead of rising to the challenge I fear the approach from this government on the economy is to continue the failures of the past.

Look

behind the headline figures and the real story is apparent.

The

essential facts on our economy remain as follows.

Low

investment over many decades has led to a low productivity, low wage economy.

Insecure

and poorly-paid work dominates new job creation.

That,

in turn, means that the tax base needed to secure our public services is less stable.

Deliberate

decisions by this government to privilege tax giveaways to the super-rich and giant corporations have further undermined the tax base.

The

model is not sustainable.

The

failure at a national level is palpable.

The

Conservatives will soon have added three quarters of a trillion pounds to the national debt since they arrived in office.

At

the same time, they will have imposed the first spending cuts on schools for forty years.

An

NHS in a state of profound crisis.

Those

who work in and manage our public services have done their best under the austerity onslaught.

Local

authorities in particular have had to cope with the most extraordinarily sharp funding cuts.

They  
will not sustain a further round of spending cuts.

So  
when the Treasury casually announces that it is looking for a further 6% of funding cuts to some government departments, as they did this week, it is an act of gross irresponsibility.

And  
the comments today from the head of the Care Quality Commission that the NHS “stands on a burning platform” have driven home the scale of the crisis.

Cuts  
to social care, amounting to £4.5bn since 2010, have brought the system to the  
brink of collapse.

Over  
1m vulnerable elderly people, including many who are very frail, now lack access to the care they need.

This  
is one of the richest countries in the world, and yet Tory austerity has brought our public services to the brink.

Social  
care has a £1.9bn deficit in funding for this year.

This  
needs to be filled immediately to stabilise the system.

Based  
on estimates by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy, the  
NHS and social care face a funding gap of between £8.5 and £15bn by 2020.

Published  
figures indicate that tax receipts are currently higher than anticipated.

Given  
that we’re facing an immediate crisis in the NHS and social care, I’m calling on the Chancellor to use that money to address this NHS and social care emergency.

Any  
measure less than this is likely to be inadequate.

It  
is not just those who rely on our public services who have suffered under this  
government.

The slump in living standards overseen by this Tory government is the worst this country has experienced since the Industrial Revolution.

The Chancellor may try and boast about rising GDP.

But that hasn't turned into real improvements in people's lives.

The reality of our economy is that average real hourly pay remains over 10% below its level before the crash.

And that cuts to public services have now placed them, as the independent Institute for Government has said, close to outright collapse.

The record on living standards is the worst of any leading economy.

Only Greece has seen a bigger fall in real pay.

Britain has the distinction of being the only large developed economy in which wages fell even as economic growth returned after the crash.

And now rising inflation as the government mishandles Brexit is devaluing people's wages further.

Yet the government has reneged on its promised National Living Wage level, and is continuing to pursue cuts in in-work benefits.

Analysis out this morning by the Institute of Fiscal Studies shows that low-income working families with children will suffer most.

The average household will be £5,000 worse off by the end of this Parliament than they might have expected.

If the economy is growing, the benefits must be shared fairly.

The Chancellor must reverse the £70bn giveaway to the super-rich and giant corporations between now and 2021.

And  
the cruel £3.7bn cut to Personal Independence Payment for disabled people  
must  
be halted.

Labour  
will bring in a £10 an hour Real Living Wage to make sure work always pays  
fairly.

Our  
public services, from education to local councils to prison services and  
social  
care are in deepening crisis and the burden is falling disproportionately on  
women.

It  
is women who are bearing the brunt of low pay, cuts to in-work benefits, and  
the public sector pay cap.

Put  
together, this government has created a toxic mix.

Independent  
estimates by the Women's Budget Group suggest that 86% of cuts in public  
spending  
since 2010 have fallen on women.

The  
Chancellor must take action in the Budget next week to fund our public  
services  
and end this discrimination.

In  
the place of austerity, Labour want a Budget that  
works for women, that invests in jobs for women, funds the services that  
women  
depend on and advances women's equality and economic independence.

It  
is the National Health Service and our social care services that tell us the  
most about this government's failures.

It  
is essential that the government uses this Budget to give the NHS and social  
care the funding they urgently need.

The  
present Conservative government has been condemned for its fast-and-loose  
approach to NHS spending.

The  
Chief Executive of NHS England has dismissed government claims that current  
funding is adequate – let alone more than was asked for.

The Public Accounts Committee has rebuked this government for raiding the NHS capital budget to meet NHS spending.

The Health Select Committee has dismissed the government's claims on increased funding.

The reality is that this government has consistently failed to provide the funding that the NHS needs, and that it will continue to need into the future.

Yet the rhetoric from the Prime Minister downwards has suggested anything but.

There is an air of unreality about her claims that more and more patients are being seen by more and more doctors.

The experience on the ground of patients, doctors, and nurses is of a treasured institution already drifting into the greatest crisis in its history.

The reality is that the Tories are imposing a real-terms cut per head in healthcare spending.

Current plans from the government do not come anywhere close to addressing the scale of the crisis.

It is essential that they now bring forward plans to close the funding gap if we do not want to lose our NHS.

Labour will never break from the fundamental principle that our National Health Service should be free at the point of use.

And we will reverse Tory privatisation, by renationalising the NHS.

It will require bolder steps to secure NHS funding where demand pressures are rising, confidence in government is low, but retaining the NHS' historic mission of healthcare, free at the point of delivery is a national priority.

Public trust and confidence must be restored.



Not  
only in the government of the day.

But  
in governments for the rest of this century and beyond.

Recent  
discussions around the long-term future of the NHS have helped clarify some  
important issues.

I  
want to lay out some of the framework on how Labour will be looking to  
develop  
its thinking in the future.

The  
financing of the NHS has become excessively politicised to the point where  
even  
supposedly official figures are subject to dispute.

There  
needs to be an independent adjudication of both needs, and actual provision,  
to  
restore public trust and confidence.

The  
Office for Budget Responsibility has already taken steps to assess the levels  
of funding needed for the NHS in the longer term.

I  
have written to Robert Chote to ask about the ability of the OBR to continue  
to  
provide these assessments, as part of its overall brief to monitor the  
government's fiscal position.

To  
change the OBR's responsibilities and bring in permanent oversight on  
healthcare funding would require primary legislation from government.

Fair  
and objective assessments of long-term need are required, along with close  
monitoring of actual spend being made.

That's  
a bigger task than Ministers can provide.

We  
need a political neutral body, modelled on the Office for Budget  
Responsibility, that can remove the question of long-term funding from the  
political squabbling.

Only  
in this way can public confidence in the figures be restored – and essential  
spending correctly made.

Second,  
we have to place funding for the NHS on a longer-term basis.

As  
Lord Macpherson and others have suggested, placing the NHS on a stable  
five-year financing basis means that certainty of funding can be assured.

But  
we need to do more than tie funding down for the length of a Parliament and  
look to ten-year budgets.

The  
pressures that we know of today will continue to build up over decades.

We  
need NHS budgets that can assure funding on those timescales.

Third,  
we must show those expected to pay for the NHS that their tax money is  
well-spent.

The  
simple truth is that after the financial crash and years of failed austerity,  
governments are not trusted.

Creative  
accounting and stealth taxes have helped chew away public trust in the  
system.

The  
fact that the wealthy can seemingly dodge their taxes at will has further  
undermined public confidence in the tax system.

And  
politicians, thinking only about the electoral cycle, have too many  
incentives  
to game the system.

People  
need to know that the contribution they make will be spent properly.

Hypothecation,  
allocating taxes raised to specific purposes, can make absolutely clear where  
tax money is being spent.

It  
can help restore the trust and confidence in taxation and government spending

that has otherwise started to break down.

But

hypothecation for the NHS has to be more than a commitment from a politician or a political party to spend a given amount, however firm that promise.

It

needs a clear commitment, over the long term, that specific taxes will be used for specific purposes, and that this spending will be properly monitored.

The

government's rhetoric on the economy has changed profoundly over the last year.

They're

catching up with some of positions we've staked out.

The

Chancellor claims he now accepts the need for government to invest, rather than to slash investment.

He

just won't deliver properly on it.

And

the Prime Minister has offered fine words about the "good that government can do".

And

yet her government actively pursues NHS spending cuts that have contributed to 30,000 excess deaths in a year.

These

are not my figures, but those of the Royal Society of Medicine.

The

disconnect between what Ministers say, and what they do, has reached dramatic proportions.

The

reason for the disconnect is clear.

The

Tory Party know that after years of austerity and sliding living standards, the sentiment against political elites out there in the country is palpable.

That

mood was a critical factor in driving the vote to Leave the European Union

last  
year.

This  
government have sensed the mood and adapted to circumstances.

They've  
borrowed the rhetoric of protest and now pose as champions of the workers.

Only  
five months ago, the Prime Minister and her Chancellor were giving the  
impression that austerity was coming to an end.

But  
much of the austerity is yet to come.

In  
the end, the Tory leadership are the elite.

So  
they can make all the grand promises they wish.

But  
they can't deliver the transformation our economy now needs.

They  
don't have the political will to do it.

Labour  
has already begun to lay out its alternative.

We  
want a break with the past – not a continuation of its mistakes.

So  
the fundamental task of any reforming government in the future will be to  
rebuild and reconstruct our economy.

Our  
Fiscal Credibility Rule and commitment to invest means the next Labour  
government will break with the failures of the past.

We  
will bring down the deficit whilst committing real government resources to  
increase investment.

By  
the end of the next Labour government, the national debt, relative to trend  
GDP, will be lower than what we will inherit.

We'll

reverse years of underinvestment across the whole country.

Not

just in the few existing centres for growth and prosperity.

But

delivering the funding needed so that our smaller towns and communities can share in the prosperity.

The

great divide between London and the rest has to be overcome.

We'll

introduce legislation to correct the bias in investment funding for the regions.

We'll

commit the funding needed for specific infrastructure investments, like the £10bn Crossrail for the North or new tidal lagoons.

Labour

is committed to delivering one million new houses, and building a new generation of council housing.

And

we need a government prepared to give back control to our localities.

So

alongside the National Investment Bank, the next Labour government will create

a network of regional development banks that will supply the funding needed on

the ground for local businesses to flourish.

We

can allow workers and those wishing to set up and run their own businesses the

opportunity to take control back away from the boardrooms where short-term decision-making has dominated.

The

railways will be renationalised by Labour.

But

we'll also introduce a "Right to Own" for workers, giving them first refusal on

taking control of companies undergoing a change of ownership.

And

we'll use the regional development banks to support a new generation of co-operative businesses, at least doubling the size of our co-operative sector.

Small

and new businesses will be properly supported with reforms to business rates, financing from the regional development banks, and support for business hubs in every major town and city, allowing new businesses to work together and collaborate.

We'll

support investment by manufacturing firms by removing plant and machinery from business rates.

And

we'll reform corporate governance laws to block raiders trashing profitable companies and bankrupting pension funds.

We

want our large corporations to work for the public good – not against it.

So

we'll also introduce a fair pay ratio to stop top bosses paying themselves excessively.

But

to reverse the slide in living standards, we'll need to do more.

Labour's

Real Living Wage will be a £10/hour minimum, meaning work will always pay properly.

The

public sector pay cap will be lifted.

We'll

repeal the Trade Union Act.

And

we've fought to defend the rights of EU migrants here, who contribute so much to our public services and our economy.

The

Lords have passed Labour's amendment and we urge the government to immediately bring forward a guarantee to protect the rights of all EU nationals resident here.

We'll

be working with our European colleagues to protect the rights of EU citizens here and UK citizens in the EU.

And

of course we'll halt the austerity cuts to in-work benefits and payments to people with disabilities.

We need a clear plan for government to intervene on a major scale, supporting essential industries, fostering new sectors and above all creating decent, secure jobs across the whole country.

We'll use the power of government procurement, backed up by the National Investment Bank, to deliver a massive expansion of industries like renewables where the global potential is enormous and our natural resources so significant.

The next Labour government will break the cartel of the Big 6 energy suppliers, creating the conditions for local, decentralised, low-carbon energy by supporting local authorities and co-operatives.

We'll target 3% of GDP spent on scientific research, from all sources, to deliver on the huge potential of our scientific research base.

From a laggard in research spending, we'll move to being a leader.

We can't run first rate public services on a second or third rate economy.

But we can't pay for first rate public services unless the tax system works fairly and effectively.

There'll be no place to hide for tax avoiders under Labour.

Our Tax Transparency and Enforcement Programme will clamp down on the worst avoiders.

And building on the successful Nordic model, we'll introduce legislation to make public the tax returns of those earning over £1m.

Transparency and fairness is at the heart of building a decent, open society.

This will help restore public trust in the tax system – and help clamp down on any avoidance.

This programme of structural reform should all be taken as fundamental.

This

is, in outline so far, the economic programme of the next Labour government.

It represents nothing less than the transformation of this country.

We don't have to settle for the steady management of decline under the Tories.

And we don't have to accept the failings of an elite that have lead us into a decade of falling living standards, insecurity, and failing public services.

There is an enormous potential here, in every part of the country.

We can build a radically fairer, more democratic, and more prosperous society.

We can, together, turn this whole country round.