

[My response to Michael Gove's consultation](#)

Dear Michael,

I see you are asking Telegraph readers for their views on vaccine passports.

Your article seemed to be contradictory. It said we cannot rely on the vaccines to give us 100% protection so we need CV 19 passports. You then say we should rely on vaccines in a different way by only allowing vaccinated people to do certain things and give them a passport. How does this add to the protection, as in either case with or without the certificate we rely on the vaccination?

There is the residual issue of the small minority of adults who will not have the vaccine. Many of these will need to be given exemptions for health reasons or pregnancy, defeating the object you see in the control. If the idea has anything to recommend it it is simply to remove a few people that have no officially accepted reason for not being vaccinated from attending various events who might get the disease. They will presumably be offered the alternative of a test which may or may not be accurate. Given we are talking about a very high vaccine take up rate it seems likely there will anyway be little risk of picking up CV 19 as we will have something approaching herd immunity. In the dreadful event of a mutation that defeats the vaccine the system you recommend of course ceases to work and everyone is back at risk.

As you recognise there are technical issues about the use of apps and the necessary paper or card alternatives, and problems with the reliability of data back up. Some non believers without vaccination will operate to cheat the systems. Do we want to become a society where we will need to carry papers to do simple tasks and enjoy entertainments and sports? It is against all my instincts, born into a history based on the journey to freedom and liberty for all.

Yours

John

[The EU's protectionism boosts the rush to onshore UK activities](#)

An article in the Sunday Telegraph argued this week-end that the most important achievement of Ursula von der Leyen in her first year as Head of

the EU Commission has been to force the creation of a UK vaccine industry. In its usual pro EU way the officials of the UK government had been happy to organise vaccine supply and purchasing on a cross EU Basis. The increasingly threatening noises of the EU about vaccine distribution allied to Ministers grasping the need to control production and deliveries here at home in default of free trade with the EU changed this approach. It led to deals where a business agreed to make and pack in the UK to get the launch aid and the orders they needed to make a viable business. That has to become a more generally accepted model in the many other areas where the EU is out to take our business.

I have long been arguing that the UK needs to use its extensive public purchasing intelligently to promote competitive production and supply here at home on a wider front. It's what the French and Germans have been doing for years. You do not see their Ministers and business leaders travelling around in top end cars made in the UK, or pressing for pipes and interconnectors to buy in UK energy. The economic nationalism of the leading continental countries have long been assisted by EU rules they help design and enact. In sector after sector where the UK had a good position prior to joining the EEC in 1972 we have seen loss of market share and increasing dependence on EU imports as result of their protectionist and nationalist strategies. They have been delivered through a willing EU that has its own reasons to make the UK more dependent on continental goods. We ended up importing energy whilst we are an energy rich country that always used to supply its own needs for coal, electricity and more recently oil. We were largely self sufficient in temperate foods, only to see heavily promoted and subsidised supplies from the Netherlands and elsewhere on the continent displace a significant amount of home production. The EU sent grants to get the UK to grub up orchards at home to rely on imported fruit. Falling short of the provocative idea of integrating defence, the EU moved to encourage and require plenty of joint procurement and the provision of weapons with complex multi country supply chains, limiting our scope to defend ourselves and removing important jobs from home so the wider EU could benefit from the UK's larger defence budget.

The USA under its new President who adopts a lot of socialist proposals is keen to build Fortress America. The US is not exporting vaccines all the time they need them at home, and is busily building its own expanded vaccine industry on the back of public sector orders. The supply chain initiative I have commented on here is designed to onshore much more industry to the USA after they too have drifted to reliance on huge imports. Biden will use trade policy, tariffs, competition policy, public procurement and public subsidy to recreate more industry and technology in the USA.

Government directed business is not usually a good idea. Nationalised industries usually fall behind in innovation and competitiveness and come to rely more and more on state power to enforce their will and perpetuate an out of date business model. They end up sacking workers and raising prices to pay for inefficiencies. Biden has to avoid taking the USA down the path of too much government intervention at a time when that is the preferred route of the Chinese and of the EU.

The UK now needs to use its potential freedoms out of the EU to find that

magic spot which allows the state to buy, source and assist in a positive way whilst ensuring most is done by competitive private sector businesses striving for those contracts and grants by innovating, changing and controlling costs well. The state will of course continue to provide the Free NHS and free schooling.

With advanced country governments spending around half their national incomes you cannot ignore the impact of the state on economic activity. Only if you make intelligent use of that spending power without seeking to control everything can you hope to grow faster. You also need to be aware of just how rigged markets now are in so many important places in the world. The EU above all places regulation and EU champions well above free trade or competitive forces. The winners in terms of greater prosperity and faster growth will be those who allow a larger private sector to survive and thrive, without being naive about the nature of some international methods to gain unfair advantage.

[John Redwood's Diary](#)

John Redwood's Diary

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com> Speaking for England Wed, 31 Mar 2021 13:59:41 +0000 en-GB hourly 1 <https://wordpress.org/?v=5.6.2>

Early years teaching reforms

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/31/early-years-teaching-reforms/>
<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/31/early-years-teaching-reforms/#respond>

Wed, 31 Mar 2021 13:59:41 +0000

[Early years teaching reforms](#)

All MPs have received the letter beneath from the Schools Minister concerning early years teaching which I will share with you for interest:

Reception Baseline Assessment and Early Years Foundation Stage reforms

I am writing to inform you that we are proceeding with the introduction of the new

Reception Baseline Assessment and the Early Years Foundation Stage reforms on a statutory basis from the start of the 2021/22 academic year. The necessary legislation will be laid before Parliament today. I would also like to take this

opportunity to provide a reminder of the purpose and aims of both and why

they are

being introduced at this time.

As you will be aware, following the cancellation of primary assessments in summer

2020/21, the Government announced its intention to run a full programme of primary

assessments in the 2021/22 academic year, including the introduction of the statutory Reception Baseline Assessment. This assessment was due to be introduced as statutory in September 2020, but due to the impact of the Coronavirus

pandemic on schools, the 2020/21 academic year has instead been an “early adopter” year. For the Early Years Foundation Stage reforms, academic year 2020/21 was also an early adopter year as planned, ahead of planned statutory rollout from September 2021.

Reception Baseline Assessment

The purpose of this assessment is to act as the starting point to measure the progress schools make with their pupils between Reception and the end of primary

school. It will be administered as a short, teacher-mediated, age-appropriate assessment covering material that many pupils will already be familiar with, and will

be carried out in normal teaching time using materials and guidance provided.

The

assessment will assess all children on-entry, accounting for any impact on their

education up to this point. The new progress measure will ensure schools are recognised for the work they do with their pupils, in particular for those schools with

a challenging intake and those who have been significantly affected by the COVID19 pandemic.

Additionally, the Reception Baseline Assessment itself will provide valuable one-to-one time with each child, particularly during those important first weeks. It is also

important to note that its introduction will mean that statutory assessments at the end of year 2 (Key Stage 1) will be made optional, lessening the overall assessment

burden on schools, lightening teacher workload and giving them greater flexibility

on how best to carry out end of year assessments. A factsheet which clears some

common misconceptions regarding the Reception Baseline Assessment is attached at Annex A.

Early Years Foundation Stage reforms

The Early Years Foundation Stage statutory framework sets the standards that all

early years providers must meet. This includes curriculum, assessment and health

and safety requirements.

Following the Government’s response to the Primary Assessment Consultation in 2017, we developed, piloted and consulted on reforms. The two overarching aims

are to improve outcomes for all children at age 5 and to reduce practitioner and teacher administrative workload so that more time can be spent interacting with children in their care.

An independent review of the reforms pilot was published by the Education Endowment Foundation and NatCen in 2018 and the Government then launched a full public consultation on the proposed changes. The consultation concluded on 31 January 2020 and received 2,452 responses.

The pilot report and consultation responses informed the final reforms, which are as follows:

- Revisions to the educational programmes, which form the high-level curriculum for children in the early years.
- Revisions to the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile assessment, which takes place at the end of Reception year to make the Early Learning Goals clearer, more specific and easier for teachers to make accurate judgments. The 'exceeding' assessment criteria will also be removed from the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile to ensure a focus on supporting all children to reach the expected level before year 1, and there will no longer be a requirement for local authorities to externally moderate these judgements in schools to reduce bureaucracy and free teachers up to spend more time interacting with children.
- A change to the safeguarding and welfare requirements to promote good oral health.

Over 3,000 early adopter schools have been implementing the reforms since September 2020. The reforms will serve as an important platform to help children

catch up in key areas such as communication and language and personal, social and emotional development, which are important now more than ever in the context of COVID-19.

Preparedness of schools and early years settings

The Department believes that early years settings and schools will be able to prepare appropriately to implement these two reforms. Extensive preparatory work has been undertaken to enable the reforms to proceed, while the third period of

national Covid-19 restrictions has reduced infection rates, and the parallel vaccination rollout is progressing well. Schools in England have returned to full

attendance since 8 March.

In addition, in acknowledgement of the impact of disruption on schools and early

years settings up to this point, the Department has announced a range of recovery

support and is developing longer term plans. The Department also recognises the

key role of these reforms in supporting children who have spent extended periods

of time out of their nursery, school or childminder setting this year. The reforms aim

to improve outcomes for all children in early years settings, particularly disadvantaged children, and to provide a powerful basis for supporting children's catch up from the implications of COVID-19. Reception Baseline Assessment reforms will have a less immediate impact on catch up but remain essential to the Department's aim of creating a better progress measure to improve school performance and reduce attainment gaps in the long-term. We believe it is the best approach to proceed with the reforms, given the policy goals of the Department and the momentum built up in the sector. We also believe it is right to take and communicate a final decision now, in order to give much needed certainty and ensure early years settings and schools prepare for statutory implementation. The Department will ensure overall workload demands are managed, including inputting to wider discussions on burden on schools and early years settings in 2021/22 to ensure the Department accounts for the introduction of these reforms.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/31/early-years-teaching-reforms/feed/> 0
Locally Sourced Food

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/31/locally-sourced-food/>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/31/locally-sourced-food/#comments>

Wed, 31 Mar 2021 05:42:14 +0000

[Locally Sourced Food](#)

I recently wrote to the main supermarkets about customers being able to buy more locally and UK sourced food. I have received the enclosed responses from Morrisons, Tesco and Asda which they said I could share with you. I am happy to reproduce the other replies if they wish when I receive them. I encourage any UK based food retailer to do a good job selling and promoting UK produced food. They are in alphabetical order. Tesco gave the most rapid response.

Asda

"Thank you for your email regarding British sourcing. As a company established by a group of

Yorkshire dairy farmers, this is an important issue for Asda.

We keep our fish sourcing under review, but due to the need of having to maintain a

sustainable supply, this does sometimes come from overseas. However, we do support small

suppliers, such as Downies of Whitehills Cullen Skink and ScottyBrand Smoked

Salmon.

Some of our seafood is UK sourced, including Atlantic Herring, Mackerel and Edible Crab.

We have a dedicated local sourcing team, who enable small suppliers to supply Asda stores, including the facility to be stocked in a single local store. This covers a wide range of different products.

As you may be aware, Asda has recently had a change in ownership. With this change, we

have pledged to source 100% British beef, 100% British dairy and increase our sourcing of bread wheat to 100% British.

You may be interested in the results of a recent customer insight survey we carried out,

where we asked about attitudes to buying British. This showed a divide in attitudes depending

on age, with 56% of 18-34 year old customers saying it is important, rising to 81% of 55+. The

overall importance of buying British was listed as the eighth biggest issue for our customers,

with them viewing recycling, reducing food waste and tackling antisocial behaviour as their biggest issues.

While this does show differing attitudes towards British sourcing, we are keen to do all we can

to support small British suppliers throughout our stores, and constantly keep sourcing under review."

(their survey showed 76% thought buying British was important.)

Morrisons

"Morrisons is committed to buying British and giving our customers a great – and growing – choice of locally sourced food and drink.

We are the nation's largest fresh food manufacturer and operate our own abattoirs, food manufacturing sites, and produce pack houses. We have recently added to this capacity with the acquisition earlier this month of Falfish, a family-owned processor of sustainably sourced seafood based in Cornwall.

For customers, this acquisition will mean further improvements to the range, quality and availability of fresh fish and shellfish at our in-store counters. Following the deal over 80% of Morrisons fish and shellfish – both in our 497 stores and in our online business – will come from Morrisons wholly-owned seafood operations (Morrisons already owns two seafood processing sites close to the docks in Grimsby).

This deal is a real commitment to the South West fishing industry which we believe will benefit the local economy as well as offering our customers an

improved range of freshly caught fish and an investment in our in-store fishmongers.

You also asked about local produce. One of our core priorities is 'local integration and serving the community' and we have made positive strides on this in recent years. Prior to the pandemic, our buyers were touring the nation and hosting 'local foodmaker' events which offered local producers the opportunity to showcase their products. Through our 'local foodmaker' programme we have now surpassed a key milestone of 1,000 new, local products (from 220 local suppliers) which we have sourced from 37 events held across Britain in the last few years. This programme continues although with the onset of Covid we have been forced to hold events online.

Many local food producers are continuing to expand their geographic reach through their relationship with Morrisons and more of our stores have local products on offer. As an example, our store at Lake, Isle of Wight, is one of our most integrated stores so far, stocking locally supplied milk, cheese, cream, coffee, eggs, meat, tomatoes, biscuits and garlic."

Tesco

"At Tesco, our ambition is to be British agriculture's most trusted partner, helping to secure a successful future for farming, food and fisheries.

As part of our commitment to a competitive and productive UK agriculture sector, we've set up a number of Tesco Sustainable Farming Groups (TSFGs). The Groups, led by our suppliers, farmers and Tesco colleagues, are organised by sector and are central to our work of building long-term relationships with our farmers. We're also supporting new entrants and young farmers through our Future Farming Foundation, which each year provides 50 young farmers from across the UK and Ireland with guidance, support and training.

In store customers will find that all of our milk is British, sourced from British dairy farmers who are guaranteed a fair price for every pint through our Fair for Farmers Guarantee. We support our dairy farmers through the Tesco Sustainable Dairy Group, which was the first of the TSFGs to be set up in 2007, and now represents the largest group of dairy farmers working with a retailer directly. In addition to this, 100% of our beef, chicken, eggs and cheese is British and Irish. Alongside this we have a dedicated local sourcing team to evaluate and identify locally-sourced products, which are then sold in store – giving customers access to top quality, British products.

Recognising the demand from our customers for homegrown, seasonal produce, we work with trusted growers across the UK to deliver this all year round and include our Best of British Union Jack on packaging to help customers identify fresh fruit and vegetables which are 100 per cent homegrown. We are also using this partnership approach to foster innovation across all sectors, including our Incubator Programme which is helping suppliers to grow their brands and bring new, innovative products to market."

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/31/locally-sourced-food/feed/> 53

Vaccine diplomacy

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/30/vaccine-diplomacy/>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/30/vaccine-diplomacy/#comments>

Tue, 30 Mar 2021 05:06:58 +0000

[Vaccine diplomacy](#)

The UK is likely to have a substantial surplus of vaccine doses over home needs, thanks to its early and substantial actions to help firms develop and produce them including placing advance orders. The UK success also included working with Astra Zeneca who came up with the cheapest vaccine on offer and promised to sell it profit free, thanks to the UK taxpayer backing the project in its early days. It is perhaps understandable that some other countries, some higher charging companies and the EU are jealous of this success.

The UK took a big risk, as no-one could be sure which companies if any would succeed when the UK made investments and offered contracts. As a result of good choices the UK will have substantial supplies of vaccines from other companies as well as Astra. This will allow the UK to offer many millions of doses of various vaccines to others. The issues arise, which countries should we choose and on what basis should the additional vaccines be supplied?

There is a case to be made to supply some of our surplus free of charge to low income countries who did not have the rich country advantages of a strong domestic industry to develop the products, or the cash to forward order in quantity. This would be a good use of our overseas aid budget, paying for the vaccines from that source. It is difficult to see why we should similarly supply free to any higher income country that would like our diverted orders. There is a case for charging them what they cost us. Some might argue we should charge them a higher market price. In the case of Astra product that would raise the issue should any part of a UK taxpayer profit be shared with Astra who otherwise have a break even price, and might raise issues for Astra about their promises over general pricing and supply. There is also the issue of what criteria we should use to select the countries that we help. I suspect many UK taxpayers would be unhappy to help any country that had recently imposed trade bans, restrictions or sanctions on us or who had tried to undermine the reputation of Astra product. I would be interested in views.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/30/vaccine-diplomacy/feed/> 212

Emmbrook School becomes an Academy

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/29/emmbrook-school-becomes-an-academy/>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/29/emmbrook-school-becomes-an-academy/#respond>

Mon, 29 Mar 2021 15:46:50 +0000

[Emmbrook School becomes an Academy](#)

I have received official confirmation from the government that Emmbrook School will be an Academy from April 1 2021 . A funding agreement has been reached between the government and the School. I wish the school success from its new status.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/29/emmbrook-school-becomes-an-academy/feed/> 0

Time to move on from EU policies

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/29/time-to-move-on-from-eu-policies/>
<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/29/time-to-move-on-from-eu-policies/#comments>

Mon, 29 Mar 2021 05:03:04 +0000

[Time to move on from EU policies](#)

Let us remind Ministers that in U.K. government the civil service provides continuity. They will carry on energetically implementing past policies until the Cabinet or a Minister with the authority tells them policy is changing. It is the job of Ministers to propose new directions, argue them through against civil service objections and sell them to Parliament and the public.

In a few areas Ministers have seized the initiative and changed policy from the overarching EU laws and decisions which came to dominate most areas in recent years. The notable decision to opt out of the EU approach to vaccine development and procurement shows what can be done. Yet in all too many other cases Ministers are still to change and improve the EU approach which governs.

The Treasury for example has still not removed VAT from a range of items where the U.K. thought it wrong impose the tax. Why is there still VAT on boiler controls, heat pumps, drought excluder and insulation for starters? Why are we still reporting under the debt and deficit rules of Maastricht? Can't we have a pro growth anti inflation framework of our own to replace Maastricht austerity rules?

At DEFRA we still await details of how the U.K. is going to rebuild its fishing fleets and take control of our fish, catching sustainable quantities and landing them in the U.K. At Business there is no sign of a better regulation Bill to slim and improve the vast annals of EU legislation, some of which the U.K. opposed or wished to improve when first drafted. Pledging high standards is good, but improving the way they are defined and enforced would also be good. At the FC0 There is little riposte to the abuses of trade between the EU and ourselves, particularly on the island of Ireland. We still

do not have new procurement rules, nor a better self reliant energy policy.

We did not leave the EU to preserve all its legislative works from the outside. We left to make things better. Some Ministers need to alert officials to the huge opportunities which Brexit can bring.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/29/time-to-move-on-from-eu-policies/feed/> 158

Honda leaves Swindon – a cameo of our time

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/28/honda-leaves-swindon-a-cameo-of-our-time/>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/28/honda-leaves-swindon-a-cameo-of-our-time/#comments>

Sun, 28 Mar 2021 05:15:42 +0000

[Honda leaves Swindon – a cameo of our time](#)

The immediate reason why Honda is closing its Swindon car assembly plant is the lack of demand for its cars throughout Europe. The company's sales in Europe peaked in 2007 at 313,000 and is now under half that. Contrary to referendum rumours their closure has nothing to do with Brexit. They are also ceasing production in Turkey and do not want any production in Europe for the future.

The second reason is the EU/Japan trade deal. The prospective ending of 10% tariffs on imported cars from outside the EU will make Japanese produced Hondas in future 10% cheaper. Why not make them in Japan and get greater economies of scale from manufacturing there where they need output for the home market as well?

Which brings me to the third reason. Honda needs to launch new models that are all electric for the market of the future. This requires a complete rethink on how you make cars and where you make them. Honda will put its battery capacity into Japan and get the economies of scale there for the European market production at the same time.

This Honda story is a warning to the UK and to other established centres of car production in Europe. The transformation of the car means new plant and new equipment and may well mean a different pattern of industrial location as a result. Brexit was never a threat to the UK car industry. Electrification is. For the UK to keep its current level of capacity and to grow its industry it needs to take bigger strides to invest in and control the raw materials, component production and assembly of the electric cars of the future given the determination of the USA, EU and UK governments to force this transition. Until enough people freely buy electric cars this means the industry investing in advance of demand and government offering suitable assistance to help make the new products more affordable and acceptable to customers.

Meanwhile the Honda factory will become warehouse space. Let's hope it will not just be filled with more imports.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/28/honda-leaves-swindon-a-cameo-of-our-time/feed/> 192

President Biden drives the EU to a more aggressive foreign policy

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/27/president-biden-drives-the-eu-to-a-more-aggressive-foreign-policy/>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/27/president-biden-drives-the-eu-to-a-more-aggressive-foreign-policy/#comments>

Sat, 27 Mar 2021 05:06:37 +0000

[President Biden drives the EU to a more aggressive foreign policy](#)

President Biden's more diplomatic approach towards the EU comes at a price. Last week the USA persuaded the EU to put its name to sanctions against Chinese officials and to make a statement condemning China's treatment of the Uighur Muslims. The EU had been negotiating an Investment and Trade Agreement with China, and had been careful not to criticise China's approach to human rights. The 5 Eyes grouping of the USA, UK, Canada, Australia and New Zealand had been more outspoken and Australia had borne the brunt of Chinese denials, rebuttals and complaints.

The US Secretary of State also made it crystal clear that the USA remains implacably opposed to the Nord Stream 2 gas pipeline from Russia to Germany, despite it being almost finished. He stated it was a "bad idea" for the EU as well as for her western allies. He added to Trump's strategic criticisms the added criticism that the project gets in the way of EU climate change objectives as well, a new US sensitivity which the EU is meant to share. The USA under Biden has more time for allies, but expects them to rally round a new aggression towards both China and Russia. President Biden dislikes these states. He alleges they undertake state sponsored cyber disruption, interfere in western elections, fail to uphold human rights for all and are building up their military power whilst creating a series of client states. The German model of doing plenty of business with Russia and China is being put under some strain. For her part China is testing out both Biden's power and the cohesiveness of the western alliance. The trends are clearly towards a US led system and country grouping, and a Chinese led one. Biden's team are trying a tough public stance on political matters, whilst trying diplomacy to settle some of the trade issues with China in private.

I would be interested in your comments as well on where the UK should now position its foreign policy towards China.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/27/president-biden-drives-the-eu-to-a-more-aggressive-foreign-policy/feed/> 195

Restore our freedoms

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/26/restore-our-freedoms/>
<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/26/restore-our-freedoms/#comments>

Fri, 26 Mar 2021 05:09:37 +0000

[Restore our freedoms](#)

Yesterday I was one of a few MPs who voted against a six month extension to the powers of the Coronavirus Act. I did so because I wish to hold the government to its promise of an end to lock down this June. I did so because I think the powers are too sweeping. We need to restore our liberties and let people make judgements for themselves about their conduct and their health risks. I did so because I do not think government can protect us from all harms, and has to avoid taking so much action against one threat that it leaves us vulnerable to other threats.

I and others will continue to question and to seek to persuade the government to remove this raft of restrictive measures. Without the Official Opposition also opposing we lack the votes to change things, but we have voices and public support which we need to represent.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/26/restore-our-freedoms/feed/> 253
The UK's migration proposals

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/25/the-uks-migration-proposals/>
<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/25/the-uks-migration-proposals/#comments>

Thu, 25 Mar 2021 05:09:32 +0000

[The UK's migration proposals](#)

I reproduce below a letter sent by the Home Secretary to all MPs and peers, as I thought it best you read the government's statement and respond to their proposals:

New Plan for Immigration

We have today published the New Plan for Immigration – our landmark programme to deliver the first comprehensive overhaul of the asylum system in decades.

UK asylum claims increased by 21% to almost 36,000 in 2019 – the highest number since the 2015/16 European 'migration crisis'. Small boat arrivals to the UK reached record levels with 8,500 illegal arrivals last year.

At the same time, our ability to remove individuals with no right to remain in the UK is being undermined by repeated legal claims designed to impede

removal action, often strung out over a period of many years. The vast majority of last-minute claims designed to prevent removal are subsequently found by the courts to have no merit. Shockingly, there are around 45,000 failed asylum seekers who have not left the UK and over 10,000 Foreign National Offenders – and yet there were just 7,000 enforced returns in 2019.

All of this impacts our ability to help those in genuine need by taking up scarce resources and wasting valuable judicial capacity.

We have already reformed our legal immigration system by ending free movement and introducing a new points-based immigration system. This plan is the next step in taking back control of our borders by tackling illegal immigration.

Our New Plan for Immigration has three main objectives:

1. To increase the fairness and efficiency of our system so that we can better protect and support those in genuine need of asylum;
2. To deter and prevent illegal entry into the UK, thereby breaking the business model of the criminal trafficking networks and protecting the lives of those that they endanger; and
3. To remove more easily from the UK those with no right to be here.

At the heart of this plan is the principle of fairness. Access to the UK's asylum system should be based on need, not on the ability to pay people smugglers.

For the first time, how someone enters the UK will impact on how their claim progresses and on their status in the UK if that claim is successful. As we clamp down on illegal immigration and abuse of the system, we will also streamline the asylum framework to prevent repeat claims which frustrate removal, including of dangerous Foreign National Offenders.

We will increase prison sentences for those illegally entering the UK, introduce life sentences for facilitation of illegal entry, give Border Force additional powers, strengthen age assessments and introduce a more robust statutory definition of "well-founded fear of persecution" for asylum purposes.

At the same time, we will enhance our reputation as Global Britain, strengthening our safe and legal routes for refugees and fixing historic anomalies in British Nationality law.

The proposals are fully compliant with our international obligations, including the European Convention on Human Rights, the Refugee Convention and the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings.

These reforms are explained in more detail in the policy statement, which we have published today. To inform the proposals set out and ensure we can deliver effective change across the system, we have also launched a public consultation and a wide-reaching engagement process. We will use this opportunity to listen to a wide range of views from stakeholders and sectors as well as members of the public, followed by legislation at the earliest

opportunity.

You can find the policy statement and consultation portal at:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/new-plan-for-immigration>.

I look forward to hearing your views on our New Plan for Immigration, and hope that you will strongly encourage your constituents to take part in the public consultation so that the voice of the public is heard.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/25/the-uks-migration-proposals/feed/198>

My Speech during the Second Reading of the Advanced Research and Invention Agency Bill, 23 March 2021

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/24/my-speech-during-the-second-reading-of-the-advanced-research-and-invention-agency-bill-23-march-2021/>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/24/my-speech-during-the-second-reading-of-the-advanced-research-and-invention-agency-bill-23-march-2021/#respond>

Wed, 24 Mar 2021 11:08:16 +0000

[My Speech during the Second Reading of the Advanced Research and Invention Agency Bill, 23 March 2021](#)

Of course I welcome the idea that we should do everything we can to promote greater science and better technology. Our country has a fine history and tradition of scientific breakthroughs and scientific excellence in our universities and our scientific societies. We also have a fine tradition in technology, with entrepreneurs developing new industrial processes and new products and making great breakthroughs that have benefited humanity widely, and of course we should do everything we can to support that. There may well also be a gap that this body can fill between all the methods we have of backing science and technology, and I wish it every success.

In his introductory remarks, the Minister pointed to the recent great success of universities, companies, medics, scientists and Government in coming together—here and elsewhere, but particularly here—on the AstraZeneca-Oxford vaccine. Why did that work? Because there was a very clear, defined task. There was great excellence and expertise already in companies and university science, and the Government helped to bring that together, to pump-prime the process and then to provide very large orders, as did other Governments and health services around the world, to make it worthwhile and to defeat the virus.

Now, we hope that do not have too many of those concentrated needs, but that model worked without ARIA, so this body has to define something a bit different from that. I notice that MPs are already discussing the adequacy or inadequacy of its resources, by which they usually mean money. I do not think it is possible to have any idea of what would be a good and realistic budget

for it until talented people have been appointed to run it and have set out what it is trying to do. The first thing the Government need to do, therefore, following the success of this legislation—I am sure it will pass quite easily—is to appoint really great people to lead this organisation who just have that feel, that touch and that intelligence to judge risk, to sense opportunity, to see where the niches are and to define the unique breakthroughs and areas where this body can make a serious contribution. As some have said, a scattergun approach is probably not going to work; trying to do too much across too broad a spread would require a lot of good fortune. This body will need some targeting.

ARIA then has to work out how it commercialises whatever it produces. The UK has had a century or more of plenty of breakthroughs and technical innovations, but in quite a lot of cases we did not go on to commercialise and exploit opportunities, and we allowed others around the world to adapt patents or take the underlying principles and develop their own products, making many more jobs and much more commercial success out of these things than we did. The leaders of this body therefore need to ask how they will commercialise the ideas, how big a role that will play, and at what point they will work with commercial companies that could come in and take advantage.

That leads on to the issue of security. I do not think British taxpayers want to spend more money on blue-sky research and interesting technical ideas only to see them taken away, perhaps resulting in many more products for the Chinese to export back to the United Kingdom. What we want is that integrated approach, where the ideas that the Government have helped to pay for through this body, working with universities and perhaps with companies, can go on to be commercialised and add to the stock of wealth and jobs and make a wider contribution to the human position.

I suggest that the Government link the development of this body to the work that they have started to do, and they need to do much more widely, on national resilience. I am an admirer of what President Biden has set out to do in the United States of America on supply chains. He has a very ambitious programme—a 100-day programme for targeted sectors and a one-year programme for all the sectors of the US economy. It is looking at what America can do better, at where America needs to fill in gaps in her knowledge and understanding of patent, designs and specifications, at where America needs to put in new capacity to avoid shortages or more hostile powers interrupting her production processes by withholding import, and at where the Government machine can use intelligent procurement, appropriate grants and interventions to work with the private sector to have a much better supply chain, creating more jobs and providing national resilience.

I hope that the agency will look at what we can do to ensure that we make our weapons and defence requirements, as the new policy suggests that we will do more often. It should look at how we can grow more food and make sure that we have more of our own fish so that we have fewer food miles and more national resilience in the food chain. It should look at a series of industrial areas where we have in the past been very successful to see where we can improve the technology and add to the UK capacity to produce.

My suggestion to Ministers is that the first task is to get really excellent people; the second is to work with them on defining realistic and achievable objectives; and the third is to ensure that the agency is properly resourced—£800 million might be the right amount, but if the agency comes up with really worthwhile things that look as though they will work, we will want to back it with more money. If it was not getting very far, I think a number of MPs who say that they do not mind failure would become rather more critical. This will need quite a lot of ministerial and parliamentary supervision. I wish the agency every success, and I look forward to hearing to more detail about what it is trying to do.

]]>

<https://johnredwoodsdiary.com/2021/03/24/my-speech-during-the-second-reading-of-the-advanced-research-and-invention-agency-bill-23-march-2021/feed/> 0