<u>Use Queen's Speech to end cuts to</u> <u>emergency services – Jeremy Corbyn</u>

Labour has tabled an amendment to the Queen's Speech, which will be the first vote of the new Parliament, when voted on tomorrow (Wednesday), and a test case for MPs' approach to austerity.

After seven years of austerity, the amendment seeks to force the government to end cuts to the police and fire service and lift the Public Sector Pay Cap to give emergency and public service workers a pay rise.

Commenting on the amendment, Jeremy Corbyn, Leader of the Labour Party, said:

"You can't have safety and security on the cheap. It is plain to see that seven years of cuts to our emergency services has made us less safe; it's time to make a change.

"Our emergency service workers make us proud at the worst of times for our country, such as the Grenfell Tower Fire and the recent terrorist attacks, and deserve the pay rise they have been denied for seven years.

"Conservative cuts have failed. Labour has a different approach, which values those who look after us and will transform Britain for the many not the few."

ONS persistent poverty figures show you can't trust the Tory lie that poverty only affects a small group in our society – Abrahams

Debbie Abrahams MP, Shadow Work and Pensions Secretary, commenting on the ONS persistent poverty figures, said:

"This persistent poverty data from the Office of National Statistics shows you can't trust the Conservative lie that poverty only affects a small, fixed group in our society.

"The high poverty rate of nearly 17 percent combined with the lower persistent poverty rate in this data shows that poverty can affect us all at different times in our life. "We therefore desperately need a social security system which, like the NHS, is there for us all in our time of need."

Ends

<u>Ministers must improve process of fire</u> <u>safety checks after only 95 tests</u> <u>completed since Grenfell – Healey</u>

John Healey MP, Labour's Shadow Secretary of State for Housing, commenting on reports that 95 cladding samples from high-rise residential buildings have failed a combustibility test, said:

"These results point to a collapse in our system of fire safety checks and controls.

"Ministers must now do a great deal more to reassure residents that everything is being done to keep them safe.

"They must improve the testing process which has so far been too slow, too narrow and too secretive. The Prime Minister promised that 100 tests a day could be done but two weeks on from the Grenfell Tower fire only 95 have been completed.

"Ministers must also not outsource all responsibility for urgent remedial action to councils and housing associations. They must guarantee that where fire risks are found they will make available upfront funding, including for re-cladding buildings, fire prevention work and retro-fitting sprinkler systems, starting with the highest-risk blocks."

```
Ends
```

Notes

It is being reported that all 95 samples of exterior cladding from high-rise residential buildings so far tested have failed a combustibility test being conducted for the government by the Building and Research Establishment in the wake of the Grenfell Tower fire. The Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government Sajid Javid MP confirmed to John Healey yesterday in the House of Commons that only the exterior cladding on buildings was being tested: https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2017-06-26/debates/02718B34-06C3-4984-9 C4C -EAB30F2F0026/GrenfellTowerFireFireSafety#contribution-4320C1F4-1592-483E-8CD5 -5FE8B7A9B0C9 The Prime Minister has previously said that capacity was available to test 100 buildings a day: https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-commons-statement-on-grenfell-tower <u>-22-june-2017</u>. There are an estimated 600 buildings that may be at risk as a result of similar cladding to that in place at Grenfell Tower. John Healey and other Labour MPs put a serious of questions on support, checks, funding, and overhaul of fire and building safety rules to Sajid Javid yesterday in the Commons which remain unanswered. John Healey's speech yesterday is here: https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2017-06-26/debates/02718B34-06C3-4984-9

<u>C4C-EAB30F2F0026/GrenfellTowerFireFireSafety#contribution-1DBB53D7-BCD8-480B-A93A-98F93B78E551</u>

<u>Tory Coalition of Chaos denies almost</u> <u>£70bn to rest of UK – McDonnell</u>

Research from Labour, in consultation with the respected House of Commons Library, suggests that if the Tories' sweetheart deal with the DUP goes ahead and rises as is rumoured to £2 billion, then it could mean that £68 billion in government funding being denied to the rest of the UK.

Under the Barnett Formula an increase of £2 billion in funding for Northern Ireland would normally imply additional public expenditure in England of £59 billion, with Scotland getting an additional £6 billion and Wales £3 billion.

However, following such a drastic change in extra budgetary spending for Northern Ireland, the Conservatives have decided to not adopt an approach even similar to the Barnett Formula to increase funding across the board. Nor have they explained if this increase will be funded by borrowing, tax rises or spending cuts at the UK level.

John McDonnell MP, Labour's Shadow Chancellor, said:

"The coalition of chaos that was set up yesterday risks increasing division in our society by easing austerity in one part of the UK alone.

"We need to see an end to austerity throughout the UK not just in Northern Ireland, and not just to prop up Theresa May and her failed government.

"Labour's fully costed alternative programme of government stands ready to provide Britain with the leadership that will truly end austerity, and unite all nations and regions in our country."

<u>Google ruling makes this a good day</u> <u>for fair competition and consumers —</u> <u>Watson</u>

Tom Watson MP, Labour's Shadow Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport, commenting on Google's record fine for its shopping service, said:

"This ruling has been a long time coming and is a vindication of long running concerns about Google's anti-competitive, unfair practices.

"When a company wields such power that it is effectively the gateway to the internet, it is the duty of regulators and lawmakers to verify that power is being exercised fairly. This ruling makes clear that Google shopping has not been operating fairly and could open the door to other investigations of similar examples of market distortion by the company.

"This ruling rights an unfair wrong, making this a good day for fair competition and consumers."

Ends

Notes

2.42bn euros (\$2.7bn; £2.1bn) after it ruled the company had abused its power by promoting its own shopping comparison service at the top of search results.

• Google's unfair advantage has been a source of long running concern with Tom Watson writing about the issue in 2013 <u>https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2013/feb/14/google-competition-sear</u> <u>ching-solution</u>