

Negotiating with the EU – again

There are more talks underway between the UK and the EU. So far the EU has acted toughly with unfriendly actions and rhetoric, whilst the UK has behaved with diplomatic charm constantly stressing the wish to have a positive and wide ranging relationship once we have left. It is important that the UK continues to be warm and friendly about the future relationship, but also important that UK negotiators are not tempted to make offers on money or on the rights of the European Court against eventual promises to talk about trade and the wider relationship. We should not pay for talks, and there is no need to pay for trade either.

The EU has broken normal diplomatic conventions in the way they are handling their side of the talks. Usually states negotiate government to government, or in this case EU to government. They do not at the same time open talks with the opponents of the government. Instead the EU has welcomed delegations from the official opposition, from parties and individual MPs hostile to Brexit, and others who are in disagreement with the UK government. I see press articles which look as if they have been sourced from the EU or its friends claiming that the UK government may be about to fall, or there might be change to a Labour government, or there is about to be a change of PM where there is no evidence to support any of these claims. At the same time the EU issues instructions to its member states seeking to prevent them talking to the UK on the grounds that they want a single view for the negotiation which will be the view set out by the Commission. There have been stories and quotes implying the EU wants to punish the UK for leaving. Their idea of punishment seems to be more punishment for them, as it entails imposing tariffs and obstacles to trade where they have a large surplus.

The EU has insisted throughout that there is a Brexit bill to pay though it cannot produce any legal basis for such a bill. It has insisted on only talking about three main chosen issues, and declining to talk about the important wider issues of the future relationship at the same time. As both sides agree nothing is agreed until everything is agreed it is silly to refuse to talk about the wider issues. With the clock ticking the UK government should now privately explain to the EU that if there is no prospect of talks about the free trade offer the UK is making before the end of this year then the UK will have to tell businesses to get on with planning for exit under WTO terms.

The UK is offering the rest of the EU great free access to the lucrative UK market. Translating this into the format to register as a free trade agreement with the WTO is easy as we already have the full framework in place. For the EU now it is a simple binary choice. Do they want to carry on with the access they currently enjoy to the UK, or would they prefer to access the UK as a third country under WTO rules and with WTO tariffs?

The official and the political government

One of the difficult things any Minister has to grasp and handle is the distinction between their government roles and their political roles.

In the UK if a Minister wishes to act as a Minister, changing policies, spending government money or leading the administration in their department, they need to do so working with the relevant officials, and keeping the department and the wider government informed of their actions. Cabinet members have various delegated powers to spend money and change policies, and in some cases Statutory powers to operate in a quasi judicial capacity without consulting other departments and colleagues. Any major decision or decision that has an impact on other departments needs to be cleared in correspondence or debated at Cabinet or Cabinet Committee unless it is a decision solely entrusted to a named Secretary of State.

If a Minister wishes to be involved in a local or national election, wants to change Manifesto policy for their party, wishes to attend a political function or otherwise act as a party politician they must not involve the civil service. They may not normally use a government car to get to the event unless there is a security need to do so. They have no duty to report the matter to the government machine, and will only tell the official government of decisions or problems that they come across at any such event that are relevant for the government to consider.

If a Minister travels abroad and wants to meet senior representatives of a foreign government it is normal to advise the Foreign Office and to study any brief they send so that the Minister sticks to the government view of the issues that relate to the UK's relationship with that country. If a Minister goes abroad for a holiday or to visit friends and family there is not usually any need to consult the Foreign Office or to understand the government line on all the issues, as the Minister is not speaking as a Minister or becoming involved in public policy. If a Minister goes to a foreign country to participate only in a conference or series of meetings that are clearly party political, again they cannot use government assistance and do not have to tell officials.

Various officials in Whitehall clearly do not like Priti Patel for whatever reason. They started briefing against her, claiming she had held meetings when on holiday in Israel that should have been reported to the Foreign Office, and cleared in advance of holding them. The Prime Minister was brought in to adjudicate. According to the press she asked for a full statement of what Priti Patel had done on holiday and told her she should not freelance in this way. When it subsequently emerged that the Minister had not made a full statement of what she had done, the Prime Minister

clearly decided to take further action.

It is ultimately for the PM's judgement whether any given meeting or event was a political or an official one, and whether any given Minister has stepped too far from government policy in what they have said and done. It is clearly best if Ministers can work well with their officials, or can at least trust the official machine with details of their activities. It can also be the case that sometimes officials have their own reasons for wanting to criticise their Minister through unofficial and anonymous briefings, or by report to the Prime Minister. Only the Prime Minister can ultimately decide the merit of these criticisms. Establishing control when something has gone wrong is not easy. Some say sacking the Minister gives the PM control, but it also gives a win to the officials who wanted the Minister out.

[Daily reckoning website](#)

I have just been informed about this website. I have nothing to do with it, and have not authorised it to publish any of my material. People going to it should know it is in no way backed or supported by me.

[A bad revolution](#)

I have no trouble with the passing of the Csars 100 years ago. Russia deserved better. A way had to be found to involve the people in their government.

Instead the revolution caused more misery and suffering. As is the way with most violent revolutions the revolutionaries unleashed a tyranny on those who disagreed, and a pogrom of those they did not like. The small independent farmers were wiped out. Religions were suppressed. Dissidents were tortured, imprisoned or killed. A revolution born of war fatigue ended Russian involvement in the First World War, but the Communist government then hurled Russia into even greater losses through killing many of her own in internal mass murders. They followed this with a major commitment to war with Germany in the 1940s.

The revolution peddled the myths of Marxism, whilst the revolutionaries battled each other over how far they should spread their power and message abroad. The proletariat were told they would inherit the earth. Instead they lost their remaining freedoms, drafted into industrial labour, placed in rented flats and made to live and work as the state dictated. The production

machine was heavily slanted to making armaments, at the expense of consumer items for the public. The favoured few at the top of the single ruling party lived and worked in the palaces of the Csars and in modern luxury whilst most Russians were denied cars and consumer goods that became common in the west as the century advanced.

Russian literature is so often the story of struggle and of the secret service, of torture and autocratic rule. Living standards fell well behind western ones whilst freedoms were also denied. It is strange that various western intellectuals thought the Communist system superior and were prepared to write for it or even to fight for it or spy for it. In my youth I developed a passionate dislike of the Communist message and its social consequences. I saw the USSR shoot people who tried to leave the eastern bloc, whilst anyone in the west was free to go and live in the USSR if they chose. I noted that even the most ardent pro Communists amongst the intelligentsia usually opted to stay safely living in the freer west

Business Rates in Wokingham

I have received the enclosed letter from Councillor Charlotte Haitham Taylor, the Leader of Wokingham Borough Council.

Councillor Haitham Taylor explains that the council is taking steps to provide relief to businesses in Wokingham affected by the regeneration works.

I welcome these steps and would encourage any local businesses affected by the regeneration works to seek further help from the council.



**WOKINGHAM
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Cllr Charlotte Haitham Taylor
Leader of Wokingham Borough Council
PO Box 150, Shute End
Wokingham
Berkshire
RG40 1BN

The Rt Hon John Redwood MP
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

30th October 2017

Dear John,

RE: Your letter of 9th October 2017

Thank you for your letter of 9th October 2017 regarding the current ratings system. It is well timed as I was about to contact you on this very issue

We have been meeting with the local businesses and, as part of a wider support package, have provided free expert rating advice to guide them through the new 'Check Challenge Appeal' system that was introduced with the new 2017 rating list. The response from our local businesses matches the national response from corporate ratepayers and rating experts alike who have identified fundamental flaws with the new system. The system seems to be designed to deter rather than encourage a resolution of valuation issues and of course this applies equally to temporary change of circumstances as well as permanent valuation adjustments. It is an extremely complicated system to understand and administer. The process could take up to nearly three years and offers no quick response to urgent situations such as in Wokingham town centre.

Our retailers are asking what assistance can be given to them to help them navigate this difficult system and to ensure responses are received in a timely manner – your comments on this are welcome which we can then feed back to our retailers

I referred above to a package of support that we are providing, this currently includes:

- Free parking in Wokingham Town Centre car parks from 3pm to 8am
- We have provided and funded professional advice and guidance to any shop wanting to apply for Business Rate Relief



- We are funding promotional videos with Wokingham Town Council and Wokingham Business Association (WBA) to actively promote business as usual in the town which will be launched early in November to particularly support the run up to Christmas
- We are supporting and part-funding with the Town Council some additional marketing material promoting 'Business as Usual' to encourage footfall and this will include some advertising in the local press and publicity in Wokingham Borough News, again with a focus on the run up to Christmas but this support will continue into 2018.
- The Wokingham Business Association is organising a Christmas 'Scavenger' Hunt initiative to bring families into the town in the festive period. Wherever possible we will support the promotion of this via social media and it is also appearing in the Borough News which will be delivered to homes early in December. Similar support will also be available for other projects coming forward
- We are running a workshop session for local businesses at Wokingham Town Hall to discuss ideas around how everyone, Councils, WBA and individual businesses can work collectively to best promote the town.
- Funding of adverts in the local press marketing the town and have offered to part fund other marketing campaigns
- We are organising a rolling programme of drop in sessions at the Town Hall which will allow residents and businesses quick and easy access to officers so that questions and concerns can be picked up very quickly
- Use of development fencing and hoardings for retailers signage and town promotional information

Alongside this we have also started to establish a Marketing group made up of Town and Borough officers and the Business Association to continue to generate ideas which can be taken forward both as short term gains and a longer term strategy to promote Wokingham.

Yours sincerely,



Cllr Charlotte Haitham Taylor

Leader of Wokingham Borough Council

Email: charlotte.haithamtaylor@wokingham.gov.uk

