# Speech: Amanda Spielman's speech at the Association of Directors of Children's Services conference

Good morning everyone.

Can I start by saying how pleased I am to be here in Manchester and to have this opportunity to address you for the first time.

I really enjoyed meeting and getting to know many of you at last night's gala dinner. And I'm grateful for the warm welcome you've extended to me so far. I'm just keeping my fingers crossed that the goodwill continues right up to the end of my session here this morning.

In all seriousness, it is incredibly important to me that our 2 organisations, ADCS and Ofsted, work closely together in the months and years ahead. Of course, we aren't always going to agree on everything. But I sincerely hope that ours will continue to be a relationship based on a spirit of collaboration and mutual respect.

After all, we share a common and fundamental interest. To improve the lives of children wherever they live and whoever they are.

Like Ofsted, as directors of children's services you have a broad and complex remit. And like Ofsted, your job gives you a particular perspective on the many different ways that children and young people interact with the education and care systems.

The actions you take touch the lives of millions of children from their earliest years to the point they reach adulthood. You are not only charged with caring for and protecting the neediest children but also for ensuring that every child in your area has the chance of a decent education.

I struggle to think of any other position in either the public or private sector that comes with such a high level of responsibility.

And I understand very well the challenging circumstances in which you are operating.

All of you are having to cope with heavy caseloads. Many of you are facing the challenge of a rising pupil population, a growing demand for school places and an ever more fragmented education landscape. And you are dealing with increasing numbers of children being educated outside the mainstream — with all the safeguarding issues that raises.

And all this against a backdrop of ever tighter budgets.

In her inaugural speech a couple of months ago and again yesterday, Alison referred to the funding gap that exists in many local children's services.

While generally less talked about in media and political circles than the funding gap for adult social care. I recognise this presents just as much of a headache for local authorities. And, as Alison pointed out, it is leaving you and your elected members with some difficult decisions about where and how you prioritise your scare resources.

Over these past few months, I've had the chance to see for myself how you are grappling with these challenges.

When I was appointed Chief Inspector, I said I would make a real effort to spread my time fairly across all of Ofsted's different remits. And I have been putting that pledge into practice since taking up post in January.

While the public and the media will invariably be most interested in what we have to say about schools, I understand that our work in early years, in further and education and skills, and, of course, in children's social care is every bit as important. In some respects, even more so. And I realise that each of these areas requires just as much focus and attention as do schools.

I also knew when I took on this job, I already had 15 years experience in education but that the world of children's services was new to me and so would present my steepest learning curve. That's why over the past 6 months, I've been devoting a lot of time to this vital area of our work.

I have made it a priority to get out and visit a range of local authorities, including York, Birmingham, Nottingham, Darlington and Rotherham. I've been on some social care inspector training. I've been out on inspection, including shadowing a full 2-day monitoring visit. And I've been to the pub with our whole team of social care inspectors.

In Nottingham and in Rotherham, I saw the local 'front door' teams in action. I have visited a number of children's homes and I've spent many hours talking to frontline social workers, chief executives, cabinet lead members and, of course, to DCSs.

All of this has left me with an overriding impression of a sector single-mindedly determined to overcome past and present difficulties. And of a compassionate profession, committed to doing the very best for the children and families who depend on you.

Of course, we all know that much of this work passes under the radar as far as the media are concerned. It is an unfortunate fact of life that most of the general public only get to hear about children's services when something goes badly wrong. Fairly or not, local authorities are invariably the first in the queue to be pilloried when catastrophes happens.

But we so rarely see the counter-balance, the good news stories, even the florid profile pieces that successful headteachers, for example, can usually rely on these days. Unlike public sector occupations, such as nursing and firefighting, social care is the ultimate unsung hero profession. Of course, it is much more difficult to celebrate success publicly within this sphere. Client confidentiality dictates that stories about a child being successfully

placed with a permanent and loving family won't ever make it into the local paper.

However, we should be doing whatever we can to recognise the significant contribution that all of you working in children's services — whether on the frontline or in leadership positions — make to our society.

Unfortunately, Ofsted has no more influence over how the media reports on the work of children's services than you do. However, what we can do is ensure we are helping you to carry out your hugely important job as effectively as possible.

As you may have heard me say elsewhere, my ambition is for Ofsted to be a real force for improvement, both in education and children's social care.

This implies using our inspection and regulatory powers in an intelligent, focused and responsible way.

And, of course, it means being an effective, credible and independent arbiter of standards. We're certainly not about to retreat from that important function.

But it also means looking across the full spectrum of services we inspect to make sure we have our finger on the pulse and are joining up the dots (if you'll forgive the mixed metaphor). That we are thinking about the right sort of problems and focusing our resources and energies on where we can make the biggest difference.

It also involves working with the grain of the system as much as possible. The cross- remit meetings we now hold periodically with individual local authorities — what some of my regional directors refer to as their annual conversations — are a good example of this. I know in some places, these sessions are becoming a key part of your own cycle of self-evaluation and peer challenge. It's a development I welcome and very much want to see continuing.

Of course, Ofsted will never be universally popular. Nor will inspection ever be a perfect tool. But we are continuing to refine our processes, strengthen the quality of our workforce and make sure our inspections are as valid and as reliable as they possibly can be.

I want us to be able to highlight and share the excellent practice we see.

To recognise where leadership and management is performing well in challenging circumstances.

To give constructive feedback to help providers who aren't yet good enough.

And I am convinced that Ofsted can add the most value when we aggregate our insights, triangulate our findings with existing research and evidence, and produce robust analysis of what is working well, both at the national and the more local level.

So what does all that mean for children's services in particular?

Perhaps a good place to start is our last report on the overall state of the sector, which was published around this time last year.

You will recall that our main finding was that children who had come into the care system were, for the most part, doing well. We said that the experience of children placed with foster families was overwhelmingly positive, while the quality of adoption services was generally strong across the board. At the same time, a much higher proportion of children's homes were being judged good or outstanding based on the progress and experience of the children resident in them. This improving picture for most children in the care system was also being reflected in their improved progress and achievement at school.

The clear pattern emerging from this report was that the greatest challenge lay in the quality of provision for children in need of help and protection. Our inspections were uncovering some serious weaknesses around identifying these children, assessing their needs properly at an early stage and giving the right help promptly.

I have already said that childhood is not deferrable. And it is for these children that procrastination and feet dragging is especially harmful. Social workers need to be able to make good decisions in the interests of the child, however difficult and imperfect the available choices may be. We all know the risks of putting off these decisions in the hope — usually a forlorn one — that the ideal solution will manifest itself if given time.

Of course, dedicated professionals need to know they can count on the support and confidence of their leaders, to make these difficult decisions. And indeed, our Annual Report found that effective and supportive leadership was the key to transforming the quality of work with children. And leadership remains the single most important factor in determining the standard of help, care and protection that is provided.

To really make a difference to children's lives, children's services need leaders with a firm grip on practice at every level, who make sure vulnerable children don't have to wait for help and that frontline social workers have enough time to work with every family on their caseload. We see this type of leadership in an increasing number of local authorities — but not everywhere yet.

Of course, the evidence to support our findings on the performance of children's services is currently drawn from the inspections we have carried out under the single inspection framework. And I think you and your colleagues in the sector would generally acknowledge that the SIF programme has delivered the most robust baseline of local authority performance that there has been up to this point.

What the SIF generally hasn't done is enable us to take a more nimble, flexible and proportionate approach to inspection. This has left some places without any contact with the inspectorate for far too long. It has meant,

among other things, that we haven't been able to step in to try to catch local authorities before they fell.

As you all know, our recognition of this has led us to consult on a new approach to the inspection of local authority children's services. Our aim is to improve and streamline the existing model by ensuring future inspections are focused on where they will make the most difference.

Like Eleanor Schooling, our National Director for Social Care, I have been really encouraged that we've been able to benefit from the close engagement and collaboration of the sector, most notably ADCS, on the design and piloting of these future arrangements.

I'd like to give a particular shout-out to Nottingham, Enfield and Calderdale for volunteering for the pilots. Your time and support have been invaluable.

I don't propose to go into detail here of how the new framework, the ILACS — how would we manage without acronyms — will work, as most of you will be very familiar with this already. But it is worth stressing that the new grading structure we are proposing should make decisions on intervention far clearer. And there will be a crucial shift of emphasis when it comes to the leadership and management judgement. What we are setting out to assess is the extent to which leaders are creating an environment in which good social work can flourish, and whether leaders have the capacity to tackle any major weaknesses that we identify.

As you know, the new arrangements will intersperse formal inspection, with visits that do not have a graded judgement. This increased contact should give us the opportunity to help local authorities identify where they can improve, and to catch any deterioration at an earlier stage.

Of course, this isn't an entirely new model for inspection. The proposed arrangements are very much designed to build on the monitoring visits we began last year, and which have been going well. The focused visits should give you useful information about what is working well in your area and about where you should be focusing your energies. I have already had good feedback from local authorities on these, including from a couple of you last night.

Further ILACS pilots are planned throughout the summer, and we are working closely with ADCS to secure volunteers for these.

I'm aware that some people may be wondering whether a new parliament and recent changes to the ministerial line-up may prompt a rethink of these new arrangements. I hope that the new Children's Minister, Robert Goodwill, who you heard from yesterday, was able to give you some assurances on this score. And certainly nothing we've heard so far from the Department for Education leads us to think there's been a change of heart.

What I am clear won't be changing under these new arrangements is the resolute focus we place on the journey of children, from the moment they first come into contact with social care services, through to intervention and, where necessary, being taken into care — and indeed, once they have left

care. In my view, this focus on the experience of care leavers, has been one of the really positive aspects of the SIF programme. It is one we certainly want to carry on under the new programme.

Another overwhelmingly positive development in the last couple of years has been the introduction of joint targeted area inspections, with our partner inspectorates. Probably for the first time, we've created a truly joined-up model of multi-agency inspection and it's something we are very proud of. The JTAIs are also another good example of the growing collaboration we are seeing between those being inspected and those doing the inspecting.

The 'deep dive' element of these inspections — examining the local response to issues like neglect and domestic abuse — have been based on feedback from you and from other agencies. The topics have been selected not because they're the most fashionable ones but because they're the ones most likely to lead to improvements in the way children are supported and protected

As far as our inspections go, the importance of viewing performance through the lens of children applies as much to schools, colleges and early years settings as it does to children's services.

As directors of children's services you have a role to play in school improvement and provision within your patch. And of course this is complicated, as for many, many years now local authorities have not had direct control of schools. As an aside, some of you will know that I came into education through setting up and building a multi-academy trust. This gave me 2 important insights: first, how broad and challenging the roles of local authorities are; and secondly I saw what it looks like when an authority works really well.

And the accountability mechanism that govern the school system in particular were designed for a far simpler world. A world that no longer exists and seems unlikely to come back.

That is why all of us — local authorities, regional commissioners, MATs, and Ofsted — have a responsibility to really understand our changing and interlocking roles in the new emerging system. And where we can add most value. We each need to be willing to adapt, so we give coherence to the overall framework, however complex it may seem for those looking in from the outside.

I don't for one minute underestimate the challenge that this presents. It's fair to say we are still on a collective journey to our final, settled destination. But we owe it to our children and young people to work together to find the right model that enables us to operate effectively and in tandem — and without falling over one another.

Of course, when it comes to improving outcomes for children, what happens in schools will always be far more important than governance and oversight structures. All of us want children to benefit from a full, broad, and rich education which equips them to do well in adult life.

As Chief Inspector, I particularly want to ensure that pupils are receiving

the full education that they deserve. I would be the last person to deny that education has to prepare our young people to succeed in the labour market. But, as I told the audience at the recent Wellington College Festival, to reduce education down to this kind of functionalist level is rather wretched. Education should be about broadening minds, enriching communities and advancing civilisation.

And I really do think Ofsted has a vital role to play in balancing the accountability system.

What Ofsted measures through inspection should be able to counteract some of the inevitable pressures being created by performance tables and floor standards. It's important our inspections explore what is behind the data, and ask how results have been achieved. We have a responsibility to make sure that if schools focus on the right things, then a good inspection outcome will follow.

Can I just be very clear though that — contrary to what one misleading and mischievous newspaper headline recently suggested — this does not mean we will be 'punishing' schools in any way. That is absolutely not our intention. Nor have I ever said nor remotely implied that it is.

I do, however, see an important role for Ofsted in discouraging the reductive idea that a modern education is all about making pupils jump through a series of accountability hoops.

And yet I am the first to concede that our current inspection framework doesn't quite capture the full substance of education. Curriculum can end up getting lost, as just one in a long list of areas that we inspect under the leadership and management judgement.

That is why, earlier this year, I started a review of the curriculum — the main research project of my first year. This project is looking at curriculum practice in hundreds of schools across the country to see what is actually going on. Once we have collected the first wave of evidence, we will look at whether routine inspection needs rebalancing in favour of the curriculum. If it does, we'll be able to reflect this in the new inspection framework we are developing for 2019.

I was really pleased to see Alison lending her endorsement to this piece of work and I hope some of you will be able contribute your own experiences and insights as part of the review.

However, it is not just in our state schools where there is an imperative for Ofsted and children's services directors to work in partnership together to try to address pressing problems.

We also need to pool our knowledge and understanding about the needs of children who, for whatever reason, find themselves outside the mainstream and on the margins of our educational system.

Whether in alternative provision, being tutored at home, or being taught in some of the small non-association independent faith schools that we are

increasingly concerned about, the education and welfare of these children is our collective responsibility.

And the related problem of children being put at risk in illegal unregistered schools is also something I intend to tackle with the same zeal and passion as my predecessor. These are evolving challenges that require creative and joined-up solutions.

As a country, we are living through a period of great challenge and upheaval. None of us can be quite sure where political events will take us over the next few months and years. But I hope that as leaders within our care and education systems, you will be able to count on Ofsted as a source of stability in an uncertain world.

Just as importantly, I hope I can convince you by our actions and not just by my words that inspection has a powerful role to play as a force for improvement in these systems.

I look forward to further strengthening the relationship between our 2 organisations and consolidating that spirit of cooperation over the next 12 months.

Thank you for listening.

## <u>Press release: Lord Lieutenant of Merseyside: Mark Blundell</u>

Mr Mark Blundell DL is appointed Her Majesty's Lord-Lieutenant of Merseyside.

The Queen has been pleased to appoint Mr Mark Blundell DL as Her Majesty's Lord-Lieutenant of Merseyside when Dame Lorna Muirhead DCVO DBE retires on 13 September 2017.

#### **Biographical notes**

Mark Blundell is a landowner and former solicitor who has established a residential centre for children of all backgrounds on his family estate at Crosby Hall. He has given much time to Merseyside, having served as President and Pro-Chancellor of Liverpool University, on the Heritage Lottery Fund for the North West, as chair of Merseyside Building Preservation Trust (MBPT), on the Council of Tate Liverpool, and as a trustee of Liverpool Charity and Voluntary Services.

He is at present a governor of the Royal Northern College of Music, a trustee of MBPT and of the Heritage Trust for the North West, chair of Crosby Hall Educational Trust, and chair of Liverpool University Pension Fund.

Mr Blundell lives in the Borough of Sefton with his wife Suzanne, a psychotherapist. They have two daughters and five grandchildren, all of whom live nearby.

# <u>Press release: New charity investigation: Anaya Aid</u>

The Charity Commission, the independent regulator of charities in England and Wales, has opened a statutory inquiry into Anaya Aid, registered charity number 1152971. The inquiry was opened on 5 June 2017.

Anaya Aid has objects to provide humanitarian aid internationally during emergency or disastrous situations.

In December 2015, the Commission was made aware by police that a trustee and a former trustee of Anaya Aid were stopped by UK Ports Officers and approximately £5000 in cash belonging to the charity was seized. Although these funds were later returned to the charity, the Commission advised the trustees of the inherent risks involved in cash couriering and the need to safeguard the charity's funds. In April 2017, the Commission was again informed by police that the same trustee of the charity was stopped by UK Ports Officers where cash totaling €23,000 and £1,500 belonging to the charity was seized. These funds are subject to a cash detention order and are at risk of loss in the event of a successful forfeiture application.

The 2017 cash seizure came despite the Commission having previously provided the trustees with regulatory advice and guidance against cash couriering, which was not followed.

Furthermore, the Commission has previously carried out 3 compliance visits at the charity's premises due to a range of regulatory concerns, particularly in relation to the charity's work in Syria and the partners it has used. The trustees were issued with an action plan to address these concerns but failed to comply with all of its requirements.

The trustees have put charity funds at risk of loss on a number of occasions, and have failed to comply with the Commission's regulatory advice and guidance. The Commission has therefore opened a statutory inquiry to take further regulatory action. The Commission has issued an order under section 84 of the Charities Act 2011 directing the trustees to take specific actions within set timeframes and issued a further order under section 76(3)(f) of the act restricting certain transactions that the trustees can enter into without the Commission's prior consent.

In order to thoroughly address these concerns, the investigation will look at:

- whether the trustees have put the charity's funds at risk by allowing a trustee of the charity to carry the charity's funds in cash whilst travelling via a convoy
- the inability of the trustees to adequately account for the end use of the charity's aid and funds which are applied, on the charity's behalf, through partners
- the trustees' failure to fully comply with regulatory advice and guidance issued by the Commission over the course of its engagement with the charity's trustees
- the administration, governance and management of the charity by the trustees

The Commission recently <u>issued an alert</u> to charities strongly advising against the use of cash couriers.

It is the Commission's policy, after it has concluded an inquiry, to publish a report detailing what issues the inquiry looked at, what actions were undertaken as part of the inquiry and what the outcomes were. Reports of previous inquiries by the Commission are available on GOV.UK.

The charity's details can be viewed on the Commission's <u>online charity search</u> tool.

Ends

PR 54/17

#### Notes to editors

- The Charity Commission is the independent regulator of charities in England and Wales. To find out more about our work, see our <u>annual</u> <u>report</u>.
- 2. Search for charities on our <u>online register</u>.
- 3. Section 46 of the Charities Act 2011 gives the Commission the power to institute inquiries. The opening of an inquiry gives the Commission access to a range of investigative, protective and remedial legal powers.
- 4. The Commission's decision to announce the opening of a statutory inquiry is based on whether it is in the public interest to do so and with consideration of our objective to increase public trust and confidence in charities.

### News story: Cutting the price of

### contact centre services

Contact Centre Services (RM3815) is a four year agreement available to help government departments, wider public sector and the third sector to procure contact centre services and specialist support and advice.

From central government departments and local councils delivering essential benefit, tax and advisory services through to the emergency services, there is a huge volume of contact services that touch the lives of almost all UK residents on a daily basis.

The new framework helps public sector bodies to maximise opportunities for innovation, channel strategy, self service and optimisation.

CCS is predicting commercial benefits and savings in the region of 10% on the costs the public sector currently spends providing similar services.

There are two lots on the framework:

• Lot 1 — Specialist Contact Centre Consultancy Services:

Strategic advice to public sector bodies on the design of Contact Centre services to provide value for money, improve efficiencies and minimise risk. This will provide benefits for both in-house and outsourced solutions.

• Lot 2 - Contact Centre Services:

The provision of a wide range of contact centres services from voice telephony to web chat and multi-channel customer engagement.

To find out more, visit the Contact Centre web pages.

# Speech: Trade and continued cooperation between France and the UK

Good morning.

I am delighted to be here today to address the members of the oldest British Chambers of Commerce in Europe, and the oldest such chamber in France.

When I became Secretary of State for International Trade, I was also made President of the Board of Trade. As the holder of an office that dates back to 1672, it is not surprising to me that our ancient institutions are still invaluable in addressing the most cutting-edge trade issues!

Mon message aujourd'hui est clair — La Grande-Bretagne et la France partagent un relation inébranlable.

Given the sometimes tumultuous history of our 2 nations, I suspect those words have not been uttered many times before, and certainly not in French, by a full-blooded Scot representing an English constituency!

Mon père était un professeur de langue française quand j'étais jeune. Ceci explique non seulement pourquoi je parle Français avec l'accent écossais, mais également la connexion que je ressens avec la France, et avec l'Europe.

For me, childhood holidays did not mean Cornwall or the Western Isles, but summer in Orange.

I actually became engaged to my wife in the picturesque setting of Carcassonne.

My own experience is just one example of the strong personal bonds that unite Britain and France.

Over 400,000 French citizens live in London alone, making it the equivalent of France's sixth largest city.

One area, South Kensington, is sometimes referred to as the 21st Arrondissement of Paris!

It may seem flippant, but it illustrates the closeness of our relationship, built upon the ties of history, defence, and of course, trade and industry.

France is Britain's third largest export partner, conducting trade worth £69 billion each year.

France is also Britain's largest European foreign investor. My department estimates that around 5,000 firms are investing across the channel in both directions, supporting over half a million jobs.

It is a commercial partnership that continues to go from strength to strength. When firms approach my department for advice on exporting, France is consistently the most popular destination behind the US.

It is no wonder that your own Chambers currently boast over 700 members.

For centuries, cross-channel trade has been a cornerstone of the European economy.

It is a source of great pride within my Department that Britain and France were the first 2 nations on earth to sign a free trade agreement.

In 1860, 2 of Europe's leading economists, Michel Chevalier and Richard Cobden, met in secret to negotiate the lifting of tariffs on raw materials, food and drink between the 2 countries.

Although the treaty lasted only 30 years, its effects can be seen to this

day. The British addiction to French wine is matched only by the French love of whisky. This country consumes more per head than any other nation on earth.

For all the closeness of our nations, I cannot come here today without addressing the fact that, politically, the United Kingdom has chosen a different path to the one we have followed for the past 40 years.

I must stress, however, that Britain's decision to leave the European Union was in no way a rejection of Europe, our European partners, or the values that we share.

It was a decision that said increasing European political union was not the direction for us, and we understand that there is a negotiation ahead of us following our decision to leave.

But ours was a vote to ensure that we can embrace a wider world, trade freely across the globe, and determine our own destiny, while at the same time ensuring that our relationships with European partners remain as close as possible.

There will be no abdication of our international responsibilities. The UK has always been an open, globalised nation. We will always continue to welcome talent from across France, Europe and the world.

We will always welcome businesses or investment to our shores.

And we will always maintain a commitment to our close friends and allies in Europe.

Politically and militarily, we will continue to work together to face our common threats. As Secretary of State for Defence, I worked on the 2010 Lancaster House Treaty.

This agreement has allowed us to develop co-operation between British and French Armed Forces, the sharing and pooling of materials and equipment including through mutual interdependence, the building of joint facilities, mutual access to each other's defence markets, and industrial and technological co-operation. Sovereign nation, to sovereign nation.

I would like to see that declaration between our 2 countries honoured in the future.

Economically, the UK will remain committed to the values of free and open trade. The British government has no interest in erecting barriers where none yet exist. It is in all our interests to maintain the freest possible trade between Britain and the European Union, just as it is in Britain's interests to see the EU succeed.

We desire nothing more than a strong, secure and prosperous partner in Europe.

Whilst we remain within the EU, we will continue to work tirelessly towards

those free trade agreements and preferences that are under negotiation.

We recognise the vast benefits that free trade brings, not only to large economies such as Britain and France, but to smaller developing nations across the world to which free trade offers an escape from poverty.

This year marks 2 centuries since David Ricardo introduced his Theory of Comparative Advantage. The experience of globalisation, and of technological advances unimaginable in Ricardo's time, have only served to validate his theory.

Free trade is an unalloyed force for good in the world, a system that spreads prosperity amongst nations without detriment.

The United Kingdom is committed to advancing the cause of free trade across the world, and as a government we reject any notion of restrictions to trade and commerce.

Yet promoting free trade is about more than international policy. It is about ensuring that businesses have the tools and the support they need to trade globally, and expand into new markets.

For all our devotion to commercial freedoms, the Department for International Trade recognises that it is not politicians, but businesses like yours that generate wealth and national income.

You are the drivers of prosperity. Without your drive and innovation, our work would be wasted.

My department stands ready to support you in all your endeavours. Whether it is finance, or advice, or local market knowledge, DIT has the tools available to help companies in France and around the world trade in the UK, as well as to help British companies sell overseas.

For over 140 years, the Franco-British Chamber of Commerce has been a stalwart of cross channel trade, industry, and relations. As Britain takes a new, global path, your work will be more vital than ever before.

It is 113 years since Britain and France signed the Entente Coridale. In that time, our nations have been united by diplomacy, by defence, and finally by an emerging mutual prosperity.

We may be opening a new chapter in our history, but I am confident that it will be our most successful yet.

Thank you.