

Report into homelessness and drug misuse published

Treating homeless people for drug misuse is exceptionally difficult unless their housing needs are addressed at the same time, the Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (ACMD) has today advised the Home Secretary.

The [report](#), published today (19 June 2019), finds that housing authorities, local councils and health services should work together to adopt a tailored approach to tackling drug misuse among homeless people in their area.

The council's recommendations come as official statistics show that 32% of all deaths among homeless people in England in 2017 were a result of drug poisoning. This compares with 1% for the general population.

Chair of the ACMD, Dr Owen Bowden-Jones, said:

One of the first priorities in helping people experiencing homelessness and using drugs is securing a safe roof over their heads.

Today's report found good evidence of interventions which can tackle drug-related harms in homeless populations using a range of different co-ordinated approaches.

Housing provision, harm reduction interventions and quality drug treatment are essential to meeting this challenge.

The ACMD also found that:

- people who use drugs and are experiencing homelessness suffer a particular lack of social connectedness and their personal safety is at greater risk
- the needs of people who are homeless, particularly rough sleepers, are not well met by mainstream benefits, health and social care and some drug services
- homeless populations are over represented in groups who experience serious bacterial infections from drug use – in addition, levels of HIV and hepatitis C in drug users who are homeless are high

The council's recommendations include:

- enabling local services to adopt a tailored approach to tackling the specific needs of homeless drug users in their area
- substance use, mental health and homelessness services adopting evidence-based approaches to tackling drug misuse such as integrated and targeted services, outreach, and peer mentors to engage and retain homeless people in proven treatments

- raising awareness among service providers of the levels of stigma experienced by homeless individuals who use drugs and ensure they are treated with respect
 - involving people with experience of homelessness and substance use in the design and delivery of the service provision for substance use and homelessness services
-

Lead Commissioner speech at British Future

Thank you for inviting me today. I have read this insightful report, and I want to pay tribute to British Future and all the vital work they do in advancing the debates around identity, integration, migration and extremism. As an organisation, the work you do, as this report shows is timely and much needed.

I also want to thank Sunder for sitting on my Commission's Expert Group and for providing me with the challenge and advice I seek from the group.

I don't think there's anyone here today who doesn't recognise the serious challenges, including challenging hatred and prejudice, that face us today as a society and as a country.

As some of you will know my Commission is currently finalising a report we have been working on, which will put forward a picture of extremism in our country, the challenges it presents and the need for a new and bold approach in tackling it.

In this role I've visited 15 towns and cities across England and Wales. I've launched the first ever public consultation on extremism, receiving nearly 3,000 responses. I've commissioned almost 30 academics to write papers on extremism. We are analysing government data and reviewing the current response to extremism.

Evidence, engagement and impartiality are the key principles that drive our work and I look forward to presenting our findings in our report in the coming months.

The report will make the case that the work of challenging extremism has never been more important. As a long-standing counter extremism activist and now as Commissioner, I've seen first-hand why we need to challenge extremism.

And quite simply it is that extremism, in all its manifestations is threatening the wellbeing of our democracy. It is eroding and undermining our democratic way of life; our principles and values as a country.

We have to continue to rise this challenge. If we don't we let down victims of extremism, we fail our diverse communities, our inclusive society and the values we have fought for over the decades in our country.

It is wonderful to see some familiar faces here today, including some of my former funders. I am grateful for your support during my Inspire days, because quite simply Inspire, the NGO that I helped to co-found, would not have existed for as long as it did, had it not been for their support.

Countering extremism is gruelling work. I know what it feels like to be a victim of extremism. I know what it feels like to be targeted by extremists and I know that you often face abuse and a lack of support. I know what it feels like to challenge extremism.

But I also remember how during my time at Inspire, civil society folk would often say to me that CE was negative work, that it was counter-productive. How could the E word bring people together, surely it did the opposite?

But that is not how I understood the value of counter extremism.

On the contrary, I recognised how countering extremism is about upholding our democratic norms: pluralism, equal citizenship, human rights, individual liberty and promoting an inclusive society.

The vision for countering extremism – as we will be outlining in our report, is fundamentally a positive one. And it entails a commitment to those values; not in a tokenistic way or as I have seen too often – where these values are sacrificed in the face of difficult conversations or even in the name of community cohesion. Sometimes by even our elected officials.

It is about taking a principled and sometimes painful position, which may not make you popular but is certainly the right thing to do; in defending our democratic norms. But this requires principled leadership at every level. From government and politicians, to local authorities and civil society groups, from faith leaders to NGOs.

In response to British Future's report, I want to touch on how we do counter extremism work, the need for diverse messages for different audiences and the challenge of the current political and social climate that faces us all as we seek to deliver this work.

How we do counter extremism is vital.

It's important that we use the term extremism with precision, rather than a lazy catch all for "stuff we don't like." As the British Future report points out, prejudice takes many forms. This is also the case with extremism too. We need precision and consensus about the beliefs, behaviours and harms that result in extremism.

Extremism has never been just about violence or terrorism.

When we talk about the Far Right or Islamist extremism, it's often in the context of terrorism. But this ignores the wider spectrum of extremism

whether it's FR, Islamist, animal rights, Far Left, Sikh or other.

I've been concerned about the display of extremist behaviour and language within our wider society and how that manifests.

The hatred, intimidation and persecution of others, the restriction of other people's rights and opportunities by those who are driven by a set of beliefs or ideological cause.

Extremism causes harm at an individual, communities and societal level.

The current CE approach lacks this full breadth, which is why putting forward a substantial understanding of extremism – the behaviours, beliefs and harms – must be an important step for my Commission. We will be elaborating on this in much more depth in our report.

The British Future report highlights the challenges of hatred and prejudice but also why we should be confident that we can succeed. We do need to recognise that there are different audiences which will require different messages. There are a range of views – as one would expect in a democratic, plural society.

What British Future have rightly identified is the need to engage with these diverse voices including the latent hostile and even active enmity groups that can be found within the Migration Rejectionists.

The types of campaigns British Future identify are much needed.

Yesterday was the third anniversary of the murder of Jo Cox – an act which deeply shocked us all. Three years on, the picture of our country is a deeply worrying one.

A poll by Britain Thinks shows that Britain is more polarised, more pessimistic and angrier than it has been for decades. There is an urgent need to build a common ground and precisely because of this polarisation, it is vitally important that all views are engaged with; not just the ones we agree with or find palatable. Otherwise what's the point doing in what we do, if all we are going to do is preach to the converted?

However, I do believe this is becoming increasingly difficult. When one seeks to engage in dialogue "with the other side" or with those whose views you do not find palatable, you are met with shrill accusations of legitimising or normalising prejudice. I've always been intrigued by the evidence for such claims, is there any evidence for them?

And sadly, these arguments are sometimes advanced, well-meaningly I might add, by those who would consider themselves liberals or progressives. But this is having profoundly negative consequences.

As a result, we see a growing and worrying culture of the shutting down of debate altogether; where if anyone who holds and expresses a different view, they find themselves, within a heartbeat, labelled as enemies, traitors, fascists and Nazis.

This is not just occurring within the mainstream of our society. It is also the case in faith minority communities as the Commission has seen repeatedly, where screams of Islamophobia, anti-Semitism and anti-Pantheism are used by Muslim, Jewish and Sikh activists respectively against believers from within the same faith community – because they don't share, agree with another's view, and with the hope of closing down much needed scrutiny and debate. This is dangerous.

At a political level, we also see this hostility at an electoral level too. The LSE have recently launched a UK Electoral Hostility Barometer which showed that 31% of voters say they have personally experienced electoral hostility. Where 1 in 4 British citizens have experienced insults, and 1 in 8 – threats.

As has been well reported, abuse and threats towards MPs are now at an unprecedented level. But this is also happening across our society to faith leaders, civil society groups and especially to women and ethnic minority groups.

This growing intolerance is exactly why the types of projects and messages British Future have alluded to are so needed. But we also need to recognise how this hostile climate in our society and in the very space we seek to operate in, is further undermining our work. We need to understand how this attack on political, social and religious pluralism is not only threatening our democracy; it is threatening the very ability to deliver the type of projects and campaigns British Future have highlighted in their report.

I know this is the case because having travelled the country, I heard countless examples of this – from teachers, faith leaders, counsellors, youth workers and counter-extremists themselves. Not only is threatening our work in delivering much needed counter extremism projects; this erosion of pluralism is creating a more favourable climate for extremism.

Which is why I believe it is critical that we examine the state of extremism in our society today and why I am bringing forward a contemporary vision for what countering extremism should look like in 2019.

I will be making recommendations about how the government's approach can keep pace.

How can it build a response based on this contemporary picture of extremism? Is its response to the harmful activity of groups and individuals quick enough? Effective enough? Long term enough? How can it better support those on the ground? And what is the right approach to social media?

But challenging extremism has never been just a job for government.

It requires a whole society approach including civil society. As British Future's report wonderfully shows. And it requires bold, brave and principled leadership.

The report shows the firm value of dialogue. That there's a space for all but the most hardened and unwilling to debate, if you can recognise their

concerns. Our work has shown the value of creating (not shutting down) spaces to discuss the difficult issues. Especially among and including young people who hold sympathies to extremist beliefs and behaviour. Shouting nazi or fascist at them does not create a space conducive to dialogue.

But I also want more people in communities speaking out.

As the report shows messengers are vital. I have seen how sometimes in the face of extremism, some prefer silence over doing or saying the right thing. I know speaking out against prejudice isn't easy. You must be ready for the push back. But it's desperately needed. Because if there is anything counter extremism is not, it is not passive. It is about being pro-active. Extremists, being the opportunists that they are, are very good at taking this position. And it is why an effective CE response needs to be five steps ahead of the game if we are to begin creating the counter extremism response that is needed. This is the step-change our counter extremism response needs.

Our response also needs different interventions and different tools.

As the report makes clear, for example, you have to start by understanding who you're speaking to. Our campaigns and messages will be different when reaching out to supporters or potential supporters, or if we are intending on reaching the centre ground to strengthen norms or if we are seeking to reach more hostile audiences. And what the report did show, critically, is that there are messages that can reach across audiences. This is something we must build on and I believe there is a lot of potential in such messages especially at a time when our country is divided.

As we prepare to leave the EU, the job of bringing the country back together is vital. What unifying messages can be used to help us achieve this? I think there is much we can learn from projects like Remember Together; where we can build a consensus.

This leads me to a final point: the need for consistency in our work. I cannot over-emphasise this; especially if we are wanting to promote messages across audiences and are keen to bridge audiences. Yet we lack this consistency in counter extremism work.

I will be very upfront. I meet people on the Left who are more than happy to talk about the extremism of the FR but point-blank refuse to address Far Left extremism. I meet people on the Right who are keen to talk about Far Left or Islamist extremism but downplay the threat of the Far Right. I meet activists from Muslim communities who point to the threat of the Far Right but are silent on Islamist extremism.

This for me as Commissioner is untenable, and it is why we as a country, have not been able to develop a more consensus-based attitude towards counter extremism. And it is why I have mentioned repeatedly today the need for principled and brave leadership.

We have to call out intolerance, prejudice and extremism from wherever and whoever it comes from. Yes, I am talking about politicians and political

parties. But I am also talking about civil society and all of us in this room. We must resist the temptation of tribal politics because this lack of consistency in our approach is undermining our ability to build consensus and to convince the different audiences we seek to influence.

The challenges are stark, but I also believe they provide an opportunity for us to make a positive difference and to help shape the future of our country.

I am confident because I've met many Brits like us, who – whether black or white, young or old, working or middle-class – want to push back against the growing intolerance in our country. They don't believe that this is what our country stands for. And that makes me feel confident about the future. We will always be – and rightly so a diverse country, but we can and must try to bridge those differences through dialogue, a recognition of our common humanity and dignity and in the hope of a more positive and inclusive society.

Thank you

UK troops teach women to protect themselves against sexual violence in conflict

As the UK recognises the UN International Day for the Elimination of Sexual Violence in Conflict, personnel deployed as part of the UN Peacekeeping mission to South Sudan have been delivering empowerment-based self-defence workshops in partnership with the UN Human Rights Division.

These classes aim to coach women living in the Malakal camp on methods to help them identify risks to their personal safety and teach them moves to defend themselves.

In South Sudan, vulnerable groups, such as women, are subjected to various forms of conflict-related sexual violence. Gender-based violence is a major human rights violation in conflict zones, which tends to increase during post-conflict periods and affect communities for many years afterwards.

Defence Secretary Penny Mordaunt said:

Sexual violence is now used as a weapon of war and the consequences of it perpetuate conflict. Defence forces must be equipped to help end sexual violence in conflict.

I am proud our Armed Forces can help protect and empower women and other vulnerable groups across the globe. From self-defence workshops to training other militaries on gender rights our personnel bring their professionalism and expertise to help end this terrible practice.

Practicing a palm strike on the pads being held by Captain Rosie Hamilton

Women who attend the workshops will become focal points for their communities and the programme aims to build their confidence, so the participants are able to report cases of sexual violence to the authorities. Many instances go unreported due to the stigma attached to such crimes and the UN is working to encourage greater reporting and accountability.

This is part of the UN's survivor-centred approach in South Sudan that aims to join up services to tackle gender-based human rights violations. By increasing the provision of services, including legal redress mechanisms, and holding awareness-raising sessions there has already been an increase in the number of cases reported to the police for their attention.

Preventing sexual violence in conflict is contributing to the UN's Sustainable Development Goals, which aim to make the world fairer, healthier, safer and more prosperous by 2030. Key to the success of the UN Goals is the prevention of violence, crime and terrorism in conflict and with over 600 personnel deployed on peacekeeping operations the UK military is making a sizeable contribution to this vital UN mission.

Captain Euan Irvine, lead instructor and Malakal Engineer Group Second in Command, said:

We are continually seeking opportunities to assist with the protection of the most vulnerable people in South Sudan to prevent incidents of sexual violence in conflict. This initiative allows us to contribute to empowering the women we live and work alongside.

By coaching women on basic self-defence methods, it gives them the self-confidence to be able to make an assessment of the situation and react effectively to keep them safe

Workshop participant Nyakot John Jok has lived in Malakal camp since 2012 with her mother, commenting on the workshops she said:

The situation in the camp is very poor because of money. We have food but we do not have money to buy things. I like the British Soldiers; they are protecting us from anything that is coming. I have learnt how to escape from someone who wants to fight me or wants to attack me. It makes me feel safer and I am very happy with

that.

UK announces PSVI Survivor Champion role to end sexual violence in conflict

The PSVI Survivor Champion will support the work of the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Preventing Sexual Violence in Conflict to support and champion all survivors of sexual violence in conflict, including children born of rape.

The role will put survivors at the centre of UK efforts to prevent and respond to conflict-related sexual violence, regularly engaging with survivors and their networks, advocating for holistic care for all survivors and for an end to the stigma they face from their families, communities and wider societies.

Lord (Tariq) Ahmad of Wimbledon said in his capacity as the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Preventing Sexual Violence in Conflict:

Putting survivors at the heart of the UK's Preventing Sexual Violence in Conflict Initiative is vital to honouring all survivors of this horrific crime. The PSVI Survivor Champion will ensure that the needs and concerns of survivors are central to our policy and programming on this issue. Now is the #TimeForJustice.

On the 18 – 20 November 2019, the UK Government will host theTime For Justice: Putting Survivors First international conference in London. Convening governments, international agencies, civil society and survivors, the international conference will seek to strengthen justice for survivors and hold perpetrators to account.

Further information

Foreign Secretary Statement on the

MH17 Prosecution

The Foreign Secretary has called on Russia to cooperate fully with investigators following the announcement by the MH17 Joint Investigation Team and the Dutch National Prosecutor that they will charge four suspects with the murder of 298 people and causing the crash of flight MH17 over eastern Ukraine, an area controlled at the time by Russian-backed separatists.

The UK stands alongside international partners in condemning the shooting down of the Malaysian Airlines plane as it flew a commercial route between Amsterdam and Kuala Lumpur on 17 July 2014. This was a shocking attack on the rules based international system which keeps our societies safe.

In addition to the charges announced today, the UK continues to support Australia and the Netherlands in their call on the Russian Federation to accept state responsibility for the downing of MH17.

Foreign Secretary Jeremy Hunt said:

The shooting down of MH17 nearly 5 years ago and the tragic deaths of the 298 people on board, including 10 British people, was a horrific crime. The family and friends of those who died deserve justice. Today marks a significant step towards ensuring that those responsible are held accountable.

The UK offers its support to the prosecution process and has complete confidence in both the Joint Investigation Team and the Dutch criminal justice system. I thank the Joint Investigation Team for their painstaking work to deliver justice for all the victims from 17 different countries who lost their lives that day.

The Russian Federation must now cooperate fully with the prosecution and provide any assistance it requests in accordance with UN Security Council resolution 2166. The charges brought against these individuals today show that the international community stands together against the impunity of those responsible for the despicable murder of 298 innocent people.

Further information