Medical schools launch organ donation, transplant courses

China performs the world's second largest number of organ transplants, but a shortage of qualified transplant doctors is impeding progress. Now the country has sped up training of doctors, with the help from the international community.

Seven Chinese universities will conduct postgraduate training in organ donation and transplants this year.

The laKeTLOD (Knowledge transfer and leadership in organ donation from Europe to China) is co-founded by the European Commission and Chinese universities. Launched Tuesday at Shanghai Jiaotong University, laKeTLOD expects to enroll 20 postgraduate students in a course on organ donation and transplants this autumn.

Specialists from three universities in France, Italy and Spain will offer training in accordance with European guidelines.

Twenty-two Chinese doctors have already been through a three-month online course and will be in Spain from May 21 for a week of further training. They will then offer courses on what they have learned to 140 healthcare postgraduates over two years in universities in Beijing, Kunming, Nanchang, Nanning, Shanghai and Wuhan.

Marti Manyalich, President of Spain's Donation and Transplantation Institute (DTI), said at the launch that training is not just about sharing knowledge, but about transferring the course to China, adapted to local needs and delivered in Chinese.

"Seven universities are not enough. We must train more Chinese professionals in the next decades," said Manyalich.

Spain has more organ donations per capita than any other country. It has been at the forefront of training on the subject for thousands of healthcare professionals worldwide since the 1990s.

China has the largest number of organ donations per year in Asia, and the second number of organ transplants globally after the U.S., but lags far behind on a per capita basis.

"But China is changing," said Francis Delmonico, representative of the World Health Organization and former president of the Transplantation Society.

Organ donation is a challenge in China due to lack of training and health professionals, said Wang Qian, Dean of International Cooperation Office of China's Capital Medical University, one of the participants in laKeTLOD.

Currently, 169 Chinese hospitals can perform transplants with the number

expected to reach 300 in the next five years.

"We are waiting for the Chinese versions of textbooks and more medical specialists to join the program," said Chen Xiaosong, who will teach the course at Shanghai Jiaotong University.

Defense ministry confirms missile test

China's Ministry of National Defense (MOD) confirmed late on May 9 that the PLA (People's Liberation Army) Rocket Force recently conducted a missile test with the aim of enhancing the military's capability to fend off threats to national security.

In a statement, the MOD's information bureau said that the PLA Rocket Force "conducted a test for a new-type missile in the waters of the Bohai Sea and the result had met the expectations." The MOD also said the missile test was "part of the PLA's annual training program."

But the MOD did not elaborate on which "new-type missile" was tested or for what specific purpose the missile test was conducted.

However, there is wide speculation that the missile test was the PLA's latest response to the deployment of THAAD (Terminal High Attitude Area Defense) system in South Korea.

In the latest MOD press briefing on April 27, the MOD's spokesperson Senior Colonel Yang Yujun reaffirmed the Chinese military's opposition against joint deployment of the THAAD by the United States and South Korea.

Yang said that the PLA would continue with its "real battle-oriented exercises" and "tests of new weapons" to safeguard China's national safety and regional peace and stability.

Interview with Michael Rowland, ABC News Breakfast

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

The task now is to sell the budget. I am pleased to say, I am joined by the chief salesman. The Prime Minister of Australia, Malcolm Turnbull, good morning and welcome to Breakfast.

PRIME MINISTER:

Good morning, good to be with you.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Let's start with the banks. They are absolutely ropable about this new tax. Do you fear this is the mining tax 2.0?

PRIME MINISTER:

The banks are the most profitable banks in the word, \$32 billion in profits. They benefit from the implicit support of the government, that is a major benefit that they have. It's only fair that they pay this levy to help bring the budget back into balance. Levies like this are common right around the world. This is a very conventional approach and it is one that will secure that additional money, \$6 billion over the next four years. That will assist us in bringing the budget back into balance and ensuring that our children and grandchildren are not burdened with a mountain of debt.

We will, on the projections in the budget, as you know we will have \$7.4 billion of surplus by 20/21. That's a big improvement on what was forecast in last year's budget.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Lots of veiled threats, in fact of not-so-veiled threats of this being passed on to customers through higher mortgage rates. How can you stop the banks doing that?

PRIME MINISTER:

Well, the ACCC will be keeping a very close eye on that, and of course we're enhancing competition in the banking sector. The levy only affects the big banks so there are other banks and financial institutions that are competing with them. Of course, fintech, you know, financial technology, is enabling more competition. So competition is a big factor.

You have to remember that the banks are hugely profitable. They do not need to pass this on. They will still be very profitable. They will still be the most profitable banks in the world.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Some commentary around this morning that this is payback by the government for the banker's association appointing former Labor Premier Anna Bligh as the chief executive?

PRIME MINISTER:

Well that is commentary. The fact is that we need to bring the budget back into balance. That is a fundamental responsibility. This budget is fair, Michael, it is fair at every level. We are bringing it back into balance so

we don't burden our children and grandchildren with a mountain of debt. That's fair. We are fully funding the National Disability Insurance Scheme. That is fair. Now, Labor couldn't do that. We haven't been able to secure the savings through the Senate to fund it through savings, so we are increasing the Medicare Levy from 2019, to ensure the Disability Insurance Scheme is fully funded.

We are guaranteeing Medicare, restoring indexation, creating a Medicare guarantee fund and we are ensuring that schools funding conforms with David Gonski's recommendations. Labor never did that.

Schools funding will be national, consistent, needs-based, transparent and fair.

So this is a very fair budget, it's a responsible budget and it is of course, driving a massive investment in infrastructure. \$75 billion in road and rail alone.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

The Treasurer spoke at length last night about how families have been doing it tough, having to make sacrifices, many Australians have not had a wage rise for many years. How then is this half a per cent increase in tax, the Medicare levy, going to go down? It might be for a very good reason, the National Disability Insurance Scheme but ...

PRIME MINISTER:

Could there be a better reason? Could there be a better reason than ensuring that people with disabilities get the support...

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

I get that, but it will hurt a lot of families' bottom line.

PRIME MINISTER:

It will be an additional tax, there's no doubt about that, on families by 2019, but the reality is, that we have to fund the Disability Insurance Scheme. All of us are beneficiaries or potential beneficiaries of it. All of us know people who need support with disabilities, kids with disabilities, families struggling with that. This is a great national enterprise and we need to fund it responsibly and give that assurance to Australians that their Government is standing behind them, guaranteeing those essential services.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Welfare recipients, the Greens up in arms about this move to drug test, to randomly drug test welfare recipients. Why is the government doing that?

PRIME MINISTER:

I am so disappointed people are critical of that. Really, what is more

important in the welfare area than getting people back into a job? I mean the best form of welfare is a job.

Now, if people are on welfare and they have got an addiction problem, then that needs to be identified and helped. It needs to be helped. They need to get off the addiction so they can get back into the workforce. This is helping people.

I am really disappointed by the criticism of that. I would have thought more people would be saying "Why haven't you been doing more of it years ago".

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

It does set a bad precedent doesn't it? Starting with welfare recipients, where does random drug testing end?

PRIME MINISTER:

There's plenty of random drug testing. If you're involved operating heavy machinery and so forth, you'll find a lot businesses, companies have to do that. This is a very big issue. There is a big correlation between substance abuse and unemployment. Because of course, if people are on drugs or if you've got a serious alcohol and drugs problem, of course they're not able to work, therefore they become welfare dependent. So what we want to do is get people off welfare, into employment. This is one of the many ways that we seek to do that.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Now you got rid of \$13.5 billion of savings from the — truly from the Coalition's perspective — "horror Budget" of 2014. Is this budget, does it represent the repudiation, final repudiation of Tony Abbott's prime ministership?

PRIME MINISTER:

Look, this has got nothing to do with personalities. Well, it's got everything to do with 24 million personalities; 24 million Australians, assuring their future, assuring their essential services and assuring them that the budget is coming back into balance, that they won't be burdened with the debt for future generations. This is critically responsible, investing in the infrastructure that again, will ensure that future generations have the jobs and the opportunity and the business and investment, that they need.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Finally, the surplus, \$7 billion in 2021.

PRIME MINISTER:

\$7.4.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Who's counting?

(Laughter)

Well, you are, certainly.

PRIME MINISTER:

I am, clearly.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

It's just a projection, it's not a promise. Governments have made various promises about surpluses that never materialised, why should Australians believe you?

PRIME MINISTER:

They should accept it as a very responsible and reasonable projection. The assumptions, the economic assumptions are conservative, whether it's on commodities or GDP growth, they're actually more conservative than the Reserve Bank or the IMF. So look, we do live in an uncertain world Michael, but this is a very responsible, very reasonable forecast. The ratings agencies have confirmed that. Moodys came out last night and reaffirmed the AAA rating.

So in an uncertain world, this is a very responsible budget and the forecasts and projections are very reasonable and conservative.

MICHAEL ROWLAND:

Prime Minister thank you very much for joining News Breakfast.

PRIME MINISTER:

Great to be with you.

[ENDS]

<u>Labour will transform education for</u> <u>the many not the few</u>

Jeremy Corbyn, Leader of the Labour Party, will today outline Labour's transformational plan to invest in a National Education Service to ensure no one is held back and create a more skilled workforce and productive economy. Labour's plan to increase schools funding and introduce free, lifelong education in colleges is at the heart of its commitment to create a society run for the many not the few.

Jeremy will be joined by Angela Rayner, Shadow Education Secretary, and Rebecca Long-Bailey, Shadow Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy Secretary, at a college in Leeds on Wednesday to announce the details of the plan.

Labour's key pledges are:

- Stop the cuts to school budgets with a real terms increase in funding
- Reduce class sizes to under 30 for all five, six and seven year olds
- Free school meals for all primary school children
- Restore education maintenance allowance for college students
- Restore student grants for university students
- Scrap fees on courses for adult learners looking to re-train or upskill

The plans will be funded from the £19.4 billion that will be raised by reversing the Conservative Party's cuts to corporation tax. Labour has previously announced extending free school meals to all primary age children will be funded by levying VAT on private school fees.

Jeremy Corbyn said:

"People of all ages are being held back by a lack of funding for education, and this in turn is holding back the economy by depriving industry of the untapped talent of thousands of people.

"The Conservatives have spent seven years starving schools of funding, meaning headteachers are having to send begging letters to parents to

ask for money. They have also cut support for students and forced colleges to increase fees. It's created a downward spiral that is bad for the people being

held back and bad for the economy.

"Labour will do things differently. Our new National Education Service will transform our schools and education system to ensure a future for

the many not the few. We will reverse the Conservatives' tax giveaways to big business and put money back where it belongs, in our schools, our colleges and

our communities."

Angela Rayner said:

"Our plans for a new National Education Service show there is a

clear choice at this election. Between the Tories who have broken their promises to parents and children, or a Labour party with a real plan for education for the many not the few.

"We will invest in schools and in our young people, ensuring no primary pupils go hungry during the day, reducing class sizes so children can learn and teachers can teach, and restoring the maintenance allowance and grants for students in both further and higher education."

Ends

Notes to editors:

- Stop the cuts to school budgets with a real terms increase in funding
- Labour will ensure all schools have the funding they need, including £4.8 billion per year for English schools by 2021-22, as part of £5.66 billion additional annual funding across the UK by the end of the parliament.
- We estimate that preventing any losses under the proposed national funding formula in every year after the first will cost around £335 million.
- Reduce class sizes to under 30 for all five, six and seven year olds
- £8.4 billion capital investment to ensure schools have the number of places they need and £13.8 billion to ensure that school buildings are up to standard.
- Free school meals for all primary school children
- House of Commons Library research says extending free school meals for all primary children would cost £700-£900 million, paid for by VAT on private schools.
- Restore education maintenance allowance for college students
- Assuming the same proportion of 16-18 year olds qualify for EMA as previously the cost would be £582 million a year.
- Restore student grants for university students
- Total spend on maintenance grants in 2015/16 was £1.57 billion. If this is uprated in line with CPI inflation then the cost next year is £1.63 billion, rising to £1.73 billion by the end of the parliament.
- Scrap fees on courses for adult learners looking to re-train or upskill
- Increase the adult skills budget by £1.5 billion by the end of the parliament in order to abolish upfront fees and increase course funding by an average of 10 per cent year on year.

Corporation tax

• From next tax year, the headline rate of corporation tax will rise from its current 19 per cent to 21 per cent in 2018-19, 24 per cent in

2019-20 and 26 per cent in 2020-21. This will still leave it at the lowest rate in the G7. The small profits rate, payable by firms with profits below £300,000, will rise less sharply to 20 per cent in 2018-19 and 21 per cent in 2020-21

• According to Treasury and Office for Budget Responsibility figures, the Tories' tax giveaways are costing the exchequer £65.2 billion over the four years from 2018-19 to 2021-22, including £19.4 billion in the last year of the parliament. This contrasts with £46.8 billion based on the forecasts when the cuts were introduced.

High Representative/Vice-President Federica Mogherini on the EU-UN cooperation

New York City, Europe Day, 9 May 2017

Check against delivery!

Muchas gracias señor Presidente,

Es un gran honor para mi para hablar otra vez más en este Consejo de Seguridad. It is an honour to address the Security Council once again and to do this on a very symbolic day. Today — 9 May — is Europe day. The day when the European Union celebrates its being one, united. Sixty-seven years ago on this exact day, a French statesman — Robert Schuman — put forward a revolutionary idea for those times: that European States could share part of their sovereignty to prevent any future war in Europe.

Two world wars, the most devastating conflicts in human history, started in Europe and spread to the whole planet after thousands of years of European conflicts. Our founding fathers and mothers imagined a renewed European continent — a continent that instead of exporting war would promote peace, democracy and human development within its borders and in the world.

Seven years later, the Union took its first steps. And this year, we celebrate sixty years of our European Union. When Europeans simply realised that it was by far more convenient for all of us to cooperate instead of fighting each other. Choosing cooperation over confrontation, Europeans built in these sixty years the most successful peace project in history. So it's really not only an honour but also a pleasure to celebrate this historic day with you in the UN, in the Security Council.

Let me say that some believed in these recent months that our sixtieth anniversary, our sixtieth birthday would also mark the beginning of the end

of the EU, the beginning of the European Union's decline. Indeed, our British friends have decided to leave us — which is sad for all of us — but life goes on and so does the European Union. Since the UK Referendum last year, we Europeans have recommitted to being the strong and united power that our citizens and our partners need and deserve.

The European Union is and will continue to be the reliable, cooperative and indispensable partner the world needs. First and foremost, an indispensable power for our neighbours, for our region. Let me start with the Balkans, with our support, and in cooperation with the United Nations, our friends there have taken impressive steps forward, in the most difficult and turbulent circumstances sometimes — steps forward towards peace andregional cooperation, towards economic growth, and towards the European Union. Because one thing is clear, the future of our Union will not be at twenty-seven. We will have new members joining the Unionin the future, starting from the Balkans.

Au delà de notre continent, nous sommes lepartenaire indispensable d'un monde plus coopératif, plus multilatéral et plus pacifique. Un partenaire indispensable des Nations Unies et de tous ceux qui considère le multilatéralisme la clé d'un ordre mondial qui fonctionne.

En célébrant notre soixantième anniversaire, le Secrétaire Général a dit "en ces temps de division mondiale, la vision portée par l'Union européenne de coopération et d'intégration est plus importante que jamais".

I would like to begin from here, from our vision. It is what we like to call the European way — the European way to peace and security, the European way to growth and sustainable development, the European way to international relations. This European way is also the United Nations' way. And this explains why all our actions, all our initiatives are always taken in full coordination and partnershipwith the United Nations. We believe in the UN because we believe in the same principles, in the same values, and our communities are built upon the same fundamental ideals.

1. SECURITY AND DEVELOPMENT

First of all, we believe that security is not only about military might. It is about finding common ground, cooperation over confrontation, diplomacy. It is about human development and economic growth. It is about democracy, rule of law, and human rights.

When Antonio Guterres highlights the importance of conflict prevention and mediation, his words resonate with the European way to security, and in particular with the European Union's Global Strategy and our integrated approach to conflict and crises. When you read the Security Council's Resolutions on "Women, Peace and Security", or "Youth, Peace and Security", the rationale is the same as in our own work to strengthen resilience inside and around Europe.

We are turning these concepts into practice each and every day as Europeans. When a peace deal between the Colombian government and the FARC was

announced, the European Union was there,immediately building a path from peace to full reconciliation. We have some European experience in this direction. When President Juan Manuel Santos came to Europe to receive the Nobel Peace Prize — he came to us to the European Union in Brussels straight from Oslo and Stockholm — we announced together, we signed a European Trust Fund that is helping today Colombia reap the economic dividends of peace. I understand that coming back from a field trip to Colombia, you have been able to witness our work yourselves.

Another example. Just over a month ago, in Brussels, we convened together with Antonio Guterres, the international Conference on the future of Syria and the region.

- Our primary goal was and still is to address the urgency the dramatic humanitarian situation faced by Syrians both inside and outside of the country, and to support their hosting communities.
- But in the difficult hours after the chemical attack in Idlib'sprovince, we also worked together with the UN and with most of you to strengthen the world's support to the UN-led negotiations in Geneva, and to a political solution. Let me say that I talked yesterday to Staffan de Mistura, as I often do to coordinate the UN and EU work on Syria, and we are extremely supportive of his decision to resume the intra-Syrian talks as of next week. The European Union is and will continue to be actively, strongly, engaged and committed in accompanying the UN-ledpolitical process with all our means and determination.
- As we did in the Brussels Conference, there we prepared also the ground for the international community to start looking into the post-war reconstruction of Syria. It is clear for us that the reconstruction will work only when a political transition is under way and the political agreement is achieved in Geneva. But we have lost the peace too many times not to have learned the lesson and we believe that when the war is over, we will need collectively to invest in reconciliation, stabilisation and reconstruction of the country. This will be a powerful leverage and a dividend of peace for all the Syrians and for the region at large.

On each of these strands of work, the international community will have to preserve on Syria a fragile unity. You know this better than anyone else. And to this end the European Union is determined to continue mobilising all its resources in support of the UN work to put an end to this war.

This is the European way — addressing the urgency and the long term, the humanitarian, the security and the diplomatic needs at the same time.

The same approach, moving from one continent to another, shaped the Brussels Conference for the Central African Republic — which we organised together with the United Nations, and I chaired with President Faustin-Archange Touadera. We managed to mobilise more than 2 billion euros in support of the government's priorities. Now it is time to implement these pledges. By the way, the European Union is always an international player that implements all its pledges. The European Union is not only the largest donor: we are also

present there on the ground with a military training mission, working in close cooperation with the UN forces, as we do everywhere we have presence.

The European Union is increasingly active also as a security provider worldwide. Our Member States contribute to almost 40 per cent of the UN budget for peacekeeping operations: collectively, we are the largest contributor.

In addition to that, the European Union's fifteen military and civilian operations worldwide work in constant cooperation with the United Nations. Let me just mention Operation Sophia off the coast of Libya, which has been endorsed twice by this Security Council with a certain degree of unity forwhich I would like to thank you. The tasks of our EU military operation, today, include not only to dismantle the networks of smugglers off the coast of Libya, but we also completed the training of the first group of Libyan coastguards — I was honoured to hand over the diplomas myself in quite a moving ceremony on the flagship of the Operation Sophia in Malta — and we also delivered to them the first motorboats so that the security of the territorial waters can be in their hands. And, as mandated by the Security Council, Operation Sophia is also enforcing the arms embargo, and only last week, weseized a shipping of weapons and ammunitions. Your endorsement of our Operation is a great sign of the trust that this institution puts in the men and women serving under the European flag. Doing it the European way in the UN context.

We take this responsibility very seriously. Last year, just days after my briefing to the Security Council, I presented a Global Strategy for our foreign and security policy. The Strategy foresees greater European cooperation on security and defence. And in one year, actually less than one year, nine, ten months, we have taken more steps towards a European Union of security and defence than in the previous sixty years. More cooperation in European defence means a more efficient spending, better capabilities, more security for our citizens and more security for the world. But it also means that we are becoming an even more reliable partner for our neighbours and friends, starting with the United Nations, NATO, and many regional organisations with which we partner on security issues.

Yet, as I said from the outset, we consider our military capabilities as only one element in our security strategy. To prevent a conflict, you also need good development policies and strong State institutions. Peace is never sustainable without decent infrastructure, good health care or education, without participation, without democracy. This is what we call resilience, and the United Nations remain for us a fundamental partner in this kind of work.

For this reason, the European Union's voluntary contributions to the UN funds and agencies amount to half of their total budget. This means we proudly and smartly invest in them as much as the rest of the world combined because we believe that it's our interest to do so: In the World Food Program to prevent a humanitarian crisis; in UNICEF to help children in a war-torn country go to school and prevent radicalisation; in the UNHCR and UNRWAfor millions of displaced people — these are all contributions to our common security.

So let me be very clear, and speak directly to our American friends. It is essential for us that we all keep investing in these UN Agencies. They are as important to global peace and security as defence spending — and sometimes even more. And we, Europeans, consider this support to the UN system as a crucial investment in our own security.

Take the terrible famine that has hit the Horn of Africa. It has the potential to make a fragile situation even worse and turn into a security situation.

This also serves as a powerful reminder that climate change is real, and is already impacting on our security environment. Everything is linked. So we continue to expect that the United States will find a way to remain committed to the Paris Agreement. I know that there is a debate ongoing and we respect it very much. But 195 countries have signed the deal on climate change, and there will be 195 different paths to meeting the Paris goals and honouring the agreement. I am sure there is room for the US Administration to find its own path, being part of what the world has agreed together and finding its own way to do so.

2. WIN-WIN SOLUTIONS

The Paris Agreement shows the right approach to the challenges of our era. The international community managed to get together and seek common solutions beyond the traditional divides.

Over the last few years, we already managed to overcome these divides with the Sustainable Development Goals. That was a key achievement for us. This month, actually next week, the European Council will adopt the new Consensus on development, which brings Agenda 2030 at the core of our development policies, both at European and national level. It will be a pleasure for me to welcome Deputy Secretary General Amina Mohammed that day in Brussels.

I believe the greatest divide in today's world is between those who believe that international politics are a zero-sum game, and those who work to buildwin-win solutions and common ground.

The European way is a constant search for win-win solutions. And the essence of the United Nations is to represent a space where compromise can always prevail over confrontation. This is why I say that the European way is the UN way.

This search for win-win solutions is another field where the European way and the UN way convergetotally. We saw it last year at the UN Summit for refugees and migrants. Instead of focusing on what divides us — the North and the South, the developing and the developed — we looked for cooperative solutions, for partnerships, what we call thecompacts.

Since then, the European Union has worked on partnerships with five African countries — including Ethiopia and Senegal, who sit in the Security Council today and can bear witness. This win-win solutions search cannot be imposed by one side on the other: our approach is that we can only be agreed together

on what is good for both, which is why we are defining different priorities for our cooperation with each of our partners. This cooperative approach is already producing some concrete results, and we believe this work can feed into the discussion towards a Global Compact for refugees and migrants in the UN framework.

Let me add that our cooperation with UN Agencies on migration is constant and extremely positive. I would like to mention in particular the situation in Libya, which as you know is very close to the European heart. In Libya, we see the conditions of migrants stranded in the country are dramatic. For this reason, we are funding a 90-million-euro support package for Libya, in support of the work of the IOM, the UNHCR and UNDP inside the country. We think this is the way.

Confrontational approaches lead nowhere. Between win-win and lose-lose, the European Union has picked sides. We stand always on the side of dialogue and partnership. Where others might see conflict, we look for co-operation.

In times of global disorder, when instability spreads from one side of the world to the other, building win-win solutions is difficult but for us it is a must. There is no sustainable alternative to that.

This is certainly true on counter-terrorism: the attacks in Europe over the last year tell us that cross border cooperation is of the utmost importance. So we support Antonio Guterres' proposal to establish aUN Office on Counter-Terrorism, to be headed by an Under-Secretary-General: his proposal can enhance coordination and effectiveness in our work, to the benefit of all.

Security today is truly a global issue. Let us look at an obvious example that this Council was dealing with recently several times in a very important manner: the situation in the Korean Peninsula. Amilitary escalation with North Korea would lead to devastating consequences. We believe we all have an interest to avoid confrontation, and to push for DPRK to re-engage with the international community. I'm following very closely in these hours the results of elections in the Republic of Korea to see if that will open new avenues of engagement.

3. RULES-BASED GLOBAL ORDER

This leads me to a third point before I conclude, another essential feature of the European way. We believe in a global order based on rules. Rules that are agreed together, and respected by all — as it should be the case for non-proliferation.

In our chaotic era, rules are too often perceived, globally but also in our own countries, as a constraint for some, more than a guarantee for all. But more power-politics is the perfect recipe for further destabilisation. International rules for us are not a threat, but a protection to every nation's autonomy.

These rules include territorial integrity and theinviolability of borders. And for this reason, we do not and will not recognise Russia's illegal

annexation of Crimea. And we will continue to work to bring the conflict in eastern Ukraine to an end, with the fullimplementation of the Minsk agreements.

When any such violations occur, we are all less secure. When accountability is not guaranteed, the credibility of the entire international system comes under question. So we have repeated time and again, after the chemical attack in Syria for instance, that accountability for those crimes must be guaranteed — and we fully support the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons in its important and delicate work. And we believe that this is the correct place, the correct forum, whereaccountability should be guaranteed.

4. A CALL FOR MULTILATERALISM

Quand nous parlons de multilatéralisme, il ne s'agit pas d'une profession de foi rhétorique. It s'agit de prendre ces règles au sérieux, d'investir dans les institutions multilatérales et de voir dans les Nations Unies le cadre globale dans lequel s'inscrivent nos actions.

Ce n'est pas une posture idéologique, mais un choix calculé et pragmatique tout à la fois. Aucune puissance mondiale n'est assez forte pour mettre seule un terme aux crises de notre temps. Chaque crise concerne trop d'acteurs et implique trop d'enjeux. Toute contribution est bienvenue et personne ne peut contribuer dans le vide.

If you take the conflict between Israel and Palestine.Last year we revived the Middle East Quartet, and reached an unprecedented consensus on the risks for the two-state solution and on practical recommendations. We must preserve and enlarge that unity, for instance through cooperation between the European Union and the Arab League, and between the Quartet and Arab States. And I see Egypt sitting here as being one of the key players in this respect. I was honoured to discuss these perspectives at the Summit of the League of Arab States in Jordan last month. And of course we need the Israelis and the Palestinians first of all to directly negotiate, with the accompanying efforts of the international community, starting with the United States, the European Union, Russia, the UN, and all those who believe that peace needs the direct involvement of the two parties but also our contribution to help them reach a solution.

Different initiatives can bring important contributions to a peace process. In some situations, we need to be imaginative and look for new channels, when the traditional formats seem to fail. This seems to be the case for Venezuela, where it is vital to stop the escalation and establish a new form of mediation that can be agreed by all sides. We stand ready to help in any useful way with respect and in support of any useful initiative that can be put into place.

The European Union never looks for just a photo-opportunity. That is not the interest we have. Between a theatrical but empty statement, and a silent but effective mediation, we will always choose the latter. The real impact matters more than the headlines to us.

In all cases, coordination and complementarity arethe key. And the best way to guarantee coordination is by working together with the United Nations.

Peace for Syria can only come from negotiations among the Syrian parties, in Geneva — and I would like to thank once again Staffan de Mistura for his incredible work and leadership.

Peace in Yemen: the European Union is sponsoring track-two and peacebuilding initiatives — but this needs to feed into a UN process.

And in Libya, a window of opportunity has just opened. We support enormously and we believe that the Libyans must seize this moment, and we are fully behind the UN efforts to bring a political solution to the crisis.

Whatever the format, whatever the initiative, we believe that the United Nations must be the centre of gravity of all peacebuilding efforts in an effective manner. Only the UN can guarantee the coherence of the process and the strength of the outcome and of the implementation.

The nuclear deal with Iran shows the way forward to us, the Europeans. It set a milestone for non-proliferation, making everyone more secure — in the region, in Europe, and in the world. World powers negotiated the deal, but the agreement was immediately ratified by the Security Council and the deal now belongs to the entire international community — not only to us who were in that room in Vienna. And the IAEA has certified five times its implementation.

This is the European way. Enforcing and strengthening the rules of non-proliferation we brought to an end twelve years of confrontation through peaceful dialogue. It is the European way, and it is the United Nations' way.

A successful deal makes the whole system more credible, because it shows we can collectively deliver on our citizens' needs.

The best way to preserve and reinforce this credibility, is by making the UN system more effective. So we stand fully behind the Secretary-General's reform agenda, on the Peace and Security pillar, the SDG's implementation, and the UN management reform. This agenda has the potential to make the whole UN family less fragmented, more united and coherent.

The European Union cares about the United Nations. It's a precious tool. In sixty years of history, we have become a superpower for peace in the European Union, a superpower for peace but alsofor security and democracy. We are accompanying reconciliation processes at all corners of the world, from Afghanistan to Myanmar. We are supporting our Eastern partners strengthen their economies and their institutions, their resilience — and in just a few days we will announce a visa liberalisation agreement with our Ukrainian friends. We are finding new ways to support Africa's growth, together with African governments and with the African Union, and also with the private sector and the civil society of the continent.

The European Union has become in these years, in these decades, in these sixty years of our history, not only the most successful peace project in the

world, but also an indispensable partner to move beyond the current disorder, and to try to build together a more cooperative world order.

So we will always return to this centre of gravity, this pivot of a rules-based international system. Because the European way is the UN way. The European vision is the United Nations' vision. And whoever wants to invest in this system, will find in the European Union a partner and a friend, a reliable, constructive, cooperative partner.

Thank you very much.