Consultation on technical advice under the new Prospectus Regulation

These Consultation Papers may be of particular interest to investors, issuers, including issuers already admitted to trading on a regulated market or on a multilateral trading facility, offerors or persons asking for admission to trading on a regulated market as well as to any market participant who is affected by the new Prospectus Regulation. ☐ The consultation has been split into three parts, with each consultation paper having a corresponding response form. If you are responding to more than one consultation, please upload all files in the same response.

Instructions

In order to facilitate analysis of responses, respondents are requested to follow the below steps when preparing and submitting their response:

- \cdot Insert your responses to the questions in the Consultation Paper in the relevant form, available below.
- Please do not remove tags of the type . Your response to each question has to be framed by the two tags corresponding to the question.
- If you do not wish to respond to a given question, please do not delete it but simply leave the text "TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE" between the tags.
- When you have drafted your response, name your response form according to the following convention: ESMA_FAC_nameofrespondent_RESPONSEFORM. For example, for a respondent named ABCD, the response form would be entitled ESMA FAC ABCD RESPONSEFORM.
- Upload the form containing your responses, in Word format, to ESMA's website (www.esma.europa.eu under the heading 'Your input Open consultations' à 'Consultation on technical advice under the new Prospectus Regulation').

<u>ESMA proposes simplifications for prospectuses</u>

The three CPs contain draft technical advice on the format and content of the prospectus, on the EU growth prospectus and on scrutiny and approval. The key draft proposals for consultation include:

• Consultation Paper on the format and content of the prospectus (ESMA31-62-532)

For prospectuses required when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading on a regulated market, ESMA proposes largely maintaining the existing regime but also proposes a number of targeted alleviations in order to reduce the burden and costs on issuers (e.g. by removing the requirement for a report by auditors or independent accountants on profit forecasts).

ESMA has also developed draft requirements for the new Universal Registration Document (URD). The proposed contents of the URD, which will operate as a type of shelf registration document, are based on the requirements for the share registration document. In terms of secondary issuance, ESMA has proposed reduced disclosure in order to take greater account of publicly available information, particularly in the case of registration documents.

In addition, ESMA has endeavoured to align requirements and terminology with other directives wherever possible.

Consultation Paper on EU Growth prospectus (ESMA31-62-649)

ESMA has also developed draft technical advice dealing with the format and content of the Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) focused EU Growth prospectus, identifying the minimum disclosure requirements, their order of presentation and the format and content of the specific summary. ESMA, in order to ensure a proportionate regime for SMEs, has adapted individual disclosure items to issuers' size and the complexity of their operations.

The proposal, which aims to alleviate the length and complexity of prospectus content, consists of a schedule for registration document information and a separate schedule for information concerning the securities, each of which can be used for both equity and non-equity issuance. Furthermore, the proposal aims to balance the needs of smaller issuers with the protection of investors and the need of investors to have a complete picture of any prospective investment.

Consultation Paper on scrutiny and approval (ESMA31-62-650)

The final set of draft technical advice sets out criteria for scrutiny and procedures for approval and filing of the prospectus. ESMA proposes that standard criteria for scrutiny of the completeness, comprehensibility and consistency of the prospectus are adopted and that, beyond these standard criteria, NCAs are afforded a certain level of flexibility. ESMA considers that such flexibility is necessary to ensure investor protection. As regards approval and filing, ESMA proposes procedures to be adhered to by both market participants and NCAs, which are largely based on the existing provisions of Commission Delegated Regulation 2016/301 with a number of amendments to reflect changes in the Level 1 text.

Next steps

The consultations close on 28 September 2017, and ESMA will deliver the

<u>Consultation on technical advice under</u> <u>the new Prospectus Regulation</u>

These Consultation Papers may be of particular interest to investors, issuers, including issuers already admitted to trading on a regulated market or on a multilateral trading facility, offerors or persons asking for admission to trading on a regulated market as well as to any market participant who is affected by the new Prospectus Regulation. ☐ The consultation has been split into three parts, with each consultation paper having a corresponding response form. If you are responding to more than one consultation, please upload all files in the same response.

Instructions

In order to facilitate analysis of responses, respondents are requested to follow the below steps when preparing and submitting their response:

- · Insert your responses to the questions in the Consultation Paper in the relevant form, available below.
- Please do not remove tags of the type . Your response to each question has to be framed by the two tags corresponding to the question.
- If you do not wish to respond to a given question, please do not delete it but simply leave the text "TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE" between the tags.
- When you have drafted your response, name your response form according to the following convention: ESMA_FAC_nameofrespondent_RESPONSEFORM. For example, for a respondent named ABCD, the response form would be entitled ESMA_FAC_ABCD_RESPONSEFORM.
- Upload the form containing your responses, in Word format, to ESMA's website (www.esma.europa.eu under the heading 'Your input Open consultations' à 'Consultation on technical advice under the new Prospectus Regulation').

We will transform the social security system so that, like the NHS, it is there for us all in our time of need — Abrahams

Debbie Abrahams MP, Labour's Shadow Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, commenting on today's figures on the estimated impact of the household benefit cap on parents by age of youngest child, said:

"Today's figures highlight the huge impact the new, lowered household benefit cap has on lone parents who make up well over half of households affected, with nearly 80% having children under five."

"As we warned, the impact of this and the Government's other social security cuts is pushing more children into poverty, punishing them for their parent's circumstances.

"The Government must listen to the High Court's judgement that this policy is discriminatory and unlawful, marking another blow in their failing austerity agenda.

"Labour has stood against the reduction of the benefit cap. We need to tackle our struggling economy, labour market inequalities and the high cost of housing rather than penalising children."

"We will transform the social security system so that, like the NHS, it is there for us all in our time of need."

ENDS

Notes to Editors:

- Today's figures can be found here https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/benefit-cap-estimated-impact-on-pare <a href="https://www.gov.uk/gov.uk
- \bullet Lone parents make up 63% of households estimated to be in scope for the lower cap and of these lone parents 78% have a youngest child under the age of 5
- The High Court judgement on the 22nd June ruled that the benefit cap is unlawful and illegally discriminates against single parents with young children under the age of two.

NEWS FROM LABOUR: Jeremy Corbyn Speech - British Chamber of Commerce

CHECK AGAINST DELIVERY

Jeremy Corbyn MP, Leader
of the Labour Party, speaking at the British Chamber of
Commerce, said:

Thank you to the British Chamber of Commerce for inviting me to speak to you again today.

This is an important

conference, on a crucial subject business and education which goes to the heart

of building that better economy, which we all know is not only possible but necessary if our economy is to thrive.

During the general

election campaign I travelled to every part of Britain, campaigning for real change in Britain on the basis of a popular manifesto that offered hope to millions of people, and won support from every region and nation.

Our manifesto offered a

comprehensive plan for a new direction in our economy. Based around investment

in the economy and the jobs of the future, and the public services that sustain

them, and a new settlement with business, that would benefit you, and the whole country.

It is that programme of

change, which won a huge increase in Labour's support, and means that we are now not just the official opposition, but a government in waiting.

So we need to be

engaged, and in dialogue about the country's future, far more closely than we have before.

Britain has been living through a lost decade.

A decade of lost growth,

a decade of stagnant living standards, a decade when investment and productivity fell so far, that it takes a worker five days to produce what takes four days in Germany and France.

Britain can't afford another lost decade.

We have investment

levels that are described by the Governor of the Bank of England as "markedly weak".

We have productivity

that lags far behind our main competitors ... and that fell further behind on yesterday's official statistics.

We have an explosion of

low-paid, insecure jobs, the Bank of England's Chief Economist has now said that 7% of the entire workforce could be on zero hours contracts within a decade.

We've had a period of

lost wage growth and falling real terms pay that the Institute of Fiscal Studies describes as "completely unprecedented".

We have unsecured

household debt rising to record levels.

And now we have economic

growth, that has slowed to just 0.2% in the latest quarter, the worst in the G7.

It's against this

backdrop that Labour set out our vision to change our society, and invest in our economy.

To build a strong,

sustainable economy in which no region or nation of the UK is left behind, and

where everyone shares in the wealth that we create together.

At the heart of that vision is education.

Education must be at the

heart of the drive to raise productivity, increase our economic dynamism, and allow our businesses to compete on the world stage.

Investing in our

education system, will be central to halting the spread of low-paid, low-skilled, insecure work.

By providing the skilled

workforce that businesses need, if they are to create high-skilled, betterpaid jobs.

And there is a payback

for government too. In creating a labour market where work pays, with higher revenues from income tax and national insurance, and lower payments in tax credits and housing benefit.

Education allows

individuals to realise their full potential, and participate fully in our economy and society.

But if education is to

bring those benefits, we need an education system that responds to the needs of

our economy, and which allows people to make a smooth transition from education

to the workplace.

This is something that

we, the next Labour government, business, and educators need to do together, in

partnership.

Working together on this

is vital, and by being here today, I know you recognise that.

And I know there are

many great initiatives already happening.

From the Young Chamber

Initiative, for example, which allows schools and colleges to tap into the expertise of business, and prepare their students for the world of work.

To the many excellent

work experience programmes, and careers advice services, provided by our education institutions.

But government also has a vital role to play.

So let me set out what a Labour Government would do.

There are no shortcuts

if we are to create a high skilled, high wage and high productivity economy.

We're going to have to invest more.

To upgrade our economy

for the $21^{\rm st}$ century and that will mean businesses pay a bit more tax, to invest in education, while still maintaining low tax rates by historical and international comparisons.

We know that early

year's education plays a crucial role in attainment, and cognitive

development.

That it's in our schools

that children become young adults, ready to face the world.

That our universities

are the birthplaces of the ideas and inventions that will define our economy and society in the 21st Century.

And that education now

has to continue throughout people's lives, to allow all of us to grow and develop, and meet the needs of a rapidly changing economy.

That's why our manifesto

set out plans to build a National Education Service. Providing lifelong education and training, free at the point of use, for every single person in this country.

Our National Education

Service will be a key institution of fairness and prosperity for the 21st Century, just as the NHS transformed people's prospects in the 20^{th} century.

We believe education is a public good.

That business, large and

small, prosper when education, skills and training are given laser-like attention by a committed government.

And individuals benefit

too, bringing them opportunities they wouldn't possibly otherwise have.

Through our National

Education Service we will extend 30 hours of free childcare to all 2 to 4 year olds.

And, unlike the

Conservative Government, we will put the funding in place ... to make sure that we actually deliver on our promises.

And by making the

transition to a supply-side system and a graduate-led workforce, we will give every child access to high quality early years education, that research shows is crucial to educational attainment and social capabilities later in life.

This won't be achieved

overnight; it will be part of a five year programme to develop higher quality and universal childcare for 2, 3 and 4 year olds.

We will properly fund our schools.

We now have an utterly

unacceptable situation, in which schools are forced to beg parents for donations just to cover costs, or even shorten the school day, just so that they can pay their staff.

And we will scrap the

public sector pay cap that, along with rising stress levels, is causing good teachers to leave the profession, leading to a recruitment and retention crisis.

But equipping children

for the world of work is not just about the necessary funding for schools.

In the age of the fourth

industrial revolution, employers deciding who to hire do not look for automatons, schooled in arcane forms of grammar, learning for tests, and reciting the names of past kings and queens by rote.

They're looking for

people with the soft skills that keep every business going, and the creativity

that helps firms to innovate and grow.

That's why the next

Labour Government will reverse the narrowing of the curriculum that has taken place under this Government.

Including through a new

Arts Pupil Premium to give every child access to the arts, and we will put more

trust in teachers' professionalism and experience, by giving them more of a say

in how children are taught.

We will also consult on

introducing teacher sabbaticals, and placements in industry, as a way to encourage interaction between education and business, and to introduce broad experiences into the classroom.

I've often been

impressed by teachers who have come to the profession later in life, having worked in other sectors, and their experience benefits their teaching.

As you may well have

heard, we have promised to scrap university tuition fees, and reintroduce maintenance grants, for students from low income households.

With graduates now due

to leave university with £57,000 of debt that will last a lifetime, we risk deterring working class students, leaving talent wasted, and potential untapped.

Our plans for a

high-skill, high productivity economy, rely on a large graduate workforce, and

that means no one should be discouraged from going to university because of debt.

Our universities are

among the best in the world. But while they are the birthplace of many world-changing ideas, it is all too common for those ideas to be taken up and commercialised by businesses based in other countries.

We want to work with you

to bridge this gap between innovation and product building links between universities and business. To ensure that the benefits of Britain's great research centres, are not halted at the walls of the ivory tower.

It's a similar story for

the talent our great universities produce. Our world-leading universities are spread across the country, from St Andrews to Exeter.

But the regional

imbalances in our economy mean that disproportionate numbers of graduates seek

to build their adult lives in London. This is a great loss to local economies in the rest of the country.

That's why Labour is

committed to a National Investment Bank and a network of Regional Development Banks.

To ensure that every

region and nation of the UK has a strong economy, using our £250 billion National Transformation Fund to boost and rebalance investment across the country.

Creating economic opportunities for all.

Technological change, from automation to decarbonisation, means that many jobs and industries will disappear or shrink in the coming years and decades.

But I am not one of the doom-mongers, who believe that will inevitably herald an era of mass unemployment.

At every stage of

economic and industrial history, jobs, industries and skills have been lost, replaced and transformed.

But whether that happens

at huge social cost, as it did for example in the early days of the industrial

revolution and the Luddites.

Or is embraced and

benefits everybody depends on managing and planning for technological change. We can't simply leave it to the market.

We need public

institutions, public investment and public enterprise to work with business to

manage the social and economic effects of rapid technological change … so that

it benefits the many not the few.

We need to ensure that

everyone, workers, government, and businesses, share in the benefits that new technology brings.

As in every other

technological revolution, disappearing jobs will be replaced by new, as yet unforeseen, forms of work.

But there will be churn,

as these new forms of work will often require a different set of skills to those they replace.

That means we will need

to invest in a step change in skills and training.

To upgrade the skills of

the existing workforce, and make sure everyone is able to retrain at any point

in life, to meet the changing needs of the economy.

That's why Labour has

made a flagship commitment, to make all further education courses free at the point of use for everyone, at any stage of their lives.

There has been quite a

bit of focus on our policy to scrap university tuition fees.

Which now appears to be

under consideration by the government, or at least some members of it.

But perhaps of even

greater significance and certainly less discussion, is our policy to scrap fees

for adult education courses in colleges too.

Vocational training has

long been the poor relation in our education system.

Successive governments

have paid lip service to the desire to achieve parity of esteem between academic and technical education.

While leaving the

deep-rooted historical snobbishness of Britain's two-tier education system fully intact.

One thing I am

passionate about, as the child and sibling of engineers, is the development of

engineering and science skills.

Which have to start

earlier, before children get to secondary schools, and we all have a role in tackling the gender imbalance in STEM subjects.

Labour will correct the

historic neglect of the further education sector.

By finally giving it the

investment in teachers and facilities it deserves, giving college lecturers greater job security, making all courses free at the point of use, to ensure the sector continues to be a world-leading provider of adult and vocational education, meeting the skills needs of their local economies.

Right now we have a

Government that often behaves as if all businesses want is lower wages.

That thinks jobs should

be measured by quantity rather than quality, and that reckons competitiveness is simply a matter of driving down costs.

As I hope I've made

clear, that is not Labour's view or vision of our future.

We want to use our

myriad talents and resources to make Britain the most prosperous and dynamic economy in the world.

A Labour government will

invest in the future, both in our physical infrastructure, and our workforce.

Our Business team, led

by Rebecca Long-Bailey, is developing plans to deliver a game-changing industrial strategy.

That will rebalance our

economy and drive up the quality of work across all sectors.

Our Education team, led

by Angela Rayner, will be setting out more detailed proposals to invest in a National Education Service, to equip our workforce with the skills they need to

do those jobs.

I've set out the

framework for what a Labour Government would do.

But turning that vision into practice cannot be the job of government alone.

Making our plans a reality will require the collaboration of everybody here today, business, educators and politicians.

That's why I want to end with a final pledge: a Labour Government will trust in your experience, listen to your expertise, and work with you, in partnership.

To create an education system that delivers for our economy, and an economy that works for the many, not the few.

Thank you.